

Confluent Vandermonde matrices using Sylvester's structure

Lamine Melkemi

To cite this version:

Lamine Melkemi. Confluent Vandermonde matrices using Sylvester's structure. [Research Report] LIP RR-1998-16, Laboratoire de l'informatique du parallélisme. 1998, $2+14p$. hal-02102110

HAL Id: hal-02102110 <https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02102110v1>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Laboratoire de l'Informatique du Parall´elisme

Ecole Normale Sup erieure de Lyon Unite de recherche associee au UNRS n° 1398

Confluent Vandermonde matrices using Sylvester's structures Lamine Melkemi March 1998

Research Report N° 98-10

 $\acute{\textbf{E}}$ cole Normale Supérieure de Lyon

46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France Téléphone : +33(0)4.72.72.80.00 Télécopieur : +33(0)4.72.72.80.80 Adresse électronique : lip@ens-lyon.fr

Confluent Vandermonde matrices using Sylvester's structures

Lamine Melkemi

March 1998

Abstract

In this paper, we first show that a confluent Vandermonde matrix may be viewed as composed of some rows of a certain block Vandermonde matrix As a result, we derive a Sylvester's structure for this class of matrices that appears as a natural generalization of the straightforward one known for usual Vandermonde matrices Then we present some applications as an illustration of the established structure. For example, we show how confluent Vandermonde and **Hankel matrices are linked** with each other, and also we describe an $O(n^+)$ algorithm for solving confluent Vandermonde least squares minimizations problems.

Keywords: confluent Vandermonde matrix Sylvester's equation, structured matrices.

Résumé

Dans cet article, nous proposons une structure de Sylvester pour les matrices de Vandermonde confluentes qui parait comme une généralisation naturelle de celle connue dans le cas d'un système de Vandermonde simple. La démonstration de ce résultat tire profit d'une propriété intéressante disant, dans un sens que nous préciserons plus loin, qu'une telle matrice est en fait plongée dans une matrice de Vandermonde par blocks. En exploitant cette structure, nous montrons ensuite, qu'il est possible d'exprimer l'inverse d'une matrice de Vandermonde confluente comme le produit de son duale et d'une matrice de Hankel. Toujours a l'aide de cette structure, nous decrivons un algorithme \cup (n°) permettant de résoudre les problèmes aux moindres carrés basés sur ces matrices. Enfin nous montrons comment on peut étendre les résultats établis à une classe de matrices beaucoup plus génerale.

Mots-cles matrice de Vandermonde conuente structure de Sylvester matrices structurees

 Introduction Given n dierent numbers x x- xn- that is xi x_j for $i \neq j$. We mean by a confluent Vandermonde matrix the following m p n matrix

 $V_{p+1} = |f(x_0) - f(x_0)| + \cdots + |f^{(r)}(x_0)| + \cdots + |f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_{n-1})| + \cdots + |f^{(r)}(x_{n-1})|$

 \cdots is the vectorial function function function \cdots

$$
f(x)=(1,x,x^2,\ldots,x^{m-1})^t
$$

and f (x) , $f^{*x*}/(x)$,..., $f^{*x*}/(x)$ are the derivatives of $f(x)$. In fact, the number of the derivatives p should vary as x_i , but for convenience and without loss in generality, it is assumed throughout the paper, that it is fixed. It is the matter of a well known concept that naturally generalizes usual Vandermonde systems and can be viewed as a matrix representation of some interpolation problems [11]. For example, Hermite's interpolation amounts simply to solve the system of linear equations: $v_n^+ x = v$. Because of its importance in applications (see [2] for instance), such matrices have received a particular attention in the literature. In particular, several $O(n^2)$ algorithms have been proposed for computing the inverse of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde matrices and that of their duals in the more general considered the more considered the more case where α monomial x^+ is replaced by a polynomial $P_k(x)$ and constructed what is called a confluent vandermonde-like matrix. Then he developed \cup n \mid solutions for computing the inverse of such a matrix for particular polynomials. In $[14]$, he analyzed the numerical stability of these methods More recently Lu a - 1911 and L showed the ability to design asymptotically faster solutions to these problems and conceived in particular an algorithm with O np lognplogn running time for inverting $np \times np$ confluent Vandermonde matrices. His approach is based on fast convolution products and fast polynomial divisions

On the other side, it is common to put matrices with particular forms, such as Toeplitz matrices, into a unified algebraic formalism. In other words, given two "simple" matrices L and U the idea is to find the Sylvester's equation:

$$
LM - MU = GH
$$

where the concerned matrix M is completely characterized by the generator GH which is, in the essence, a summation of a fixed number of outer products. The importance of this equation called the structure of M stems from the fact that it constitutes a powerful tool for efficiently manipulating such matrices, as it is emphasized in different situations. Of course, the structure is more and more elegant as long as the matrices L and U are simple and GH is of a small rank. See $[15]$ and the survey paper $[16]$ with the references therein.

