

Undecidability of the global fixed point attractor problem on circular cellular automata.

Jacques Mazoyer, Ivan Rapaport

To cite this version:

Jacques Mazoyer, Ivan Rapaport. Undecidability of the global fixed point attractor problem on circular cellular automata.. [Research Report] LIP RR-1997-34, Laboratoire de l'informatique du parallélisme. 1997, 2 $+14p$. hal-02102003

HAL Id: hal-02102003 <https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02102003>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Laboratoire de l'Informatique du Parallélisme

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Unité de recherche associée au CNRS n°1398

Undecidability of the Global Fixed Point Attractor Problem on Circular Cellular Automata

Jacques Mazoyer Ivan Rapaport September 1997

Research Report N=97-34

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Adresse électronique : lip@lip.ens−lyon.fr Téléphone : (+33) (0)4.72.72.80.00 Télécopieur : (+33) (0)4.72.72.80.80 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

Undecidability of the Global Fixed Point Attractor Problem on Circular Cellular Automata

Jacques Mazoyer Ivan Rapaport

September 1997

Abstract

A great amount of work has been devoted to the understanding of the long time behavior of cellular automata (CA) . As for any other kind of dynamical system, the long-time behavior of a CA is described by its attractors. In this context, it has been proved that it is undecidable to know whether every circular control control a given control to some control point (and the point of the some paper we prove that it remains under the it remains under the control of the c of a given the statistic three sames in properties to the proof is a statistic properties. concerning NWdeterministic periodic tilings of the plane As a corollary it is concluded the (already proved) undecidability of the periodic tiling problem nevertheless our approach could also be used to prove this result in a direct and very simple way

Keywords: cellular automata, periodic tilings of the plane.

Résumé

De nombreux travaux ont été consacrés à la compréhension de l'évolution à long terme des automates cellulaires (AC) . Comme pour les autres types de systèmes dynamiques, cette évolution à long terme est décrite par ses attracteurs. Dans ce contexte il a ete demontre indecidable de savoir si toute con guration peri odique d
un AC donne evolue vers un point xe peutetre non unique Dans cet articles article proud come a consecutabilite de savoir si toute consecutive periodic odique evolue vers le meme point xe Notre preuve s
appuie sur les propietes des pavages NW-déterministe et périodiques du plan. Comme corollaire, nous obtenons l'indécidabilité (déjà connue) de la pavabilité périodique (cependant notre approche permet d'arriver a ce résultat de façon simple et directe).

Mots-cles automates cellulaires pavages periodiques du plan

Undecidability of the Global Fixed Point Attractor Problem on Circular Cellular Automata

Jacques Mazoyer Iván Rapaport

LIPEcole Normale Sup erieure de Lyon - Allee ditalie - Allee ditali

A bstract

A great amount of work has been devoted to the understanding of the long-time behavior of cellular automata is for any other the system of a system is a property that long-time behavior of a CA is described by its attractors. In this context, it has been proved that it is undecidable to know whether every circular configuration of a given can evolves to some more point (mot someque). On this paper we prove that it remains undecidable to know whether every circular configuration of a given CA evolves to the *same* fixed point. Our proof is based on properties concerning NWdeterministic periodic tilings of the plane. As a corollary it is concluded the (already proved an order the periodic tiling problem of the periodic tiling of the could be approach to the could also be used to prove this result in a direct and very simple way simple way simple way simple way simple way

 $\rm\,Key$ words: cellular automata, periodic tilings of the plane.

$\mathbf{1}$ Introduction

Cellular automata CA are discrete dynamical systems They are de ned by a lattice of cells and a local rule by which the state of a cell is determined as a function of the state of its neighborhood. A *configuration* of a CA is an assignment of states to the cells of the lattice The global transition function is a map from the space of all con gurations to itself obtained by applying the local rule simultaneously to all the cells This global transition function corresponds to the CA $dynamics$.

Because of the dynamical system nature of CA a great amount of work has been de time to the modern constant of its long-time consideration (the well known in the well known in the well known Wolfram
s classi cation of Wol The longtime behavior of any dynamical system is described by its attractors. In this context, for the two (and higher) dimensional CA , it was proved in [CPY89] the undecidability of the *nilpotency problem* (which, in practice, consists \mathcal{C} decide whether every contract to the same contract of \mathcal{C} nite number of steps Later J Kari proved in Karl proved in Kari proved in Karl proved in Kar the nilpotency of problem for the one-dimensional case.

