



**HAL**  
open science

## Data Management Plan - Recommendations to the ANR

Zoé Ancion, Francis Andre, Sarah Cadorel, Romain Feret, Odile Hologne,  
Kenneth Maussang, Marine Moguen-Toursel, Véronique Stoll, Jean-François  
Nominé

### ► To cite this version:

Zoé Ancion, Francis Andre, Sarah Cadorel, Romain Feret, Odile Hologne, et al.. Data Management Plan - Recommendations to the ANR. [Research Report] Comité pour la science ouverte. 2019, 10 p. hal-03640360

**HAL Id: hal-03640360**

<https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03640360v1>

Submitted on 13 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Data Management Plan

---

Recommendations to the French National Agency for  
Research (ANR)

---

June 2019

Research Data College  
Data Management Plan Working Group

---

# Data Management Plan - Recommendations to the ANR

Committee for Open Science (CoSO) / Research Data College / DMP Working Group

*English translation by Jean-François Nominé – Translation Unit, Inist-CNRS.*

The ANR requested the Committee for Open Science (CoSO) to provide recommendations for the implementation of a data management plan for projects funded from 2019 onwards, particularly for the "evaluation" aspects. As a result of this request, the DMP Working Group of the Research Data College has set out the proposals put forward in this document.<sup>1</sup>

Special attention was paid to taking into account the different levels of maturity of the scientific communities with respect to data management and their possible concerns about open science.

## 1. Research Data Management - General considerations

The ANR made its open science policy available in one of its website pages. For increased readability, a dedicated document could include the key principles of the ANR's open science policy on research data, such as:

- Fostering research best practices, in particular through:
  - Drafting a data management plan;
  - Step-by-step implementation of the FAIR principles;<sup>2</sup>
  - Complying with the principles of scientific integrity (citation of re-used data, etc.);
  - Depositing research data in "trusted" repositories (in disciplinary or institutional repositories, or otherwise on zenodo.org);
  - Optional embargo on the exploitation of data at the end of the project;
  - Publishing of data papers;
  - Reusing pre-existing data.
- A reminder of the legal framework: "As open as possible, as closed as necessary" (sensitive data, personal data, protection of economic development opportunities, etc.);
- The role of the consortium agreement in specifying how the data produced during the project are to be used, especially when involving a partnership with companies.

### **Recommendation No.1**

*Specify in a dedicated document the general elements supported by the ANR about research data.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Zoé, Ancion (ANR), Francis André (CNRS), Sarah Cadorel (Sciences Po), Romain Féret (Université de Lille), Odile Hologne (INRA), Kenneth Maussang (Université de Montpellier), Marine Moguen-Toursel (ENSEA), Véronique Stoll (Observatoire de Paris).

<sup>2</sup> Acronym for Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable. Complying with the FAIR principles does not generate open data, but results in good management practice.

Data Management Plans (DMPs) are critical tools for good data management. Evolving as research projects unfold, they make it possible to clarify how data collection and organisation fit in, but also how data can be accessed, shared and reused in the future, and thus to anticipate potential technical or legal problems. They are tools to support and encourage good management practices, which can generate open data if the requirements are met.

#### **Recommendation No.2**

*The Data Management Plan template provided by Science Europe should be preferred because it puts emphasis on best management and sharing practices.*

## **2. Phasing and implementation steps**

A step-by-step approach would encourage community adoption and better adaptation to changing practices, while allowing for the development of services for data storage and FAIR compliance.

#### **Recommendation No. 3**

Implement a stepwise policy for the adoption of management plans to gradually move towards openness:

- Step 1: Stimulate good data management practices
- Step 2: Consider data management in project evaluation
- Step 3: Encourage and monitor openness

### **Step 1: Stimulate good data stewardship**

#### **Recommendation No.4**

Make a lightweight evaluation of submitted DMPs after 6 months for counselling purposes to project leaders, and foster subsequent updating (interim report, end of project).

### **Step 2: Integrate open science and data management into the selection process of research projects**

Propose that data management principles be taken into account from the project selection phase. This part of the evaluation of process could cover aspects like the inclusion of data management in the work programme, risk management, the consistency between objectives and resources, the dissemination strategy (link between publications and data, archiving and data dissemination strategy), etc.

#### **Recommendation No. 5**

Mention data management in the detailed proposal framework for calls for projects and append an ad hoc evaluation sub-criterion to the evaluators' grid.