In this paper, we consider this aspect of manipulating confluent Vandermonde matrices via their Sylvester's structure which is deduced from a useful observation that a confluent Vandermonde matrix is in a certain viewpoint embedded into a block-Vandermonde one. After some notations in section 2, and a brief discussion about block-Vandermonde matrices in section 3, where we show some limitations when trying to extend the concepts available in the usual case and

evidence particular instances allowing to palliate the difficulties, we present in Section 4 our main result where a Sylvester's equation satisfied by the confluent Vandermonde matrices is established and proved. Examining it, one may assert that it well characterizes the confluent Vandermonde matrices and, apparently, can not be sharpened further. To our knowledge such a structure does not exist elsewhere. In section 5, we study the converse situation where it is shown that up to a slight modification, it is possible to determine precisely the matrices which are solutions of the modified Sylvester's equation. In other words, we establish an interesting canonical structure to which the studied Sylvester's equation may be easily transformed and conversely. As an application, an inverse formula is given The sections and - may be viewed as interesting applications of the Sylvester's structure. In section 6 , a connection between confluent Vandermonde matrices, Hankel and Toeplitz-like ones is shown in a way similar to that found out for the usual Vandermonde matrices were matrices to the usual Vandermonde matric $[12]$. Nevertheless, it is shown that though the structure established, there are severe limitations as one attempts a direct generalization. Fortunately, it is possible to compensate the drawbacks so that one may construct $O(n \log^2 n)$ algorithms for solving weighted least squares minimizations settling overdeter mined Hermite s interpolations. In section ℓ , we develop an \cup (n^+) method for computing the Cholesky factorization of the covariance matrix of the confluent Vandermonde matrix. The algorithm as it is well known may be exploited for solving confluent Vandermonde least squares minimizations problems. Finally, we consider in section of the notion of generalized conductive materials and trices and explain how the results available in the simple case may be extended

2. Notations. To make the description of the text as clear as possible, some notations are adopted. As we will deal with rectangular matrices and block-Vandermonde matrices, it follows that different linear spaces will be considered. Consequently, care must be taken about the use of a same notation.

i-m-ason we denote the paper by \mathbf{r} through \mathbf{r} is a paper by \mathbf{r} $(h_k)_{0 \leq k \leq mp-1}$, and $(g_k)_{0 \leq k \leq mp-1}$, the canonical basis in the linear spaces \mathbb{C}^n ,
 \mathbb{C}^p , \mathbb{C}^{mp} and \mathbb{C}^{np} respectively, where \mathbb{C} is the complex field. In general, we consider the canonical basis in \mathcal{R}^m where $\mathcal R$ is a ring with unit element, and we denote it by (E_0, \ldots, E_{m-1}) . For example, if $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ is the ring of the p p complex matrices then for k m - we have

$$
E_k = [h_{kp}, h_{kp+1}, \ldots, h_{(k+1)p-1}]
$$

which is a $mp \times p$ matrix. Now, let say that whatever canonical basis one uses, it is systematically understood along the paper that if u is a vector then u_{i-1} denotes its i^{\ldots} coordinate.

Since one of our main objectives is to construct a Sylvester's structure for confluent Vandermonde matrices, it is natural to expect the use the displacement matrix defined as a square matrix with $1's$ along its first sub-diagonal and $0's$ elsewhere. If we have a $k \times k$ displacement matrix, let denote it by Z_k . For simplicity and unless otherwise stated, we let: $Z = Z_m$ and $Z = Z_{np}$. The well known reverse identity matrix may be also utilized in such a context and is a square matrix with 1's along its anti-diagonal and 0's elsewhere. We will denote

by J_k a reverse identity matrix of size $k \times k$.

In this paper for reasons to be seen latter, we distinguish between vector transpose and matrix transpose and we use two notations: u^t means the transpose of the vector u whereas R^T means the transpose of the matrix R. By the way, we may use the anti-transpose operator, T_2 , defined as follows:

$$
A^{T_2} = J_k A^T J_k
$$

where A is a $k \times k$ matrix.

 Block-Vandermonde Matrices Clearly the Vandermonde matrices may be defined over arbitrary rings with unit element, as for example the ring of matrices, and it is of interest to note that in this straightforward generalization, the well known structure of Vandermonde matrices remains safe. That is, if R , and R is the such a ring then we have the such a ring the such that R

$$
Z^T V - V D = E_{m-1} U^t
$$

where α is the matrix α is the matrix with electron α . The matrix with α is the matrix with electron α ϵ into the ring κ , μ is the displacement matrix (with ϵ (C κ) in the first sub-diagonal and $0 \ (\in K)$ elsewhere), $D = diag(B_i)$ and $U^+ =$ $(-1, B_0^+, \ldots, B_{n-1}^+).$ Kecall that E_{m-1} stands for the last element in the canonical basis of κ .

