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Abstract
I present an efficient algorithm which lists the minimal separators of a planar graph in $O(n)$ per separator.
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Résumé
Je présente un algorithme d’énumération des séparateurs minimaux des graphes planaires dont la complexité est $O(n)$ par séparateur.
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Listing the minimal separators of a planar graph

1 Introduction

In this paper, we address the problem of finding the minimal separators of a connected planar graph \(G\).

In the last ten years, minimal separators have been an increasingly used tool in graph theory with many algorithmic applications (for example [4], [7], [8], [10]).

For example, minimal separators are an essential tool to study the treewidth and the minimum fill-in of graphs. In [4], Bodlaender and al. conjecture that for a class of graphs which have a polynomial number of minimal separators, these problems can be solved in polynomial time.

Bouchitté and Todinca introduced the notion of potential maximal clique (see [2]) and showed that if the number of potential maximal cliques is polynomial, treewidth and minimum fill-in can indeed be solved in polynomial time. They later showed in [3] that if a graph has a polynomial number of minimal separators, then it has a polynomial number of potential maximal cliques. Those results rely on deep understandings of minimal separators.

Some research has been done to compute the set of the minimal separators of a graph ([1], [5], [6],[9]). In [1], Berry and al. proposed an algorithm of running time \(O(n^3)\) per separator which uses the idea of generating a new minimal separator from an older one \(S\) by looking at the separator \(S \cup N(x)\) for \(x \in S\). This separator is not minimal but the neighbourhoods of the connected components it defines are. This simple process can generate all the minimal separators of a graph. The counterpart is that a minimal separator can be generated many times.

In this paper, we adapt this idea to connected planar graphs but to avoid the problem of recalculation, we define the set \(S_{a,b}(S,O)\) of the \(a\), \(b\)-minimal separators \(S'\) for some \(b \in B\) that are such that the connected component of \(a\) in \(G\backslash S'\) contains the connected component of \(a\) in \(G\backslash S\) but avoids the set \(O\). This way we put restrictions on the minimal separators we compute to ensure we do not compute the same minimal separator over and over.

2 Definitions

Throughout this paper, \(G = (V,E)\) will be a connected graph without loops with \(n = |V|\) and \(m = |E|\). For \(x \in V\), \(N(x) = \{y \mid (x,y) \in E\}\) and for \(C \subseteq V\), \(N(C) = \{y \notin C \mid \exists x \in C, (x,y) \in E\}\).

A set \(S \subseteq V\) is an \(a,b\) minimal separator if \(a\) and \(b\) are in two distinct connected components of \(G\backslash S\) and no proper subset of \(S\) separates them. \(S_{a,b}\) is the set of the \(a\), \(b\)-minimal separators and \(S_{a,V}\) is the set \(\cup_{b \in B} S_{a,b}\). An \(a\), \(\ast\)-minimal separator is an element of \(S_{a,V}\). We will abbreviate \(S_{a,V}\) in \(S_a\). The set \(S^a_{a,V}\) is the set of the minimal separators that run through \(a\) and \(S^a_{a,V}\) is the set of the minimal separators that does not. \(C_a(S)\) is the connected component of \(a\) in \(G\backslash S\). The component \(C_a(S)\) is a full connected component if \(N(C_a(S)) = S\). A set \(S\) is a minimal separator if there exists \(a\) and \(b\) which make it an \(a,b\)-minimal separator or, which is equivalent, if it has at least two full connected components. \(S\) is the set of the minimal separators of \(G\).

We can order the \(a\), \(\ast\)-minimal separators in the following way:

\[ S_1 \preceq S_2 \quad \text{if} \quad C_a(S_1) \subseteq C_a(S_2). \]

For \(S\) an \(a\), \(\ast\)-minimal separator \(B \subseteq V\) and \(O \subseteq V\), the set \(S_{a,B}(S,O)\) is the set of the \(a\), \(B\)-minimal separators \(S'\) such that \(S \preceq S'\) and \(O \cap C_a(S') = \emptyset\). And if \(x \in V\), the set \(S^x_{a,B}(S,O)\) is the set of \(S' \in S_{a,B}(S,O)\) such that \(x \in C_a(S')\).