On the other hand, K. Sutner in [Sut90] restricted previous kind of study to *circular* congurations through the spatially periodic because of the their periodic because α therefore and the therefore their possibility of being handled in the framework of ordinary computability theory. More precisely, by the use of non-standard simulations of Turing machines, it was proved that it is undecidable to know whether every circular con guration of a given onedimensional CA evolves to some and the point not unique p

In this paper we prove that it remains undecidable to know whether every circular con guration of a given onedimensional CA evolves to the same xed point Our result allows us to conclude the one of Sutner in a rather direct way

The structure of our proof is inspired on the one developed by J. Kari in [Kar92]. In fact, our work is based on results concerning tiling problems and, in particular, on the useful NW $deterministic$ notion (roughly, a set of tiles is NW-deterministic if it is locally deterministic in one dimension). More precisely, here we prove that it is undecidable to know whether a given NW-deterministic set of tiles admits a periodic tiling of the plane Despite the similarity with Kari
s result our ob jects are dierent in nature the CA con gurations considered here are *circular* and the tilings of the plane are *periodic*. In this particularity lies the difficulty of our proof

By the way, and as an obvious consequence, it can be concluded the undecidability of the *periodic tiling problem* (in which it is asked whether an *arbitrary* set of tiles admits a periodic tiling of the plane This result was obtained by GurevichKoriakov in GK- Nevertheless, we would like to remark that our approach could also be used to prove the Gurevich-Koriakov result in a *direct* way. In fact, when the NW-deterministic property is no more required, most of the technicities of the proof are no more needed and it becomes very simple

A one-dimensional cellular automaton with unitary radius neighborhood, or simply a CA, is a couple (Q, θ) where Q is a milite set of states and $\theta: Q \to Q$ is a transition function. A configuration of a \cup A (Q, o) is a bi-infinite sequence $C \in Q^+$, and its global transition function $G_{\delta}: Q^{\perp} \to Q^{\perp}$ is such that $(G_{\delta}(C))_i = o(C_{i-1}, C_i, C_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $I\!\!N^* = I\!\!N - \{0\}$ it is defined recursively $G_{\delta}^t(\mathcal{C}) = G_{\delta}(G_{\delta}^{t-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ with $G_{\delta}^0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. A set of different configurations $\{C^{(0)}, \cdots, C^{(T-1)}\}$ is said to be a cycle of length T if $G_{\delta}^{s}(C^{(0)}) = C^{(0)}$ for $t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$ and $G_{\delta}(\mathcal{C}^{(T-1)}) = \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$. A fixed point is a cycle of unitary length. We say that a configuration C is circular if there exists a $P \in I\!N^*$ for which $C_i = C_{i+P}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the global xed point attractor problem it is asked whether every circular con guration a evolves to the same contract the same of the same of

This work is mainly based on properties concerning *periodic tilings of the plane*. A tile is a labeled unit sized square A tiling system is a pair T where ^T is a nite set of tiles and $\varphi : \mathcal{T}^4 \to \mathcal{T}$ is a partial function called local matching. A tiling of the plane by (\mathcal{T}, φ) is an assignment $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{T}^{\omega}$ satisfying for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\varphi(\mathcal{X}_{i-1,i}, \mathcal{X}_{i,j+1}, \mathcal{X}_{i+1,i}, \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}) = \mathcal{X}_{i,j}$ (see gure is stemministic system T is said to be N . The NW deterministic if \mathcal{A} if there exists at most one tile $z \in \mathcal{T}$ accepting x has left neighbor and y as upper neighbor. In other words, for NW-deterministic tiling system (\mathcal{T}, φ) , the domain of the partial local

matching function can be assumed to be T^* . A timig of the plane by a NW-deterministic set \blacksquare of tiles (\mathcal{T},φ) is an assignment $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ satisfying for all $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\varphi(\mathcal{X}_{i-1,i},\mathcal{X}_{i,i+1}) = \mathcal{X}_{i,j}$ $s = -1$ is $\mathcal{L} = -1$ if $\mathcal{L} = -1$

Fig. 1 Local matching. (i) The general case. (ii) The NW-deterministic case.

