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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the computation of reciprocals, square roots, inverse square roots, and some elementary functions using small tables, small multipliers, and for some functions, a final "large" (almost full-length) multiplication. We propose a method that allows fast evaluation of these functions in double precision arithmetic. The strength of this method is that the same scheme allows the computation of all these functions. Our method is mainly interesting for designing special purpose circuits, since it does not allow a simple implementation of the four rounding modes required by the IEEE-754 standard for floating-point arithmetic.
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## Résumé

Ce rapport traite de l'évaluation d'inverses, de racines carrées, d’inverses de racines carrées, et de quelques fonctions élémentaires en utilisant de petites tables, de petits multiplieurs, et, pour quelques fonctions, une "grande" (i.e., portant sur des nombres dont la taille est celle des opérandes) multiplication finale. Nous proposons une méthode qui permet l'évaluation de ces fonctions en arithmétique double précision. L'avantage de cette méthode réside dans le fait que le même schéma de calcul (et donc, en pratique, la même implantation) permet le calcul de toutes ces fonctions.
Notre méthode est essentiellement intéressante pour construire des circuits dédiés à une application, car elle ne permet pas d'implanter de manière simple les quatre modes d'arrondis exigés par le standard IEEE pour l'arithmétique flottante.

Mots-clés: Division, Inverse, Racine Carrée, Évaluation de fonctions, Arithmétique des ordinateurs

## 1 Introduction

For many years, only two classes of methods have been considered when implementing division and square root: digit-recurrence methods [9], and quadratically converging methods, such as Newton's method and Goldschmidt's iteration [1]. Concerning elementary functions, the methods that have mainly been used are shift-and-add, Cordic-like methods [14, 15], and polynomial or rational approximations [5, 2]. A noticeable exception is a method suggested by Farmwald [4], that already uses tables. The progress in VLSI technology now allows the use of large tables, that can be accessed quickly. As a consequence, many table-based methods have emerged during the last decade: high-radix digit-recurrence methods for division and square root [3], mix-up of table-lookup and polynomial approximation for the elementary functions [11, 12, 13], or even (for single precision) use of table-lookups and addition only $[17,6,10]$. Recent overviews on these issues can be found in references $[8,7]$.

For instance, Wong and Goto [17] recently suggested to evaluate the elementary functions, the reciprocals and the square-root using tables, without multiplications. Assume that the input number is $A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}$, where $z=2^{-k}$ and the $A_{i}^{\prime} s$ are integers less than $2^{k}$. They compute $f(A)$ using the following formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(A) \approx & f\left(A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{z^{2}}{2}\left(f\left(A_{1} z+\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right) z^{2}\right)-f\left(A_{1} z+\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right) z^{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{z^{3}}{2}\left(f\left(A_{1} z+\left(A_{2}+A_{4}\right) z^{2}\right)-f\left(A_{1} z+\left(A_{2}-A_{4}\right) z^{2}\right)\right) \\
& +z^{4}\left(\frac{A_{3}^{2}}{2} f^{(2)}\left(A_{1} z\right)-\frac{A_{3}^{3}}{6} f^{(3)}\left(A_{1} z\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Roughly speaking, their method allows to evaluate a function with approximately $n$ bits of accuracy by just performing look-ups in $\frac{n}{2}$-bit address tables, and additions. This makes their method attractive for singleprecision calculations. In [16], Wong and Goto also suggest, for double precision calculations, the use of several look-ups in 10 -bit address tables and some multiplications that require rectangular multipliers (typically, $16 \times 56$ bit multipliers) only.

The methods suggested by Farmwald [4], and Das Sarma and Matula [10] require the use of tables with approximately $n / 3$ address bits to get an $n$ -
bit approximation of the function being evaluated. With current technology, this makes these methods impossible to implement for double-precision arithmetic.

In this paper, we propose a new class of algorithms that allows the evaluation of reciprocals, square roots, inverse square roots and some elementary functions, using one table access, a few "small" multiplications, and at most one "large" multiplication. To approximate a function with $n$-bit accuracy, we need tables with approximately $n / 4$ address bits.