The set of the vertices \(b\) such that an \(a\), \(\ast\)-minimal separator \(S\) is an \(a\), \(b\)-minimal separator is the identity of \(S\) denoted by \(B_S\).

We want to compute the set \(S_a\). To do so, we will decompose it recursively in a union of sets \(S_{a,B}(S,O)\). The following remarks and lemmas give us an obvious way to do it.

Property 1 Let \(S\) be an \(a\), \(\ast\)-minimal separator, \(x \in S\), \(O \subseteq V\) and \(S_i\) be the neighbourhood of the connected components of \(G\backslash (N(C_a(S) \cup \{x\}))\).
The sets $S_i$ are $a,\ast$-minimal separators. We have the disjoint unions:

$$S_{a,B_2}(S,O) = S_{a,B_2}(S,O \cup \{x\}) \bigcup S^x_{a,B_2}(S,O)$$

$$S^x_{a,B_2}(S,O) = \bigsqcup S_{a,B_2}(S_i,O).$$

Proof. The first equality is obvious.

Let us prove the second one.

Let $T = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_{a,B_2}(S_i,O)$ is clearly a subset of $S^x_{a,B_2}(S,O)$.

Let $S_1 \in S^x_{a,B_2}(S,O)$ and $b \in B_2$ such that $S_1$ is an $a,b$-minimal separator. Let $C$ be the connected component of $b$ in $G \setminus (N(C_a(S)) \cup \{x\})$. By definition $S_i = N(C) = S_j$ which is absurd.

Let $S' \in S_{a,B_2}(S_i,O)$. $B_{S'} \subseteq B_2$, which proves that $S_{a,B_2}(S_1,O)$ and $S_{a,B_2}(S_j,O)$ are disjoint for otherwise $B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$.

This proves that the second union is disjoint.

Now let us prove that the union is disjoint.

The sets $B_i$ and $B_j$ are disjoint ($i \neq j$). Otherwise, let $b \in B_i \cap B_j$ and $C$ be the connected component of $b$ in $G \setminus (N(C_a(S)) \cup \{x\})$. By definition $S_i = N(C) = S_j$ which is absurd.

Let $S' \in S_{a,B_2}(S_i,O)$. $B_{S'} \subseteq B_2$, which proves that $S_{a,B_2}(S_1,O)$ and $S_{a,B_2}(S_j,O)$ are disjoint for otherwise $B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$.

This proves that the second union is disjoint. \qed

Property 1 proves that the following algorithm is correct.

**ALGORITHM:** \_calc2_

**input:**
- $G = (V,E)$ a graph
- $a$ a vertex of $G$
- $S$ an $a,\ast$-minimal separator
- $O$ a subset of $S$

**output:**
- $S_{a,B}(S,O)$

**begin**

if $S \setminus O = \emptyset$ then
  return\{$S$\}
else
  $B \leftarrow$ \_calc\_B\(_G,a,S\)
  let $x \in S \setminus O$
  $S \leftarrow$ \_calc2\_\(_G,a,B,S,O \cup \{x\}\)$

  for each $S_i$ in \_find\_min\_elements\(_G,a,x,S,O\)$
    $B_i \leftarrow$ \_calc\_B\(_G,a,S_i\)$
    $S \leftarrow S \cup$ \_calc2\_\(_G,a,B_i,S_i,O\)$

  return\(_S\)$

end

To compute \_find\_min\_elements and \_calc\_B, we can use a graph search but if $S_{a,B}(S,O)$ is empty, we still need the graph search. And in the worst case, all these sets are empty which leads to a running time of $O(nm/\text{separator})$.

### 3 Planar graphs

In a planar graph, $m = O(n)$. The running time of this algorithm is $O(n^2/\text{separator})$. 
We will now prove that the complexity of finding the \( a, \ast \)-minimal separators of a planar graph is \( O(n/\text{separator}) \) and that the complexity of finding all the minimal separators of a planar graph is \( O(n) \).