### **Step 3: Encourage and monitor data openness**

Promote openness and the availability of data in accordance with the "as open as possible as closed as necessary" principle. The data management plan should be written based on FAIR principles. In addition, in order to increase the visibility and availability of datasets resulting from projects supported by the ANR, they should be referenced (use of permanent identifiers, etc.). Consequently, when a dataset is deposited in a repository, an acknowledgement of ANR funding should always be mentioned,

as should the project identifier, in line with publication practices. As with bibliometric indicators, the scientific impact of the project could also be measured based on dataset indicators.

**Recommendation No. 6**

Encourage the publication of data papers (data articles) that describe the published datasets, promote their reuse and citation in conventional scientific papers.

**Recommendation No. 7**

The final version of the data management plan should include the tangible actions planned by the project leaders to open their data and facilitate their access, development and reuse after the end of the project.

**Recommendation No. 8**

Require the inclusion of the project and funding identification in the metadata describing the data, in a similar way to publications (funding section of an article).

### **3. Support mechanisms**

As the maturity of the scientific communities regarding data management and openness is different, we recommend setting up a support system inspired by existing national and international mechanisms.

**Recommendation No. 9**

Design an instructional web page that links to the main resources available (guides, DMP-building tools, sample DMPs, tutorials, etc.), refer to success stories (reuse) or sad stories (data loss).

## 4. Monitoring

### 1: set up a monitoring system

Set up a monitoring system, making a distinction between issues related to research data management and those related to their opening. This monitoring mechanism could start after the receipt of the DMPs by conducting an observatory-like survey among project leaders to:

- Identify the scientific communities that require stronger support in the management and opening up of research data;
- Identify the difficulties of project leaders, in particular associated budgetary impact and necessary HR resources;
- Assess trends in practices among the different scientific communities;
- Pave the way for the construction of indicators associated with the funded datasets (use of non-project data, citations, etc.) and the construction of project impact measuring tools.

#### **Recommendation No. 10**

Set up an observatory-like survey among the leaders of funded projects to capture the practices of the communities and their difficulties. This survey could be conducted by a third party.

#### **Recommendation No. 11**

Train people in the evaluation of data management plans.

### 2: identify the costs associated with good research data management

Good management of research data requires financial (infrastructure) and human-resource (working time) investment. The sustainability of the projects selected by the ANR will therefore require a good estimate of these costs by the project leader.

#### **Recommendation No. 12**

Identify and list the types of research data management costs eligible for ANR funding.

#### **Recommendation No. 13**

Ask the project leads to identify the costs earmarked for project data management (including storage and availability).

The monitoring system should make it possible to build quantitative indicators for internal use by the ANR to set up funded project impact measurement tools. Analysis of the final financial reports could provide good insight into the budgets required to produce and manage the datasets for each disciplinary field.

#### **Recommendation No. 14**

*Define quantitative indicators and impact measurement tools associated with open data from funded projects.*

## 5. DMP evaluation

In the experimentation phase, the European Commission evaluated the project data management plans by using experts and organising training for project officers. The evaluation is based on the Commission's DMP template. It consists in showing whether the information is correctly, partially or poorly described and making recommendations for each criterion. With this approach, examination can take up to 4 hours/DMP.

### Recommendation No.15

We suggest to:

- Implement a pilot project;
- Conduct advisory evaluations along the framework of Science Europe's data management plan;
- Follow adjusted expectations about the level of description according to the DMP version (a 6-month DMP may not be as definite on the terms of distribution at the end of the project);
- Draw up overall recommendations or alerts for project managers (for example, projects involving personal data or sensitive data management precluding the implementation of open data management best practices).

Criteria   scoring	0	1	2
Data description and collection or reuse of existing data			
Data documentation and quality			
Storage and backup during the research process			
Legal and ethical requirements, codes of conduct			
Data sharing and long-term storage			

Scoring key:

0: item contains little or poor information

1: item partially addressed

2: well described item

# DMP Bibliography<sup>3</sup>

## Templates

### References

1. Doorn, P., Science Europe. (2018). Science Europe Guidance. Presenting a Framework for Discipline-specific Research Data Management. [http://www.scienceeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/SE\\_Guidance\\_Document\\_RDMPs.pdf](http://www.scienceeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/SE_Guidance_Document_RDMPs.pdf)
2. Doorn, P., & Timmermann, M. (2018). Towards Domain Protocols for Research Data Management (IG Domain Repositories RDA 9th Plenary meeting Community-driven Research Data Management). Paper presented at the 9. Plenary meeting Community-driven Research Data Management, Barcelona. <https://www.rd-alliance.org/sites/default/files/attachment/RDA%20DRIG%20Domain%20Protocols%20V3%20Barcelona%20April%202017%20-%20DoornAerts.pptx>
3. European Research Council. ERC Data Management Plan template. (12/04/2017). As retrieved from <https://erc.europa.eu/content/erc-data-management-plan-template>
4. Reymonet, N., Moysan, M., Cartier, A., & Délémontez, R. (2018). Réaliser un plan de gestion de données « FAIR » : modèle. As retrieved from [https://archivesic.ccsd.cnrs.fr/sic\\_01690547/document](https://archivesic.ccsd.cnrs.fr/sic_01690547/document)