A tiling $\mathcal X$ is said to be periodic if there exist horizontal and vertical translations for which X remains invariant. Formally, we say that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is periodic if there exists $P \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that is a formulated and the formula

In the NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem it is given a NWdeterministic tiling system and it is asked whether it admits a periodic tiling of the plane

The Global Fixed Point Attractor Problem

It is direct to notice that every continuous continuous continuous continuous in a \mathbf{M} of steps to a finite cyclet In Sut it is proved that it is understanded to finite that it is understanded to every circular consequences to a correct to a control primer. The control we show the section we show it remains under every circular control \mathbf{Q} same the point of the second concluded the original concluded the original property.

The reduction to the global xed point attractor problem is done from the NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem

Proposition The NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem is undecidable

Proof In section 4. \Box

Proposition 2 The global fixed point attractor problem is undecidable.

Proof Let (\mathcal{T}, φ) be a NW-deterministic tiling system. Let us consider now the CA (Q, δ) with \mathcal{N} for \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} as follows as follo

$$
\delta(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x, y) & \text{if } x, y, z \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \varphi(x, y) \text{ is well defined} \\ s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It is not difficult to notice that (\mathcal{T}, φ) admits a periodic tiling of the plane if and only if there exists a circular contract a contract α and α and α are trivial to the trivial of α $(\cdots sss\cdots).$

The local xed point attractor problem in which it is asked whether every circular con gu ration of a not necessarily unique \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are unique unique to be understandable unique to be understandable unique to be unique to be unique to be understandable unique to be unique to be understandable un by K. Sutner in [Sut90]. Our result allows us to conclude Sutner's one in a direct way by considering the following lemma

 \blacksquare . The case of the case of the contract to the contract of admits a unique contract contract \blacksquare uration as a contract of the c

Proof Given a CA (Q, δ) , it suffices to consider the directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V \subseteq Q^3$ satisfying $(x, y, z) \in V$ if and only if $\delta(x, y, z) = y$ and $((x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2)) \in E$ if and only if $y_1 = x_2$ and $z_1 = y_2$. There is a complete equivalence between the cycles of G and the circular circular points of $\{M_i\}$, and \equiv

Corollary 1 The local fixed point attractor problem is undecidable.

Proof Let us denote as P the global fixed point attractor problem restricted to instances $x = 1$ and $x = 1$ to a unique point By proposition α of P can be concluded. On the other hand, the global and the local versions of the fixed point attractor problem when restricted to CA and λ are equivalent point are equivalents λ

4 The NW-Deterministic Periodic Tiling Problem

The goal of this section is to prove the undecidability of the NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem. As it was done in $[Kar92]$, in order to make the proof more understandable, we are going to use an equivalent notion of NW-determinism. From now on we say that a tiling system (\mathcal{T}, φ) is NW-deterministic if for every $a, b, c \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists at most one tile $d \in \mathcal{T}$ \mathcal{L} matching as in this case is case in this case \mathcal{L} function and we note $\varphi(a, b, c) = d$.

Notice that if (\mathcal{T}, φ) is a NW-deterministic tiling system in this new sense then there exists an equivalent timig system () , φ) which is IV W-deterministic in the original sense. Th ract, let $T = T$ and let $\varphi : T \to T$ be defined for all $x, u, v, c \in T$ as follows (see figure 2 -ii):

$$
\tilde{\varphi}((x,a),(b,c))=(a,\varphi(a,b,c))
$$

Fig. 2 (i) $\varphi(a, b, c) = d$. (ii) Equivalence between the two NW-deterministic notions.

It is direct to see that there exists a periodic tiling for (\mathcal{T}, φ) if and only if there exists a periodic tilling for (T, φ) .

Let T and T be a pair of NWdeterministic tiling systems We de ne a natural superposition operation \otimes which preserves the NW-deterministic property in such a way that $(\mathcal{T}, \varphi) = (\mathcal{T}_1, \varphi_1) \otimes (\mathcal{T}_2, \varphi_2)$ with $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ and for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{T}$:

 $\varphi((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) = (\varphi_1(x_1, y_1, z_1), \varphi_2(x_2, y_2, z_2))$

The undecidability of the NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem is going to be proved by a reduction from the *halting problem on Turing machines*. Before showing this reduction, we must construct a pair of NW-deterministic sets of tiles satisfying very particular conditions

4.1 The NW-Deterministic Set of Tiles ${\cal A}$

Lemma 2 There exists a NW-deterministic set of tiles $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ such that:

- \bullet A_1 admits only nonperiodic tilings of the plane.
- For any $n > 1$ there exists a square of size 2^n the of $\mathcal A$ satisfying:
	- It has periodic boundary conditions. In other words, this square pattern can be repeated in order to tile the plane periodically
	- The tiles of A_2 appear only on the right and bottom borders of the square as it is schematically showed in the state \mathcal{L} is strong in the state \mathcal{L}

Fig. 3 A square tiled by $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ with periodic boundary conditions.