Let \( \Sigma \) be the plane. A plane graph \( G_\Sigma = (V_\Sigma, E_\Sigma) \) is a graph drawn on the plane, that is \( V_\Sigma \subset \Sigma \) and each \( e \in E_\Sigma \) is a simple curve of \( \Sigma \) between two vertices of \( V_\Sigma \) in such a way that the interiors of two distinct edges do not meet. We will denote by \( \tilde{G}_\Sigma \) the drawing of \( G_\Sigma \). A planar graph is the abstract graph of a plane graph. We will consider plane graphs up to a topological homeomorphism.

A face of \( G_\Sigma \) is a connected component of \( \Sigma \setminus \tilde{G}_\Sigma \).

### 3.1 Minimal separators of 2-connected planar graphs

**Property 2** In a planar graph, if \( S \) and \( S' \) are minimal separators and \( S \subset S' \), then \( |S| \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( S \subset S' \) are two minimal separators of a planar graph and \( |S| > 2 \).

Let \( a, b, c, d \) be vertices such that \( S' \) is an \( a, b \)-minimal separator and \( S \) is an \( c, d \)-minimal separator. Since \( S \) is not an \( a, b \)-minimal separator, either \( C_a(S') \) or \( C_d(S') \) is disjoint with \( C_a(S') \) and \( C_d(S') \). Suppose that \( C_a(S') \) is such a component. \( C_a(S) = C_a(S') \) and \( N(C_a(S)) = S \).

But then \( G \) admits \( K_{3,3} \) as a minor for if we contract \( C_a(S') \), \( C_d(S') \) and \( C_a(S) \) into the vertices \( a', b' \) and \( c' \), all these vertices have \( S \) in their neighbourhood and \( |S| \geq 3 \). This contradicts the fact that \( G \) is planar. \( \square \)

We say that a curve \( \mu \) of \( \Sigma \) is \( G_\Sigma \) nice if \( \mu \cap G_\Sigma \subseteq V_{\Sigma} \).

**Property 3** Let \( \mu \) be a \( G_\Sigma \) nice lace that separates at least two vertices \( a \) and \( b \) of \( V_{\Sigma} \).

The set \( V(\mu) \) is an \( a, b \)-separator of \( G_\Sigma \).

**Proof.** Let \( p \) be a path in \( G_\Sigma \) from \( a \) to \( b \). Since \( a \) and \( b \) are not in the same connected component of \( \Sigma \setminus \mu \), \( \tilde{p} \) intersects \( \mu \). By construction, \( \tilde{p} \cap G_\Sigma \subseteq V_{\Sigma} \). This implies that every path from \( a \) to \( b \) meets \( V(\mu) \) and so \( V(\mu) \) is an \( a, b \)-separator. \( \square \)

**Property 4** Let \( S \) be an \( a, b \)-minimal separator of \( G \). There exists a \( G_\Sigma \) nice Jordan lace \( \mu \) that separates the vertices of \( C_a(S) \) and \( C_b(S) \) and such that \( V(\mu) = S \).

**Proof.** Let \( C \) be the connected component of \( a \) in \( G \setminus S \). Contract \( C \) into a super-vertex \( v_C \) to build the graph \( G_{C/} \). There is a \( (G_{C/})_\Sigma \) nice lace \( \mu_C \) which separates \( v_C \) and the other vertices of \( (G_{C/})_\Sigma \) and such that \( V(\mu_C) = N(v_C) \).

Suppose that \( \mu \) is not a Jordan lace. Let \( \mu' \) be the border of the connected component of \( b \) in \( \Sigma \setminus \mu \). The curve \( \mu' \) is a sub-lace of \( \mu \) and is the border of two simply-connected components of \( \Sigma \setminus \mu' \) (the one containing \( v_C \) and \( b \)) so \( \mu' \) is a Jordan lace.

In the graph \( G_\Sigma \), \( \mu' \) corresponds to a Jordan lace that separates \( a \) and \( b \) and such that \( V(\mu) = S \). \( \square \)

Property 4 shows that the minimal separators of a planar graph \( G \) can be seen as a \( G_\Sigma \) nice Jordan laces. We can obtain from this point of view an exact criteria for the minimal separators of a 2-connected planar graph.