### About Machine-actionable DMPs:

5. Miksa, T., Simms, S., Mietchen, D., & Jones, S. (2018). Ten simple rules for machine-actionable data management (preprint). PLoS ONE. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1172673> and <https://zenodo.org/record/1172673#.Wt4HIZcuBaQ>
6. Miksa, T., Neish, P., Walk, P., & Rauber, A. (2018). Defining requirements for machine-actionable Data Management Plans [Preprint]. <https://zenodo.org/record/1266211>
7. Simms S, Jones S, Mietchen D, Miksa T (2017) Machine-actionable data management plans (maDMPs). Research Ideas and Outcomes 3: e13086. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.3.e13086>

### Websites

5. Existing templates (listed) – Funders  
DMPTool website: [https://dmptool.org/public\\_templates](https://dmptool.org/public_templates)  
DMPonline website: [https://dmponline.dcc.ac.uk/public\\_templates](https://dmponline.dcc.ac.uk/public_templates)

---

<sup>3</sup> A bibliography incepted by the Inra Research Data unit: <https://www6.inra.fr/datapartage/Gerer/Rediger-un-plan-de-gestion>



# Recommendations

## References

1. Science Europe. (December 2018). PRACTICAL GUIDE TO THE INTERNATIONAL ALIGNMENT OF RESEARCH DATA MANAGEMENT [https://www.scienceeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/SE\\_RDM\\_Practical\\_Guide\\_Final.pdf](https://www.scienceeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/SE_RDM_Practical_Guide_Final.pdf)
  2. ERC. Open Research Data and Data Management Plans Information for ERC grantees. (2018, February 23). 24/04/2018 <https://erc.europa.eu/content/open-research-data-and-data-management-plans-information-erc-grantees>
  3. Hooft, R. Elsevier Publishing Campus. (s. d.). How to create a good data management plan. As retrieved from <https://www.elsevier.com/authors-update/story/publishing-trends/how-to-create-a-good-data-management-plan>
  4. Landelijk Coördinatiepunt Research Data Management (LCRDM). (s. d.). 10 tips for writing a Data Management Plan. As retrieved from [https://www.edugroepen.nl/sites/RDM\\_platform/Shared%20Documents/Bij%20de%20WG%20Onderzoeksondersteuning%20en%20advies/LCRDM%2010%20tips%20for%20writing%20a%20DMP%20no%20branding.pdf](https://www.edugroepen.nl/sites/RDM_platform/Shared%20Documents/Bij%20de%20WG%20Onderzoeksondersteuning%20en%20advies/LCRDM%2010%20tips%20for%20writing%20a%20DMP%20no%20branding.pdf)
- Research Data Alliance. (13:04:53 UTC). OpenAIRE and Eudat services and tools to support FAIR DMP implementat.... Data & examinations. As retrieved from <https://fr.slideshare.net/ResearchDataAlliance/openaire-and-eudat-services-and-tools-to-support-fair-dmp-implementation-68900290>
6. Reymonet, N., Moysan, M., Cartier, A., & Délémontez, R. (2018). Réaliser un plan de gestion de données « FAIR » : modèle. As retrieved from [https://archivesic.ccsd.cnrs.fr/sic\\_01690547/document](https://archivesic.ccsd.cnrs.fr/sic_01690547/document)

## Websites

1. **CIRAD** Se familiariser avec les plans de gestion de données de la recherche <https://coop-ist.cirad.fr/gestion-de-l-information/gerer-les-donnees-de-la-recherche/se-familiariser-avec-les-plans-de-gestion-de-donnees-de-la-recherche/3-exemple-de-trame-d-un-plan-de-gestion-de-donnees>
2. **DCC** Example DMPs and guidance <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/data-management-plans/guidance-examples>
3. **ESRC** - Research data Policy <https://esrc.ukri.org/funding/guidance-for-grant-holders/research-data-policy/>
4. **INRA** Plan de gestion des données <https://www6.inra.fr/datapartage/Gerer/Plan-de-gestion>
5. **IRSTEA** DMP Plan de gestion de données <https://donnees-recherche.irstea.fr/dmppgd-plan-de-gestion-de-donnees/>
6. **RIO** Section=[Data Management Plans] [https://riojournal.com/browse\\_journal\\_articles.php?form\\_name=filter\\_articles&sortby=0&journal\\_id=17&search\\_in\\_0&section\\_type\[\]=231](https://riojournal.com/browse_journal_articles.php?form_name=filter_articles&sortby=0&journal_id=17&search_in_0&section_type[]=231)
7. **Sciences Po** Libguides - Données de la recherche <https://sciencespo.libguides.com/donnees-de-la-recherche/dmp>