Proof The set A_1 to be considered corresponds to the one introduced in [Kar92] which is almost identical to the well known Robinson
s set Rob- denoted here as A and appearing in the anti-cardinality in the A has cardinality in the cardinality of \mathcal{A} all the rotations of each tile are admissible. Notice also that we refer to "set of tiles" instead of "tiling system" because the tiles themselves encode the local matching function (arrow heads must meet arrow tails In Robert In Robert In Robert Computer that A and the A admits only non-periodic c tilings of the plane

Fig. 4 Robinson's set \mathcal{A}_0 . (i) Crosses. (ii) Arms.

By simply adding colors to the upper-left and bottom-right corners, in $\text{Kar}92$ it is shown how to transform the set \mathcal{A}_0 into a NW-deterministic one \mathcal{A}_1 preserving the nonperiodicity property. More precisely, to the arms horizontally oriented (those with the principal arrow lying horizontally) it is added an H label on its upper-left corner and a V label on its bottomright one. To the arms vertically oriented the V label is added on the upper-left corner while the H label is added on the bottom-right corner. Finally the crosses are duplicated by adding the same label V and H on both corners In gure appears the way the modi cation is done for three particular tiles belonging to each of previous cases. Notice that now, in order to tile correctly, adjacent corners must have the same color.

Fig. 5 Transforming A_0 into A_1 . (i) A cross tile. (ii) An horizontally oriented arm. (iii) A vertically oriented arm

Let us de ne the set of tiles A as the one of cardinality that appears in gure

Fig The set A

The NW-determinism of $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ follows directly: it suffices to check. The periodic square of size 2^+ with thes of \mathcal{A}_2 just on the right and bottom borders appears in figure ι for $n = 2$ and for $n = 3$. For an arbitrary n the proof has to be done by induction. Finally, in gure it is shown that previous pattern eectively has periodic boundary conditions

Fig. 7 The periodic pattern for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

Fig. 8 The periodicity of the bounded conditions.

4.2 The NW-Deterministic Set of Tiles AB

Here we are going to construct a NW-deterministic set of tiles AB admitting periodic tilings of the plane and satisfying that, in any of these possible periodic tilings, some particular patterns called boards always appear Let us start by some de nitions

Definition 1 Let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ be the NW-deterministic set of tiles of previous section. Let ϵ be $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon$ in $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon$ internal times and t SE-border tiles. We denote as AB the set obtained by the following superpositions:

$$
\mathcal{AB} = \underbrace{\{\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_{int}\}}_{\mathcal{AB}_{int}} \cup \underbrace{\{\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_{NW}\}}_{\mathcal{AB}_{bord}} \cup \underbrace{\{\mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \mathcal{B}_{SE}\}}_{\mathcal{AB}_{bord}}
$$

The tiles belonging to AB_{int} are called AB -internal tiles while the tiles belonging to AB_{bord} are called AB -border tiles.

Definition 2 An AB -board is a square tiled by AB with AB -border tiles appearing only at the strike it into square as it is shown schematically in \mathbf{q} in the from now now \mathbf{q} on as it is done in AB the presence of the presence of the Acomponent will be presence of the Acomponent will be a represented by a unique shadowed background (no matter if the A -component corresponds to a tile of \mathcal{A}_1 or \mathcal{A}_2).

Fig. 9 The set of tiles β . (i) Internal tiles (ii) NW-border tiles. (ii) SE-border tiles.

Fig. 10 An AB-board.

In the two following lemmas we prove that the set A satisfies \mathcal{A} satisfies a satisfies our requirements of \mathcal{A}

Lemma 3 The set \mathcal{AB} is NW-deterministic and for all $n > 1$ there exists an \mathcal{AB} -board of size 2^n with periodic boundary conditions.