### 3.2 Ordered separators

**Definition 1** An ordered separator of \( G \) is a sequence of distinct vertices

\[
(v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}), \quad (p > 1)
\]

such that

\[i. \text{ there exists a face to which } v_i \text{ and } v_{i+1[p]} \text{ are both incident; }
\]

\[ii. v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are incident to a common face only if } i = j + 1[p] \text{ or } j = i + 1[p];
\]
iii. there is no face incident to \(v_i, v_{i+1}[p]\) and \(v_{i+2}[p]\).

iv. if \(p = 2\) then there exists 2 distinct faces \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) incident to both \(v_0\) and \(v_1\) such that if \((v_0, v_1) \in E\), either \(f_1\) or \(f_2\) is not incident to \((v_0, v_1)\).

The notation \(i[p]\) means \(i\) modulo \(p\).

We say that a set \(S = \{v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}\) is an ordered separator if there exists a permutation \(\sigma\) such that \((v_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(p-1)})\) is an ordered separator.

If \(S = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1})\) is an ordered separator of \(G\), then \(S\) is naturally associated to the set \(\{v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}\). We will either use an ordered separator as a sequence or as the corresponding set.

**Remark 1** If \(p > 3\), the third condition is a corollary of the second for \(v_i\) et \(v_{i+2}[p]\) would be too far apart.

**Remark 2** If \(S\) is an ordered separator and \(S'\) is a sub-ordered separator of \(S\), then \(|S'| = 2\).

**Lemma 1** Every minimal separator \(S\) of \(G\) is ordered.

**Proof.** Let \(S\) be an \(a, b\)-minimal separator of \(G\).

The property 4 states that there exists a \(G_\Sigma\) nice Jordan lace \(\mu\) that separates \(a\) and \(b\) and such that \(V(\mu) = S\). Let \(v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}\) be the vertices through which \(\mu\) goes. We know that \(S = \{v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}\).

Let us prove that \(T = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1})\) is an ordered separator corresponding to \(S\).

i. Since \(\mu\) goes from \(v_i\) to \(v_{i+1}\), without going through another vertex, \(v_i\) and \(v_{i+1}\) are incident to a common face.

ii. Suppose that \(v_i\) et \(v_j\) are incident to a common face \(f\) and that \(i+1 \neq j[p]\) and \(j+1 \neq i[p]\).

There is a curve \(\nu\) from \(v_j\) and \(v_i\). Let \(\mu_1\) and \(\mu_2\) be the two sub-laces of \(\mu\) from \(v_i\) and \(v_j\).

\(\mu_1, \nu\) and \(\mu_2, \nu\) are \(G_\Sigma\) nice laces. Moreover, since either \(\mu_1\) or \(\mu_2\) separates \(a\) and \(b\), property 3 states that there exists an \(a, b\)-separator strictly included in \(S\) which is absurd.

iii. With the remark 1, we can suppose that \(p = 3\).

Suppose that \(v_0, v_1\) et \(v_2\) are all incident to a common face \(f\). If we add a vertex \(f\) to \(G\) that we connect to the vertices \(v_0, v_1\) and \(v_2\), the graph remains planar which is absurd for this graph has \(K_{3,3}\) as a minor. Indeed, the connected component of \(a\), the connected component of \(b\) and the vertex \(f\) are all incident to \(v_0, v_1\) and \(v_2\) which builds up a \(K_{3,3}\).

iv. Suppose that \(|S| = 2\) and \((v_0, v_1)\) is an edge of \(G\). Since \(\mu\) separates \(a\) et \(b\), \(\mu\) cannot go through the faces incident to \((v_0, v_1)\).

The sequence \(T\) is an ordered separator as required. \(\square\)

Conversely,

**Lemma 2** Every ordered separator of \(G\) is a minimal separator of \(G\).

**Proof.** Let \(S = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1})\) be an ordered separator of \(G\).

First, \(S\) is a separator. Otherwise

- if \(p > 2\), \(G\backslash S\) would be connected or empty. In both cases all the vertices of \(S\) would be incident to a common face;
- if \(p = 2\), and \(v_0\) and \(v_1\) are both incident to two distinct faces \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) then \((v_0, v_1)\) is an edge of \(G\) and \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are incident to \((v_0, v_1)\) which contradicts the definition of \(S\).