## 2 Reciprocal, Square Root, and Inverse Square Root

We want to evaluate reciprocals, square roots and inverse square roots for operands and results represented by an $n$-bit significand. We do not consider the computation of the exponent since this is straightforward. Let us call the generic computation $g(Y)$, where $Y$ is the significand and, as in the IEEE standard, $1 \leq Y<2$.

The method is based on the Taylor expansion of the function to compute, which converges with few terms if the argument is close to 1 . Consequently, the method consists of the following three steps:

1. Reduction. From $Y$ we deduce a number $A$ such that $-2^{-k}<A<$ $+2^{-k}$. To produce a simple implementation that achieves the required precision, we use $k=n / 4$. For the functions considered, we obtain $A$ as

$$
A=Y \times \hat{Y}-1
$$

where $\hat{Y}$ is a $(k+1)$-bit approximation of $1 / Y$. Specifically, define $Y^{(k)}$ as $Y$ truncated to the $k$ th bit. Then

$$
Y^{(k)} \leq Y<Y^{(k)}+2^{-k}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{Y}{Y^{(k)}}<1+2^{-k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using one lookup in a $k$-bit address table, one can find the number $\hat{Y}$ defined as $1 / Y^{(k)}$ rounded down (i.e., truncated) to $k+1$ bits. Then,

$$
-2^{-k-1}<\hat{Y}-\frac{1}{Y^{(k)}} \leq 0
$$

Therefore, since $1 \leq Y^{(k)}<2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-2^{-k}<\hat{Y} Y^{(k)} \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-2^{-k}<\hat{Y} Y<1+2^{-k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduced argument $A$ is such that $g(Y)$ can be easily obtained from a value $f(A)$, that is computed during the next step;
2. Evaluation. We compute an approximation of $B=f(A) u \operatorname{sing}$ the series expansion of $f$, as described below.
3. Post-processing. This is required because of the reduction step. Since reduction is performed by multiplication by $\hat{Y}$, we obtain $g(Y)$ from $B=f(A)$ as

$$
g(Y)=M \times B
$$

where $M=h(\hat{Y})$. The value of $M$ depends on the function and is obtained by a similar method as $\hat{Y}$. Specifically,

- For reciprocal $M=\hat{Y}$
- For square root $M=1 / \sqrt{\hat{Y}}$
- For inverse square root $M=\sqrt{\hat{Y}}$

Let us now consider the evaluation step.

### 2.1 Evaluation step

In the following, we assume that we want to evaluate $B=f(A)$, with $|A|<2^{-k}$. The Taylor series expansion of $F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A)=C_{0}+C_{1} A+C_{2} A^{2}+C_{3} A^{3}+C_{4} A^{4}+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $C_{i}^{\prime} s$ are bounded.
Since $-2^{-k}<A<2^{-k}, A$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=2^{-k}, k=n / 4$ and $\left|A_{i}\right| \leq 2^{k}-1$.
Our goal is to compute an approximation of $f(A)$, correct to approximately $n=4 k$ bits, using small multiplications. From the series (4) and the decomposition (5) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(A)=C_{0}+C_{1}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)+C_{2}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+C_{3}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{3}+C_{4}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{4}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

After having expanded this series and dropped out all the terms of the form $W \times z^{j}$ that are less than or equal to $2^{-4 k}$, we get (see Appendix)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A) \approx C_{0}+C_{1} A+C_{2} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+2 C_{2} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+C_{3} A_{2}^{3} z^{6} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this last expression to approximate reciprocals, square roots and inverse square roots. In practice, when computing (7), we make a nother approximation: after having computed $A_{2}^{2}$, obtaining $A_{2}^{3}$ would require a $2 k \times k$ multiplication. Instead of this, we take only the $k$ most-significant bits of $A_{2}^{2}$ and multiply them by $A_{2}$.