Proof For the NW-determinism notice that B is NW-deterministic and $AB \subseteq A \otimes B$. On the other hand, for any $n > 1$, in order to obtain an AB-board of size 2^n with periodic boundary conditions it sumces to transform a square of size 2^+ tiled by ${\mathcal A}$ with periodic boundary conditions see gure into an ABboard by superposing in the suitable way the tiles of \mathcal{B} . \square

Lemma 4 In any periodic tiling of the plane by AB an AB -board must appear.

Proof Let P be a periodic tiling of the plane by AB . First notice that at least one AB border tile t_0 must appear in $\mathcal P$. In fact, if this is not the case then the plane would be tiled periodically by A α Bint this is not possible because a does not admit periodic periodic periodic tilings of the plane Notice also that t can be assumed to be a corner tile see gure i In fact let us suppose that in ^P there are no corner tiles If we de ne as curve any path in P determined by the (vertical and horizontal) arrows of the B-components of the AB border tiles and if we denote as C_0 the curve that passes through t_0 , then C_0 has to be an interactive lines by periodicity () where must exist a parallel must exist a parallel in C σ and by a parallel of the assumption that no corner tiles appear in P , it follows one of the two contradictions of g_{c} ii Let us consider the corner time C that passes the corner time C possible to prove (by the same kind of previous geometrical arguments) that C_0 has to be a square which by dependence of the board of the control of the control of the state of the control of t

Fig i A corner tile ii ^P does not admit in nite lines without corner tiles

4.3 The Reduction

Now we are able to prove the undecidability of the NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem We do it by a reduction from the known undecidable halting problem on Turing machines in which an arbitrary Turing machine $\mathcal{M} = (\Sigma, B, Q, q_0, q_h, \delta)$ is given and it is asked whether \mathcal{M} reaches the matrix \mathcal{M} when state \mathcal{M} and in a blank binness of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} and in and in the initial state q_0 . Notice that $\delta : \Sigma \times Q \to \Sigma \times Q \times \{L, R, S\}$ represents the transition function of M with Σ being the alphabet, Q the set of states, and $\{L, R, S\}$ the possible movements (left, right, stay).

Proposition The NW-deterministic periodic tiling problem is undecidable

Proof Let M be an arbitrary Turing machine. Let $M^* = (\Sigma, B, Q, q_0, q_h, q_f, \delta)$ be the same as M with the only difference that it never halts. More precisely, when it reaches the halting state qh it erases the tape and it stays in a nal-quiescent conguration ie in a particular the cell located the cell located the cell located at the cell located at the blank tape By and the suitable composition of a set of tiles \mathcal{T}^* (which codifies the Turing machine \mathcal{M}^*) and the set of tiles AB (introduced in previous section) we are going to obtain a NW-deterministic set of tiles H admitting a periodic tiling of the plane if and only if \mathcal{M}^* reaches the final-quiescent communication and continued and continue

Let \mathcal{T}^* be the set of tiles that codifies \mathcal{M}^* and which appears in figure 12: alphabet tiles are generated for each $s \in \Sigma$; merging tiles for every pair $(s, q) \in \Sigma \times Q$; right, left and stay tiles are associated to the tuples (s_1, q_1, s_2, q_2, R) , (s_1, q_1, s_2, q_2, L) and (s_1, q_1, s_2, q_2, S) satisfying respectively $\delta(s_1, q_1) = (s_2, q_2, R), \, \delta(s_1, q_1) = (s_2, q_2, L),$ and $\delta(s_1, q_1) = (s_2, q_2, S).$

Fig. 12 The set of tiles \mathcal{T}^* . (i) Alphabet tiles. (ii) Merging tiles. (iii) Right tiles. (iv) Left tiles. (v) Stay tiles.