By induction on the number \(k\) of connected components of \(G\backslash S\).
• if \( k = 2 \), Suppose that \( S \) is not a minimal separator. Then at least one of the connected components of \( G \setminus S \) is a neighbourhood which is not \( S \). If \( |N(C)| = 2 \), then \( S \) is also an ordered separator of \( G \setminus C \) which is absurd for \( S \) must be a separator of \( G \setminus C \). If \( |N(C)| > 2 \), the neighbourhood of \( C \) is a sub-ordered separator of \( S \) which is also impossible.

• if \( k > 2 \), let \( S' \) be a minimal separator included in \( S \). Either \( S' = S \) and we are done, or \( S' \) is a sub-ordered separator of \( S \) which implies that \( |S'| = 2 \). Let \( C \) be a connected component of \( G \setminus S \) with \( |N(C)| = 2 \). Since \( |N(C)| = 2 \), \( S \) is also an ordered separator of \( G \setminus C \) and by induction, \( S \) is a minimal separator of \( G \setminus C \) and thus, a minimal separator of \( G \).

From lemma 1 and 2, we have the following property:

**Property 5** A set \( S \subseteq V \) is a minimal separator of a 2-connected planar graph \( G = (V, E) \) if and only if it corresponds to an ordered separator of \( G \).

4 Listing the \( a, * \)-minimal separators of a 2-connected planar graph

At this point, we have a characterisation of the minimal separators of a 2-connected planar graph. Let us see how it enables us to find out whether \( S^e_a(S, O) \) is empty or not when \( O \subseteq S \) and \( x \in S \setminus O \).

The *landing site* of an element \( x \) of an ordered separator \( S \) with \( O \subseteq S \) and \( x \notin O \) is the subsequence \( l_x(S, O) = (v_i, \ldots, v_j) \) of \( S \) containing \( x \) and such that \( v_k \in O \) (\( i \leq k \leq j \)) if and only if \( k = i \) or \( k = j \).

The following lemma gives a necessary condition for \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) \) to be non-empty.

**Lemma 3** Let \( S = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}) \) be an ordered separator of a 2-connected planar graph \( G = (V, E) \).

Let \( O \) be a subset of \( S \) and \( v_i \notin O \).

If there exists a face which is incident to both \( y \in N(v_i) \setminus C_a(S) \) and \( v_j \notin l_{v_i}(S, O) \), then \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \) be a \( G_\Sigma \) nice Jordan lace that corresponds to \( S \).

Suppose that \( y \) and \( v_i \notin l_{v_i}(S, O) \) are incident to a common face \( f \). This hypothesis implies that there exists a \( G_\Sigma \) nice curve \( \nu \) such that \( V(\nu) = \{v_i, y, v_j\} \).

Suppose for a contradiction that \( S' \) is a minimal element of \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) \). Let \( b \) be such that \( S' \) is an \( a, b \)-minimal separator and \( \mu' \) be the \( G_\Sigma \) nice Jordan lace corresponding to \( S' \).

Since \( S' \) is a subset of \((S \setminus \{v_i\}) \cup (N(v_i) \setminus C_a(S))\), we can suppose that \( \mu \) does not intersect the connected component of \( a \) in \( \Sigma \setminus \mu \). But then, since \( v_i \) is not in the landing site of \( v_i \), \( \mu' \) must cross \( \nu \) and there is a \( G_\Sigma \) nice Jordan lace \( \mu'' \) in \( \mu' \cup \nu \) that separates \( a \) and \( b \). By construction \( V(\mu'') \cap V(\mu') \) which contradicts the fact that \( \mu' \) is an \( a, b \)-minimal separator.

We can now prove the theorem

**Theorem 1** Let \( S = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1}) \) be an ordered separator of a 2-connected planar graph \( G = (V, E) \). Let \( O \) be a subset of \( S \) and \( v_i \notin O \).