In the case where R is the ring of $p \times p$ matrices defined over the field of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , we obtain what is known as block-Vandermonde matrices. Here, the displacement matrix in the above Sylvester's equation must be understood as the p-power Z_{mp}^r of the displacement matrix Z_{mp} with elements in the field $\mathbb C$. Based on this structure, it is thus possible to construct efficient algorithms for manipulating block-Vandermonde matrices. On the other hand, there are natural reasons to expect that most operations over Vandermonde ma trices may be carried out to block-Vandermonde ones requiring up to a p factor the same amount of computation. Unfortunately, this is not true in general because of the simple fact that several flexible properties such as commutativity are missed in the ring R while well verified in the field $\mathbb C$. Consider for instance the problem of solving a linear system of equations: $V^T x = b$ where V is a blockVandermonde matrix An approach due to see also is to transform this system to the following equivalent one

$$
VV^T x = Vb.
$$

The idea lies in the observation that in the case where V is a Vandermonde max , one may assert that VV^{\pm} is a Hankel matrix. Hence the complexity for solving the considered system is the same as for solving a Hankel linear system Since there are \cup (niog-n) algorithms for computing the inverse of a positive accessive Matrix Matrix (1999) (1999) (1999) (1999) (1999-1999) (1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1 way of constructing \cup (n log= n) algorithms for the polynomial interpolation. On the contrary, when attempting to extend this technique to block-Vandermonde matrices, the difficulty arises as soon as one writes the structure:

$$
V^T Z^p - D^T V^T = U E_{n-1}^t
$$

of V^* , where in general, we have $D \neq D^*$ (recall that $D = diag(D_i))$. As an alternative issue, this last remark evidences possible ways for compensating such a disadvantage. Actually, if we assume that the blocks B_i are symmetric matrices then one can check that $V V^{\pm}$ is a block Hankel matrix. Indeed, if we apply the matrix V to the above structure of V^+ (where now $D \equiv D^+$), we obtain

$$
VV^T Z^p - VDV^T = VUE_{n-1}^t
$$

Using the fact that $V D = Z^r V - E_{n-1} U$, the following structure is easily deduced:

$$
VV^{T}Z^{p} - Z^{pT}VV^{T} = VUE_{n-1}^{t} - E_{n-1}U^{t}V^{T}
$$

which asserts that $V V^{\top}$ is a block-Hankel matrix.

4. Embedding confluent Vandermonde matrices into block Vandermonde ones. In this section, we restrict ourselves to particular block matrices. Given n dierent complex numbers x x- xn- we will consider the p p matrices B B_1 as follows: the following B_1 as follows: the following B_1

$$
B_i = B(x_i) = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} x_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x_i & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_i & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & p-1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & x_i \end{array} \right]
$$

Let now form the mpnp blockVandermonde matrix V V B B- Bn where for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$, its (i, j) block-element is D_i . As ^j it is previously stated the matrix V fullls the Sylvester s equation below

$$
Z_{mv}^{pT}V - VD = E_{m-1}U^{t}
$$

where Z_{mp} is the $mp \times mp$ displacement matrix, D is the block-diagonal matrix such that D and D and B denned over κ^- and κ^- (κ^- being the ring of the $p \times p$ complex matrices). It is easily seen that E_{m-1} is the last element in the canonical basis of \mathcal{K}^m and $U = -(B_0^+, \ldots, B_{n-1}^+).$ The structure of V just evidenced will be a corner stone in constructing that of confluent Vandermonde matrices. More precisely, we will show that the matrix V contains, in a certain sense, a confluent Vandermonde one, and conversely every confluent Vandermonde matrix is embedded into a block Vandermonde one of a same kind. This result, from which the desired Sylvester's structure is obviously derived, is revealed by an interesting observation in the behavior of the powers $B^+(x)$ ($\kappa > 0$) of the matrix $B(x)$.

Indeed, an investigation in the elements of the κ -power matrix $B^+(x)$ permits to observe that its first row is interestingly formed by x^k and its derivatives. In general, it is possible to show by an inductive reasoning about the power k , that the (i, j) element α_{ij} of $B^-(x)$ can be expressed as follows (we assume for convenience $0! = 1$:

$$
\alpha_{ij}^k = \frac{j!}{i!} \binom{k}{j-i} x^{k-j+i} = \frac{j!k!}{i! (j-i)! (k-j+i)!} x^{k-j+i}
$$

for $0 \le i \le j \le i + k$, and is reduced to zero elsewhere. As a consequence, the confluent vandermonde matrix v_p is simply that formed by the $(\kappa p)^{++}$ rows of the case and denotes the contract of the mathematically if a contract case of permutation matrix defined by:

$$
P = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} h_{im+k} h_{kp+i}^t
$$

where $(h_s)_{0 \leq s \leq mp-1}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^{mp} , then we can assert that:

$$
PV = \left[\begin{array}{c} V_p \\ X \end{array} \right]
$$

On the other hand, since \mathcal{L}^{r}_{mp} v upshifts p times the rows of v so that the $(kp)^{**}$ row of $v, 1 \leq \kappa \leq m-1$, will be the $(\kappa-1)p$. one of $Z_{mn}^r v$, the following interesting relation is obviously deduced