As it is showed in figure 13, the computation of \mathcal{M}^* can be codified as a tiling of the bottom-right quadrant of the plane (N^2) . In fact, if a t-frame is a region of the form $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : i = t \text{ or } j = t\}$ with $t \geq 0$, then instantaneous configurations of \mathcal{M}^* appear codi ed in successive tframes In each tframe the origin of the tape is represented in the cell (t, t) . The left part of the tape is represented in the vertical part of the frame while the right part is represented in the horizontal part of the frame All the tiles of a frame correspond to alphabet tiles excepting the scanning cell and, eventually, the neighbor with which it is interacting. Notice that these tilings can be seen as an *alternative* representation of the Turing machine dynamics

Fig. 13 Equivalence between a Turing machine computation and a tiling of the bottom-right quadrant of the plane

Let the set of tiles $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{int} \cup \mathcal{H}_{bord}$ be the one with $\mathcal{H}_{int} = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_{int} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{*}$ and with H_{D0fQ} by superposing obtained by superposing $\text{D0fQ}}$ as it appears explicitly as it

Fig Modi cation of ABbord in order to obtain the Hbord

The tiles belonging to \mathcal{H}_{int} are called \mathcal{H}_{int} -internal tiles, while the tiles belonging to \mathcal{H}_{bord} are called Hborder tiles As for the set AB we define a set AB we define a set AB we define a square til divisi with the H -border tiles appearing only at the border of the square as it is schematically shown in the contract of the c

Fig. 15 An H -board.

Notice that H is a NW-deterministic set of tiles. This fact can be easily checked by considering that \mathcal{T}^* is NW-deterministic (because \mathcal{M}^* is a deterministic machine) and that the same holds for the set AB (see lemma 3).

It remains to prove that \mathcal{M}^* reaches the final-quiescent configuration when it starts from the blank tape if and only if H admits a periodic tiling of the plane. In fact, if M^* reaches the final-quiescent configuration then there exists a square S tiled by \mathcal{T}^* with the boundary conditions that appears schematically in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ assume can assu that the size of δ is $(z^2 - z)$ for some $n > 1$. In fact, if the size of the original square in which the halting computation was represented is k then we can construct another one of size k as it is explained in the contract to obtained in the contract to obtain an Hboard and Hboard and Hboard of size Z^* (see figure 10-111). Moreover, considering that there exists an AD -board of size $2ⁿ$ with periodic boundary conditions (see lemma 3) we can assume that the H-board has periodic boundary conditions and it can be repeated in order to tile the plane periodically

Let us now suppose that $\mathcal H$ admits a periodic tiling of the plane $\mathcal P$. It follows that an H-board must appear in $\mathcal P$. In fact, if this is not the case we would contradict lemma 4. More precisely, if we suppose that in P no H -board appears and we *extract* all the Turing machines symbols of P we would obtain a periodic tiling of the plane by AB having no AB-boards. Finally, from an H-board it is direct to obtain a square tiled by \mathcal{T}^* encoding an halting computation of \mathcal{M}^* (see figure 16). $\quad \Box$

Fig. 16 (i) A square tiled by \mathcal{T}^* representing an halting computation of \mathcal{M}^* . (ii) A bigger square. (iii) The associated H -board.

Remark 1 Notice that the set \mathcal{H} always admits a tiling of the plane. In fact, it suffices to use ${\cal H}_{\rm int}$ in order to tile *nonperiodically* the plane by representing the evolution of ${\cal M}^*$ which, by construction, never halts.

Remark 2 As an obvious consequence of proposition 3 it can be concluded the undecidability of the *periodic tiling problem* (in which it is asked whether an *arbitrary* set of tiles admits a periodic tiling of the plannely was obtained in GRI and the planet was provided in GRI and we would like to remark that our approach could also be used to prove the Gurevich-Koriakov result in a *direct* way. In fact, it suffices to notice that when the NW-deterministic property is no more required, most of the technicities of the proof are no more needed and it becomes very simple (for instance, the set A has just to be nonperiodic and it does not need an explicit representation).

References

- [CPY89] Culik II K., Pachl J., Yu S. On the limit sets of cellular automata, SIAM J. Computing, 18 (1989), 831-842.
- $[$ GK72 $]$ Gurevich Y. Koriakov I.O. A remark on Berger's paper on the domino problem, si it it is a series of the mathematical \mathcal{S} , and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}
- Kar Kari J The nilpotency problem of one-dimensional cel lular automata SIAM J Computing -
- $[Rob71]$ Robinson R. Undecidability and nonperiodicity for tilings of the plane, Inventiones Mathematicae - --
- [Sut 90] Sutner K. Classifying circular cellular automata, Physica D 45, (1990), 386-395.

 $[{\rm Wol84}] \quad \text{Wolfram S.}\ University\ and\ complexity\ in\ cellular\ automata, \text{Physical D 10 (1984)},$ $1\mbox{-}35.$