The set \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) \) is not empty if and only if

i. there is no face incident to both \( y \in N(v_i) \cap B_S \) and \( v_j \notin l_{v_i}(S, O) \);
ii. there exists \( v \in N(O) \cap B_S \) which is not a neighbour of \( v_i \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) \) is not empty and that \( S' \) is an \( a, b \)-minimal separator of \( S^e_{a,B_S}(S, O) \) which is minimal.

Lemma 3 proves that condition i is satisfied.
Since $S'$ is the neighbour of the connected component of $b$ in $G \setminus (S \cup N(v_i))$, and $O \subseteq S'$, condition ii is also satisfied.

Suppose now that i and ii are true.
Number the neighbours $(y_1, \ldots, y_l)$ of $v_i$ in $B_S$ in clockwise order. Suppose that $S$ is numbered in such a way that $y_1$ and $v_i-1$ (resp. $y_l$ and $v_i+1$) are incident to a common face. Let $v_m$ and $v_n$ be the vertices of $b_v(S, O)$ which are also in $O$ ($v_n$ and $v_m$ can be equal).

Since the vertices $y_1$ and $y_l$ are incident to a common face. There exists a sequence $P = (v_n, x_0, \ldots, x_k, v_m)$ in $(S \setminus \{v_i\}) \cup \{y_1, \ldots, y_l\}$ such that $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$ are incident to a common face.

Let $P$ be such a sequence between $v_n$ and $v_m$ of minimal length. Together with $(v_m, \ldots, v_n)$, we claim that $P$ forms an ordered separator $T$ of $G$.

- By construction, the first condition of an ordered separator is satisfied;
- Since no face is incident to both $y_k$ and $l_v(S, O)$ and since $P$ is minimal, the second condition of an ordered separator is satisfied;
- Suppose that $|T| = 3$ and there exists a face which is incident to all the elements of $P$. Then all the vertices of $N(O) \cap B_S$ are also neighbours of $v_i$ which is absurd;
- If $|T| = 2$, then $P = (x_0, x_1)$ with $O = \{x_0\}$ and $x_1 \in N(v_i)$.

Since there exists a vertex $z \in N(O) \cap B_S$ which is not a neighbour of $v_i$, $P$ is not an edge of $G$ which proves that the fourth condition of an ordered separator is satisfied.

The minimal separator $T$ is clearly an $a, B_S$-minimal separator. \hfill $\square$

4.1 An algorithm

Now we have all we need to build up an algorithm to compute the set $S_{a,B_S}(S, O)$ with $O \subseteq S$.

ALGORITHM: _calc2_

input:
- $G$ a 2-connected planar graph
- $a$ a vertex of $G$
- $S = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p-1})$ an ordered $a, *$-minimal separator
- $O$ a subset of $S$

The landing sites of $S$ are tagged $i$
The faces incident to a vertex not in the landing site $i$ are tagged $i$
The vertices of $C_a(S)$ are also tagged "$C_a(S)$".

output:
- $S_{a,B_S}(S, O)$

begin
if $O = S$ then
  return($\{S\}$)
else
  let $v \in O$ be in a landing site $i$
  let $x \in S \setminus O$ be next to $v$ on $S$
tag if necessary the faces incident to $v$ with $i$ and $v$
$S \leftarrow _{calc2_}(G, a, S, O \cup \{x\})$
untag if necessary the faces incident to $v$

for each $y \in N(x)$ not tagged "$C_a(S)$"
  if $y$ is tagged $i$ then
    return($S$)
if $O = \{v\}$ and there is no neighbour of $v$ in $B_S \setminus N(x)$ then
    return($S$)
for each $S'$ in find_min_elements($G, a, x, S, O$)
    $S \leftarrow S \cup \_\text{calc2\_}(G, a, S', (v_0, \ldots, v_i))$
end

**Property 6** The algorithm \_calc2\_ is correct. It computes the set $S_{a,B_S}(S, O)$ of a 2-connected planar graph.

*Proof.* The algorithm is just an application of property 1. \qed

**Property 7** The algorithm can be implemented to compute the set $S_{a,B_S}(S, O)$ in time $O(n|S_{a,B_S}(S, O)|)$.

*Proof.* For each minimal separator $S$, the algorithm does the following:

i. the function find_min_elements produces $S$;

ii. for every $x \in S \setminus O$, there is a recursive call to \_calc2\_ to extend the set $O$;

iii. $S$ is returned.