$$
P Z_{mp}^{pT} V = \left[\begin{array}{c} Z^T V_p \\ X' \end{array} \right]
$$

In this direction, it is implicitly said that one is applying the permutation matrix P to the structure of V. Hence, it is useful to analyze the aspect of the generator P_{m-1} U . For direct matrix manipulations lead us to consider P_{m-1} block-vector, where its jumpleck-component ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$) is precisely the $m \times p$ matrix $e_{m-1} f_i$. Consequently, the first m rows of $F_{m-1} U^*$ form the $m \times (np)$ matrix $e_{m-1}y^* = e_{m-1}f_0U^*$ where its last row is the first one of U^* and otherwise, the elements are reduced to zero. Ulearly $-y_\parallel$ is the first row of $-U_\perp$ which is simply an alignement of the first rows of the blocks $B_0^{\circ\circ},\ldots,B_{n-1}^{\circ}$. It follows from the above observation related to $B^m(x)$ that:

$$
-y^{t} = (x_0^{m}, mx_0^{m-1}, \ldots, (m)_{p-1}x_0^{m-p+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{m}, mx_{n-1}^{m-1}, \ldots, (m)_{p-1}x_{n-1}^{m-p+1})
$$

where $(m)_k = \frac{m}{k!}$. Taking into account these considerations and the fact that we are concerned with the first m rows only, as we look forward V_p , we first observe that

$$
P Z_{mn}^{p} V = P(VD + E_{n-1}U^{t}) = PVD + PE_{n-1}U^{t}
$$

from which we conclude that the Sylvester's equation of the confluent Vandermonde matrix V_p is given by:

$$
Z^T V_p - V_p D = e_{m-1} y^t
$$

Clearly this structure appears as a natural generalization of that of the usual Vandermonde matrices. However, because of the fact that D is a block-diagonal matrix and not a diagonal one, one may expect serious problems, as it will be seen in section 6, when trying to safely carry out, via this equation, the results established in the simple case

5. Normalization and the inverse formula. In the previous section, we have shown that the confluent Vandermonde matrix V_p is a solution of the Sylvester's equation below:

$$
Z^T X - X D = e_{m-1} y^t
$$

Conversely, it is possible to determine the matrix X for which this equation is verified. Nevertheless, for arbitrary vectors y , the obtained matrix X has a queer aspect and is not a priori representative in a convinced manner inasmuch one feels being far away from the confluent Vandermonde context. As a remedy, we show here that the vectors y of the form:

$$
y^t = (t_0, 0, \ldots, 0, t_1, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, t_{n-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)
$$

give rise to solutions X with elements of simple expressions. Beforehand we suggest a normalization procedure over the Sylvester's structure which allows to conclude that there is no loss in generality when considering the particular y just above.

We first apply over the considered equation a suitable diagonal matrix K so that the non null elements along the super-diagonal of D will be reduced to 1. To this end, let K' and K denote respectively the following $p \times p$ and $np \times np$ diagonal matrices

$$
K' = diag(1, 1!, 2!, \ldots, (p-1)!), \quad K = diag(K', K', \ldots, K')
$$

It is clear that the block-diagonal and upper bidiagonal matrix $\Delta \equiv K D K$ \equiv diag S x S xn- has the desired form since

On the other hand, the transformation we perform over the Sylvester's structure consists simply in post multiplying it by the diagonal matrix K^{-1} . In doing so, one realizes that using the variable change, $Y = A K^{-1}$, the Sylvester's equation becomes

$$
Z^T Y - Y \Delta = e_{m-1} y^t K = e_{m-1} g^t
$$

An interesting feature in this normalization step is the blocks Simulation step is that the blocks Simulation step is the blocks Si block-diagonal matrix Δ are upper bidiagonal Toeplitz matrices each of which thereby commutes with any other upper triangular Toeplitz matrix In the next transformation, we will make useful of this important property.

 \mathcal{C} . The constant matrix matrix matrix matrix matrix matrix matrix matrix \mathcal{C} order p , and set:

$$
R = diag(R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_{n-1}),
$$

that is R is a block-diagonal matrix where each block-element is a $p \times p$ matrix and its block-main diagonal is formed by the introduced Toeplitz matrices R_i . Clearly, if the structure is post-multiplied by R then remarking that $\Delta R =$ $R\Delta$, we obtain:

$$
Z^T Y R - Y R \Delta = e_{m-1} g^t R = e_{m-1} w^t
$$

so that the variable change, $Y \rightarrow YR$, does not alter the overall required structure of the transformed Sylvester's equation. Therefore, it is possible in general to choose the matrices R_i in such a way that the coordinates w_{ip+k} , $k \neq$ 0 , of the row-vector

$$
w^t=(w_0,w_1,\ldots,w_{np-1})
$$

will be reduced to zero. It is readily seen that this operation fails if and only if there exists at least one coordinate of w of the form w_{ip} reduced to zero. Up to now, it is assumed that the transformations we may apply does not lead to this situation. It is necessary to note, by the way, that this normalization is reversible since the the Toeplitz matrices R_i can be reconstructed systematically.