# Evaluation

## References

1. Carlson, J., Wells Parham, S., Hswe, P., & Whitmire, A. (2016). Using data management plans to explore variability in research data management practices across domains. Paper presented at the IDCC 2016, Amsterdam (NLD). <http://slideplayer.com/slide/10989016/>
2. Grootveld, M., & Leenarts, E. (2018). Why is this a good DMP? Paper presented at the TUD Seminar "Towards cultural change in data management - data stewardship in practice". [https://pure.knaw.nl/portal/files/6616988/20180524\\_Why\\_is\\_this\\_a\\_good\\_DMP\\_public.pdf](https://pure.knaw.nl/portal/files/6616988/20180524_Why_is_this_a_good_DMP_public.pdf)
3. Grootveld, M., & Van Selm, M. (2017). Report : survey of DMP reviewer experiences. As retrieved from [https://www.edugroepen.nl/sites/RDM\\_platform/Shared%20Documents/Bij%20de%20WG%20Onderzoeksondersteuning%20en%20advies/Report%20about%20LCRDM%20DMP%20review%20survey%20-%2022%20June%202017.pdf](https://www.edugroepen.nl/sites/RDM_platform/Shared%20Documents/Bij%20de%20WG%20Onderzoeksondersteuning%20en%20advies/Report%20about%20LCRDM%20DMP%20review%20survey%20-%2022%20June%202017.pdf)
4. Grootveld, M., Leenarts, E., Jones, S., Hermans, E., & Fankhauser, E. (2018, January 9). OpenAIRE and FAIR Data Expert Group survey about Horizon 2020 template for Data Management Plans. Zenodo. As retrieved from <https://zenodo.org/record/1120245>
5. Jones, S. (2017). Developing and Rewiewing Data Management Plans. Paper presented at the DCC - Supporting Open Research, Amsterdam (NLD). [https://www.dcc.ac.uk/webfm\\_send/2384](https://www.dcc.ac.uk/webfm_send/2384)
6. Jones, S. (2018). What you need to know about DMPs. Paper presented at the FOSTER & OpenAIRE webinar (22/10/2018). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1461601>
7. Jones, S., Leenarts, E., Grootveld, M., Fankhauser, E., & Hermans, E. (2018). OpenAire and the FAIR Data Expert Group. Report on the results of the survey about Horizon 2020 template for Data Management Plans. As retrieved from <https://zenodo.org/record/1120245#.WI2VAzciGM8>
8. Van Loon, J. E., Akers, K. G., Hudson, C., & Sarkozy, A. (2017). Quality evaluation of data management plans at a research university. IFLA Journal, 43(1), 98-104. [doi : 10.1177/0340035216682041](https://doi.org/10.1177/0340035216682041)
9. Whitmire, A., Carlson, J., Hswe, P., Wells Parham, S., & Westra, B. (2016). Analysing DMPs to inform research data services. Lessons from the DART Project. Paper presented at the IDCC 2016, Amsterdam (NLD). <https://fr.slideshare.net/amandawhitmire/idcc-workshop-analysing-dmps-to-inform-and-empower-academic-librarians-in-providing-research-data-support-lessons-from-the-dart-project>
10. Whitmire, A., Westra, B., Hswe, P., Carlson, J., & Parham, S. W. (2016). Using Data Management Plans to Explore Variability in Research Data Management Practices Across Domains. International Journal of Digital Curation, 11(1), 53-67. [doi: 10.2218/ijdc.v11i1.423](https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v11i1.423)

## Websites

1. **The DART Project:** using data management plans as a research tool. <https://osf.io/kh2y6/>
2. **DCC website** – Funder requirements: <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/data-management-plans/funders-requirements>
3. **University of Sheffield** - Data Management Plan Compliance Rubrics (self-assessment guidance) <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/data-management-plans/funders-requirements>

4. Sample assessment grids: **Wellcome Trust**  
[https://zenodo.org/record/257650/files/Wellcome\\_Rubric\\_v2.1.pdf](https://zenodo.org/record/257650/files/Wellcome_Rubric_v2.1.pdf), **EPSRC**  
<https://zenodo.org/record/247087#.WtTGRJcuBaQ>
5. **LIBER** - DMP Cat (project of DMP listing with published assessment - only 7 online to date)  
<https://libereurope.eu/dmpcatalogue>