The function find_min_elements does a graph search to compute the sets $S_i$, and to tag the vertices in $C_n(S_i)$. It orders $S_i$, tags the landings sites and the faces incident to $S_i$. In a planar graph, the number $m$ of edges satisfies $0 \leq m \leq 3n - 6$, so all this costs $O(n)$.

Each call to \_calc2\_ costs $O(d(x))$ to tag and untag the faces incident to $x$, and $O(d(x))$ to check whether $S_{n}(S, O)$ is empty or not. Since every time a different $x$ is chosen, the recursive calls to \_calc2\_ cost $O(n)$.

The overall complexity of function \_calc2\_ is $O(n|S_{a}(S, O)|)$. \qed

The algorithm \_calc2\_ does a kind of depth first search. We can use a variant that does a breadth first search which can be implemented using a queue.

The set of all the $a, \ast$-minimal separators of $G$ is equal to $\cup_{i \in I} S_{a,B_{S_i}}(S_i, \emptyset)$ for $S_i$, the minimal separators included in $N(a)$. The running time of an algorithm calc_a using \_calc2\_ to list all the $a, \ast$-minimal separator of a 2-connected planar graph is $O(n/separator)$.

From now on, $a$ will be a vertex of degree at most five of $G$.

### 4.2 Listing the minimal separators that run through $a$

A minimal separator that runs through $a$ is a $b, \ast$-minimal separator for $b \in N(a)$. So the set $S_{a}^\ast$ of the minimal separators of $G$ that run through $a$ is equal to $\cup_{b \in N(a)} S_{b,V}$. With at most five run of calc_a, we can list the elements of $S_{a}^\ast$. And since a separator of $S_{a}^\ast$ can be computed at most five times, the running time of this algorithm calc_cross is $O(n/separator)$.

### 5 Listing the minimal separators of a planar graph

It is easy to see that if $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ are the 2-connected components of a graph $G = (V, E)$, then

$S(G) = \{v \mid v \text{ is a cut-vertex of } G\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} S(G_i)$.

Since all the cut-vertices and the 2-connected components of a graph can be computed in $O(n + m)$, we can consider 2-connected planar graphs.

**Property 8** If $S$ is an $a, \ast$-minimal separator of size two which is minimal for $\prec$, and $S'$ is a minimal separator such that $a \not\in S'$ and such that $S'$ intersects both $C_a(S)$ and another connected component of $G \setminus S$. Such a minimal separator cuts $S$.

Then $S'$ is an $a, \ast$-minimal separator.
Proof. If $S'$ is not an $a, *$-minimal separator, then the neighbourhood of $C_a(S')$ is a minimal separator $S''$ included in $S'$.

Since $S$ is minimal, $S''$ is not included in $C_a(S)$ but since $S'$ intersects $C_a(S)$, $S'' \cap C_a(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let $b$ be the vertex in $S'' \cap C_a(S)$. $b$ is a cut-vertex of $C_a(S)$ and the neighbourhood of $C_a(S \cup \{b\})$ is a separator of $G$ of size two. Since $G$ is 2-connected, it is an $a, *$-minimal separator of size two which is smaller than $S$. This is absurd. So $S'$ is an $a, *$-minimal separator.

An $a, *$-minimal separator of size two which is minimal for $\leq$ is an $a$-critical separator.

Let $S$ be an $a$-critical separator, $G^*_S$ is the graph $G[C_a(S)]$ with an edge between the vertices of $S$ of $G$, $G^*_S^a$ is the graph $G$ with the connected component $C_a(S)$ replaced by an edge between the vertices of $S$ and $G^*_S$ is the graph $G$ with a new vertex $v_S$ connected to the vertices of $S$.

Property 9 Let $S$ be an $a$-critical separator of $G$. We have the disjoint union:

$$S^{-\leq}_a(G) = \{S\} \cup S^{-\leq}_a(G^*_S) \cup S(G^*_S^a) \cup \{S', a, *\text{-minimal separator} \mid S' \text{ cuts } S\}.$$

Proof. Let $S'$ be a minimal separator that avoids $a$.