Let us now proceed to determine explicitly the solution X we will denote hence for the Sylvester \mathcal{N} and the Sylvester equation of the Sylv

$$
ZT X - X\Delta = -e_{m-1}yt
$$

$$
yt = (1, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0, ..., 1, 0, ..., 0)
$$

in terms of the numbers \mathbb{I}_k . Hentry \mathbb{I}_k are the \mathbb{I}_k and \mathbb{I}_k and \mathbb{I}_k and \mathbb{I}_k and \mathbb{I}_k write it is that that if it is and if \mathcal{P} is a substitution of \mathcal{P} the elements of X in its structure, it is easily verified that:

$$
\begin{cases}\nx_j a_{m-1,h} = 1 & (k = 0) \\
a_{m-1,h-1} + x_j a_{m-1,h} = 0 & (1 \le k < p) \\
a_{m-i+1,h} - x_j a_{m-i,h} = 0 & (k = 0) \\
a_{m-i+1,h} - (a_{m-i,h-1} + x_j a_{m-i,h}) = 0 & (1 \le k < p)\n\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, these recurrence relations fulfilled by the elements of the matrix X provide the way of computing each $a_{m-i,h}$. Even more, they allow to show, i k that is a some reasoning about the state reasoning about the state reasoning about the state reasoning about the state of the s

$$
a_{m-i,h} = (-1)^k \binom{k+i}{k+1} x_j^{-(k+i)}
$$

and the row considering the row α and α and the column α and α and α \mathcal{L} realizes that \mathcal{L} are geometrical progressions from \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} which is in deduced that the formula is true for formula is the formula is true for k in the other hands the other hand in the other than the set $\{1, ..., n\}$ and $\{1, ..., n\}$ is the set of $\{1, ..., n\}$ i i m and we set the set of the most control of the set of the set

$$
b_{ih} = (-x_j)^k a_{m-i,h},
$$

then after simple calculations, we can perform the operations below:

k-

$$
b_{i,h} = (-x_j)^{k-1} a_{m-i,h-1} + (-1)^k x_j^{k-1} a_{m-i+1,h}
$$

\n
$$
= (-x_j)^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1} {k-1+i \choose k} x_j^{-(k-1+i)} + (-1)^k x_j^{k-1} (-1)^k {k+i-1 \choose k+1} x_j^{-(k+i-1)}
$$

\n
$$
= {k-1+i \choose k} x_j^{-i} + {k+i-1 \choose k+1} x_j^{-i}
$$

\n
$$
= ({k+i-1 \choose k} + {k+i-1 \choose k+1}) x_j^{-i}
$$

\n
$$
= ({k+i \choose k} x_j^{-i}
$$

It follows directly that $a_{m-i,h} = (-1)^n x_j^{-n} b_{ih} = (-1)^n {n+i \choose k+1} x_j^{-n+i}$ and, as a consequence, the desired formula holds for all elements of the matrix X .

As an application to this result, it is possible to derive an expressive formula for the inverse of nonsingular $m \times m$ matrices which are solutions of the just established in the structure α is structure indeed if α is structure if α . We have α is the solution of the normalized equation, then it is easy to check that:

$$
W^{-1}Z^{T} - \Delta W^{-1} = W^{-1}e_{m-1}y^{t}W^{-1},
$$

and by applying the anti-transpose operator T_2 , we obtain:

$$
Z^T W^{-T_2} - W^{-T_2} \Delta^{T_2} = W^{-T_2} \bar{y} e_1^t W^{-T_2}
$$

 \bar{y} being the mirror vector of y. As a consequence, it is possible to assert that under the conditions where the normalization just presented does not fail, there exist an $m \times m$ lower triangular Toeplitz matrix L and n upper triangular To a represent matrix matrix \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} are proposed by \mathbf{r}

$$
L^T W^{-T_2} R = W(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots, x_0)
$$

where R diag R Rn-

Transformation to structure the matrices Western Here the assessment were the aspect the aspect of the aspect of \mathcal{S} discussed in section 3 where it is shown that if the block-elements of a blockvandermonde matrix v_b are symmetric matrices then $v_b v_b$ is a Hankel matrix see In our situation however this result might logically fail in general since the block Vandermonde matrix from which the confluent Vandermonde one is extracted has not the symmetry property: the block-elements are, rather, upper triangular matrices. To palliate this difficulty, we suggest the approach of looking forward matrices A such that $V_p A V_p^\top$ is a Hankel or even a Hankel-like matrix. Let A be such a matrix, that is $V_p A V_p^{\top}$ is Hankel:

$$
Z^{T}V_{p}AV_{p}^{T} - V_{p}AV_{p}^{T}Z = ae_{m-1}^{t} - e_{m-1}a^{t}
$$

Using the structures of V_p and V_p^- , it is readily verified under reasonable assumptions χ , μ and at matrix and and a quare consistency and a calculations are calculated calculations that

$$
DA - AD^T = vu^t - uv^t
$$

where $u = v_p^{-1}a + y$, $v = v_p^{-1}e_{m-1}$. Therefore, it is natural to investigate the simple case where the right hand side is reduced to zero ie to deter mine the matrices A for which $DA \equiv AD^*$. If we set $B \equiv NAN^{-*}$, where N is the diagonal matrix introduced in the preceding section, then the problem amounts to compute B verifying $B\Delta = \Delta B$. In this direction, we saw that the block-diagonal matrix Δ is formed by the upper bidiagonal Toeplitz matrices \sim \sim μ , is not have that the matrix that the matrix that the matrix of μ

$$
B = diag(R_0J_p, R_1J_p, \ldots, R_{n-1}J_p),
$$

where the R_i are $p \times p$ upper triangular Toepliz matrices and J_p denotes the $p \times p$ reverse identity matrix, commutes with Δ . In the particular case where

for all i , $\left\langle n_i \right\rangle$ is reduced to the $p \times p$ identity matrix, one nhas that v_p r v_p^{-} is a Hankel matrix where

$$
F = K^{-1} diag(J_p, J_p, \ldots, J_p) K
$$

Inough this result permits to express V_p \bar{v} or V_p \bar{v} with the help of Hankel matrices deducing nence algorithms for calculating v_p - using those inverting a Hankel matrix, there is unfortunately a disadvantage making it somewhat meaningless. That is in the real case, the matrix v_p r v_p^- is not positive definite, so that there is no way to compute the pseudo inverse or "the weighted one" of V^T using such a matrix. On the other hand, if we assume that $DA = AD^{\perp} \equiv G H$ corresponding to the case where VAV^{\perp} is in general a Hankel-like matrix, it turns out that this situation yields more complicated symmetric matrices A

As an alternative approach, we proceed now to construct matrices A for which VAV^T are Toeplitz-like, where it is assumed here that the $m \times np$ matrix m and satisfact the Sylvester's the Sylvester's equations the Sylvester of Sylvester's equation below the Sylvester's

$$
Z^T V - V \Delta = e_{m-1} y^t
$$

in such a way that VK is a confluent Vandermonde matrix, K being the diagonal matrix introduced in the previous section On the other hand let construct the $np \times np$ matrix C defined as follows:

$$
\bar{Z}^TC-C\Delta^{T_2}=g_{np-1}z^t
$$

where Z stands for the $np \times np$ displacement matrix. It is clear that the vector z may be chosen such that CK is a nonsingular confluent Vandermonde matrix. Therefore if we set $W = C^{-2}$ and $A = W W$ then one may claim that $V A V$. is a Toeplitz-like matrix. To show this, let us write

$$
X \equiv Y
$$

for meaning that the dierence X -Y of the matrices X and Y is a summation of r outer products with r fixed. Thus using simple arguments, one finds that:

$$
Z^T V A V^T Z = Z^T V W W^T V^T Z
$$

\n
$$
\equiv V \Delta W W^T \Delta^T V^T
$$

\n
$$
\equiv V W \bar{Z}^T \bar{Z} W^T V^T
$$

\n
$$
\equiv V W W^T V^T
$$

\n
$$
= V A V^T
$$

which permits to conclude that VAV is indeed a Toephtz-like matrix. In \blacksquare fact, it is possible to show that the product $V W$ is a Toeplitz matrix so that its covariance matrix will be evidently Toeplitz -like. Indeed, one has just to post-multiply the structure

$$
Z^T V - V \Delta = e_{m-1} y^t
$$

of V by the introduced matrix W and then use the structure of C in order to find that of VW . It is not hard to check that:

$$
\Delta W = W \bar{Z}^T - \bar{z} g_0^{\, t}
$$

which can be substituted in the structure of V multiplied by W . In doing so, one finds that:

$$
Z^T V W - V W \bar{Z}^T = e_{m-1} y^t W - V \bar{z} g_0^t
$$

from which we conclude that our task is accomplished

Besides the general interest of this result, it deserves to say that it is more significative than the just established one in the sense that A and VAV^T are symmetric positive definite matrices and the solution x of the linear system $VAV^T x = VWb$ solves the least squares minimization problem below:

$$
min ||W^T V^T x - b||_2
$$

The importance of this observation lies in the fact that there are asymptotically fast methods in order to solve it Indeed one may exploit the O np lognplogn fast method of [17] for computing the products VAV^T and VWb , and on the other hand it is possible to solve the symmetric positive definite and Toeplitz-like system $VAV^+x = VWD$ with $O(m \log^2 m)$ operations only appealing the rapid techniques developed in $\lvert \circ \rvert$. Hence, $\cup \lvert np \rvert \log np \rvert \log n + m \log^{-} m$ arithmetic operations are sufficient for solving the just introduced least squares minimization problem

7. Confluent Vandermonde least squares minimizations. In this section, we present another application of the Sylvester s structure of the m np m np), real confluent Vandermonde matrix V_p and show that it is possible to construct an $O((np)^{-})$ algorithm for computing the Cholesky factorization of its covariance matrix v_n^+ v_p . The idea is to establish a Sylvester s structure for the covariance matrix which can be achieved by computing D^+ V_p^+ V_p D^- using the structures of V_p and V_p^- . We can write:

$$
D^T V_p^T V_p D = (V_p^T Z - y e_{m-1}^t) (Z^T V_p - e_{m-1} y^t) = V_p^T Z Z^T V_p + y y^t
$$