By construction, the union is clearly disjoint.

Since $G^*_S$ (resp. $G^*_S^a$) is obtained from $G$ by contracting a connected component into a super-vertex (of size two), any minimal separator of $G^*_S$ (resp. $G^*_S^a$) is a minimal separator of $G$. So we have:

$$\{S\} \cup S^{-\leq}_a(G^*_S) \cup S(G^*_S^a) \cup \{S', a, *\text{-minimal separator} \mid S' \text{ cuts } S\} \subseteq S^{-\leq}_a(G).$$

Conversely,

i. if $S' \subset C_a(S) \cup S$ and $S' \neq S$, let $b$ be such that $S'$ is an $a, b$-minimal separator. If $b \in C_a(S)$, then $S'$ is a minimal separator of $G^*_S$. Otherwise, since $S' \neq S, b$ is in the same connected component of $G \setminus S'$ as one of the vertices of $S$ and $S'$ is a minimal separator of $G^*_S$.

ii. if $S'$ cuts $S$, then property 8 proves that it is an $a, *$-minimal separator;

iii. if $S' \cap C_a(S) = \emptyset$, then $S'$ is a minimal separator of $G^*_S^a$. \hfill $\square$

Before we describe the algorithm that lists the minimal separators of $G$, we can remark that the set of the $a, *$-minimal separators that cut $S$ is the set $\{S' \setminus \{v_S\} \mid S' \in S^{-\leq}_a(G^*_S) \text{ and } v_S \in S'\}$. The algorithm that lists the minimal separators of a 2-connected planar graph does the following:

- Find $a$ of degree at most 5;
- Run the algorithm $\text{calc}_\text{cross}(G,a)$;
- Run the breadth first search variant of the algorithm $\text{calc}_2$ on $a$ and each time a new minimal separator $S$ is found
  - Check if $|S| = 2$;
  - Check if $S$ cuts a minimal separator $S'$ of size two.

The first time a minimal separator of size two is found, it is a critical separator

- compute $G^*_S^a$ and run $\text{calc}(G^*_S^a)$;
- for each couple $(S', O)$ still in the queue,
  - if $S'$ cuts $S$, then run $\_\text{calc}_2(S', G^*_S^a \cup \{v_S\}, O \cup \{v_S\})$
  - if not, then continue the breadth first search but on the graph $G^*_S$.

Property 10 The algorithm $\text{calc}$ is correct.
Proof. It lists the elements of \( S^\#_a(G) \) and \( S_{\neg \#}^a \).

To list the elements of \( S_{\neg \#}^a \), it uses property 9.

All the minimal separators produced by the breadth first search variant of \_calc2\_ are minimal separators of \( S_{\neg \#}^a(G^a_S) \) and once a critical separator is found, it goes on with the listing of the elements of \( S_{\neg \#}^a(G^a_S) \), \( S(G^{\neg a}_S) \) and the \( a, \ast \)-minimal separators that cut \( S \).

**Property 11** The running time of \( \text{calc} \) is \( O(n/\text{separator}) \).

Proof. The running time of \( \text{calc\_cross} \) is \( O(n/\text{separator}) \).

By induction on the size of \( G \), the graphs \( G^a_S \) and \( G^{\neg a}_S \) are smaller than \( G \) so by induction hypothesis, the listing of the elements of \( S_{\neg \#}^a(G^a_S) \) and \( S(G^{\neg a}_S) \) takes \( O(n/\text{separator}) \). The graph \( G^a_S \) is bigger than \( G \) but there are at most \( n \) critical separators in \( G \) for \( a \) so the total running time is \( O(n/\text{separator}) \).

6 Conclusion

In the conclusion of [1], Berry and al. note that their algorithm may compute a minimal separator up to \( n \) times and that this could be improved. This paper confirms this feeling for this is exactly what we have gained for planar graphs. We feel, just like Berry and al., that there could be a better general algorithm to compute the minimal separators of a graph.

This paper gives another proof that planar graphs and their minimal separators in particular are peculiar. We feel that topological properties such as property 4 are yet to be found and that such properties are the key to compute the treewidth of planar graphs.
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