Observing that $\Delta Z^{\tau} \equiv I_m - e_0 e_0^{\tau}$, and denoting by v the first row of V_p , it is readily verified that:

$$
D^T V_p^T V_p D + v v^t = V_p^T V_p + y y^t
$$

Let now $V_p^* V_p = R^* R$ be the Cholesky factorization of the covariance matrix V_p V_p , and let substitute it into this relation:

$$
D^T R^T R D + v v^t = R^T R + y y^t
$$

Therefore, we obtain what we can call a rank-2 modification of the Cholesky factorization with the difference that here we are concerned with the determination of the matrix R . It is therefore logical to appeal to the well known techniques used for and adapt them to our situation see for example As it is customary in such a case, we will multiply the augmented matrices below:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} y^t \\ R \end{array} \right], \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} v^t \\ RD \end{array} \right]
$$

by appropriate Givens rotations. The fundamental property of which we take advantage is that if a step in the method stands for a multiplication by a pair of Givens plane rotations then each row or R income RVM is modern come the position In what follows, we describe the first step where it is shown how the first row of R is determined Let H- and H- μ is the following plane rotations.

$$
H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s & c & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & -\gamma & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \gamma & \delta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

such that the first coordinates v_0 and y_0 of v and y respectively are reduced to zero, where:

$$
H_1 \left[\begin{array}{c} v^t \\ RD \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} v'^t \\ R'' \end{array} \right]
$$

$$
H_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} y^t \\ R \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} y'^t \\ R' \end{array} \right]
$$

and

According to the property stated above, one maintains that the first row of
$$
R''
$$
 and that of R' are identical, and moreover they remain unmodified during the subsequent Givens rotations. Taking into account this and the fact that $v'_0 = y'_0 = 0$, one may write the equations below:

$$
\begin{cases}\n(a) & cv_0 - sr_0x = 0\\ \n(b) & \delta y_0 - \gamma r_0 = 0\\ \n(c) & cr_0x + sv_0 = \delta r_0 + \gamma y_0\n\end{cases}
$$

where r denotes the first row of R , r_0 the first coordinate of r and $x = x_0$. It is readily seen that the last relation relation c can be also expressed as follows

$$
x^2r_0^2+v_0^2=r_0^2+y_0^2\\
$$

from which one obtains the value of r_0 :

$$
r_0 = \sqrt{\frac{y_0^2 - v_0^2}{x^2 - 1}},
$$

as a consequence the numbers c, s, δ, γ can be calculated as follows:

$$
d = \sqrt{r_0^2 + y_0^2}
$$
, $c = \frac{r_0 x}{d}$, $s = \frac{v_0}{d}$, $\delta = \frac{r_0}{d}$, $\gamma = \frac{y_0}{d}$

On the other hand, since the first row of R' and that of R'' are identical, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

$$
cr^tD + sv^t = \delta r^t + \gamma y^t
$$

and thereby

$$
r^t = (\gamma y^t - s v^t)(\delta I_{np} - cD)^{-1}
$$

is the solution of a bidiagonal system. Of course, the row vectors v'^t and y'^t can be computed easily as a preparation for computing analogously the subsequent rows of R . We have:

$$
v^{'t} = cv - sr^t D, \ \ y^{'t} = \delta y - \gamma r^t
$$

It is obvious that the upcoming steps for computing the rows of the Cholesky factor R may be realized identically since the shape of the augmented matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} y^t \\ R \end{array} \right], \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} v^t \\ R D \end{array} \right]
$$

after each step may be rearranged in such a way to have the original one.

We have thus sketched a possible method for performing the Cholesky factor ization of v_p^* v_p using $O((np)^*)$ operations. However as it may be observed, it contains divisions and therefore care must be taken in its implementation If it happens that a division by zero occurs for computing an unknown, we suggest as a solution the use of the classical Cholesky factorization algorithm in order to circumvent the problem. In this perspective, it is not hard to remark that if there are cosines c and δ corresponding to a same step, say the first one, and an index κ such that $cx_k = o$ then the $(\kappa + 1)p^{\cdots}$ coordinate $r_{(k+1)p-1}$ of r and only it is missed in the relation $cr^tD + sv^t = \delta r^t + \gamma y^t$ on which the computation of r is based. Since the rows of the factor R are determined by this method in an ascending order and each contains at most two coordinates in difficulty, it follows that the classical Cholesky factorization approach can be utilized suc cessfully to compute rk-p- without altering the total computational time

We end this discussion by noting that the guidelines of the Cholesky factoriza tion method developed here can be used to compute the Cholesky factorization of the matrix v_n^+ $\Im v_p^-$ where \Im is a symmetric positive definite Toephitz matrix.

8. Generalization. In view of the formalization established in this paper, it  Generalization In view of the formalization established in this paper it is natural to consider what we may call the generalized confluent Vandermonde matrices defined as solutions of Sylvester's structures of the type:

$$
Z^T X - X \Delta = GH
$$

where in general the generator GH is a summation of - outer products being a relatively small positive integer and is the blockdiagonal matrix introduced in section 4. Such a definition is precisely motivated by the fact that the linear operator

$$
\Phi: X \to \Phi(X) = Z^T X - X \Delta
$$

is one-to-one, so that one is assured of the existence and uniqueness of the solution X of the equation X of the right hand side matrix X and side matrix X is the right hand side matrix X B. The problem that obviously arises in this context is to develop algorithms manipulating the generalized confluent Vandermonde matrices in a way similar to that available for the simple case where - It is readily seen that the

transformation to structured matrices shown in section 6 as well as the Cholesky factorization described in section 7 can be directly extended to this case. On the other hand, it follows directly from the linearity of the operator Φ and from the results of section 5, that if A is a generalized confluent Vandermonde matrix and the solution of the Sylvester's equation just established, then there are generally - and - correspondent triangular matrices and - and matrices R^{\pm}, \ldots, R^{\pm} = where each block is a $p \times p$ upper triangular Toeplitz matrix, such that:

$$
A = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} L_k^T W(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) R^k
$$

As a consequence, the complexity of realizing matrix vector multiplications with generalized conuent Vandermonde matrices is up to the - multiplicative fac tor, the same as that of computing the confluent Vandermonde matrix vector multiplication It is thus possible to construct fast algorithms by exploiting the fast methods developed in $[17]$. Combining this observation with the results of section 6 , one may conclude that it is possible to construct asymptotically fast algorithms for computing the inverse of a nonsingular generalized confluent Vandermonde matrix using the methods presented in -

References

- [1] A.V. Aho, J.E.Hopcroft and J.D.Ullman. The design and analysis of computer algorithms Addison Wesly, Reading, MA.1973
- [2] A. Ballester and V. Perreyra. On the construction of discrete approximations to linear dierential expressions math Comp and Map and Math Computer Computer Computer Computer Computer Compu
- [3] G. Bitmead and B.D.O.Anderson. Asymptotically fast solution of Toeplitz and related systems Lin Alg Applies and the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the con
- [4] A. Björk and T. Elfving. Algorithms for confluent Vandermonde systems Numer Math pp
- [5] A. Björk and V. Perreyra. Solution of Vandermonde systems of equations Math Comp pp-
- [6] R. Brent, F.G. Gustavson and D.Y.Y. Yun. Fast solutions of Toeplitz systems of equations and computations and computation of Pade approximation of Pade approximation of Pade approximatio pp.259-295
- [7] J.F. Canny, E.Kaltofen and L.Yagati. Solving systems of non linear equations faster in Proceeding is one of ordinate to observe the proper beginner in the symbolic symbolic Algebraic Comput Assoc Comput Mach NewYork - pp
- J Chun and T Kailath Divide and conquer solutions of least squares problems for matrices with displacement structure SIAM J. Matrix. Anal. Appli pp-
- [9] P.E.Gill, G.H.Golub, W.M.Murray, and Saunder's Methods for modifying matrix factorizations MathComp- pp
- [10] I. Gohberg and V. Olshevsky. Fast algorithms with preprocessing for matrixvector multiplication problems J. Complexity at provide problems in the complexity of \mathcal{L}
- [11] G.H. Golub and C.F.VanLoan. Matrix Computations 3^{rd} ed. The John Hopkin's Press, Baltimore 1996
- [12] G. Heinig and K.Rost. Algebraic Methods for Toeplitz-like matrices and operators Akademic-Verlag Berlin and Birkhauser - 1990
- [13] N.J. Higham. Fast solution of Vandermonde-like systems involving orthogonal polynomials IMA J Numer Anal-African Polynomials II Numer Anal-African Polynomials II Numer Anal-African
- [14] N.J. Higham. Stability analysis of algorithms for solving confluent Vandermondelike systems SIAM J Matrix Anal Appli pp
- [15] T. Kailath, S.Y.Kung and M.Morf. Displacement ranks of a matrix Bull. American Society of the Math Society of th
- [16] T. Kailath and A.H.Sayed. Displacement structure: theory and applications between the contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second
- [17] H. Lu. Fast solution of confluent Vandermonde linear systems $SIMM$ J. matrix and an applies to the property of the property of the second contract of the
- H Lu Solution of Vandermondelike systems and conuent Vandermonde like systems green year and he recent to replace the start pp-recent and
- [19] M. Morf Doubling algorithms for Toeplitz and related equations in Proc. IEEE Internat. Conf. on Acoustics, speech and signal processing algorithms, denver IEEE Press Piscataway NJ Press Piscataway NJ Press Piscataway NJ Press Piscataway NJ Press Piscataway NJ
- [20] W.P. Tang and G.H.Golub. The block decomposition of a Vandermonde matrix and its applications BIT is applications by a pplications of the second secon