In the Appendix, we prove the following result:
Theorem $1 f(A)$ can be approximated by

$$
C_{0}+C_{1} A+C_{2} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+2 C_{2} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+C_{3} A_{2}^{3} z^{6},
$$

(where we use the most $k$ significants bits ${ }^{1}$ of $A_{2}^{2}$ only when computing $A_{2}^{3}$ ), with an error less than

$$
2^{-4 k}\left(\frac{C_{\max }}{1-2^{-k}}+4\left|C_{2}\right|+4\left|C_{3}\right|+9 \max \left\{C_{2}, C_{3}\right\} \times 2^{-k}\right)
$$

with $C_{\text {max }}=\max _{i \geq 4}\left|C_{i}\right|$.
In particular, for $k \geq 7$, and assuming $\left|C_{i}\right| \leq 1$ for any $i$ (which is satisfied for the functions considered in this paper), this error is less than

$$
\epsilon=2^{-4 k}\left(C_{\max }+4\left|C_{2}\right|+4\left|C_{3}\right|+\frac{1}{10}\right) .
$$

[^0]Now we determine the coefficients and the error bound for the three functions. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1+x}=1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}+x^{4}-\ldots \\
& \sqrt{1+x}=1+\frac{1}{2} x-\frac{1}{8} x^{2}+\frac{1}{16} x^{3}-\frac{5}{128} x^{4}+\ldots \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}}=1-\frac{1}{8} x+\frac{3}{8} x^{2}-\frac{5}{16} x^{3}+\frac{35}{128} x^{4}-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

- For reciprocal

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{1+A} & \approx 1-A_{2} z^{2}-A_{3} z^{3}+\left(-A_{4}+A_{2}^{2}\right) z^{4}+2 A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}-A_{2}^{3} z^{6} \\
& =(1-A)+A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+2 A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}-A_{2}^{3} z^{6} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and the bound on the error is

$$
\epsilon=9.1 \times 2^{-4 k}
$$

- For square root

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1+A} \approx 1+\frac{A}{2}+\frac{1}{8} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}-\frac{1}{4} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+\frac{1}{16} A_{2}^{3} z^{6} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error bound is

$$
\epsilon=0.9 \times 2^{-4 k}
$$

- For inverse square root

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \sqrt{1+A} \approx 1-\frac{A}{2}+\frac{3}{8} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+\frac{3}{4} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}-\frac{5}{16} A_{2}^{3} z^{6} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error bound

$$
\epsilon=3.12 \times 2^{-4 k}
$$

## 3 Implementation

Now, let us suggest some ways of implementing our method. Fig. 1 presents a functional representation of the general architecture.


Figure 1: Functional representation of the general architecture


```
rb = reciprocal block
ctb = correcting terme block 1-2 -k}<\widehat{YY}<1+\mp@subsup{2}{}{-k
```

Figure 2: Functional representation of the reduction module (the value of $M$ depends on the function being computed).

### 3.1 Reduction

Fig. 2 shows a functional representation of the reduction module. From $Y$, this module computes $A$ and $M$. Different methods can be used to perform this computation, from direct table look-up to linear interpolation ...

### 3.2 Evaluation

The evaluation step computes expressions (8), (9), and (10). All three require the computation of $A_{2}^{2}, A_{2} A_{3}$, and $A_{2}^{3}$. As indicated before, for $A_{2}^{3}$ we use the approximation

$$
A_{2}^{3} \approx\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{h i g h} \times A_{2}
$$

Consequently, these terms can be computed by three $k$ by $k$ multiplications. Moreover, the first two can be performed in parallel.

Alternatively, it is possible to compute the terms by two multiplications as follows:

- For reciprocal and for inverse square root

1. 

$$
B_{1}=A_{2}^{2}+2 A_{2} A_{3} z=A_{2} \times\left(A_{2}+2 A_{3} z\right)
$$

2. 

$$
A_{2}^{3} \approx\left(B_{1}\right)_{h i g h} \times A_{2}
$$

- For square root

1. 

$$
B_{1}=A_{2}^{2}-2 A_{2} A_{3} z=A_{2} \times\left(A_{2}-2 A_{2} A_{3}\right)
$$

2. 

$$
A_{2}^{3} \approx\left(B_{1}\right)_{\text {high }} \times A_{2}
$$

The first of the two multiplications is of $k$ by $2 k$ bits and the second is of $k$ by $k$.

Then the terms (either the output of the three multiplications or of the two multiplications) are multiplied by the corresponding factors, which depend on the function as shown in Table 1. Note that for division and square root these factors correspond just to alignments, whereas for inverse square root multiplications by 3 and 5 are required ${ }^{2}$. Finally the resulting terms are added to produce $B$.

Fig. 3 shows the weights of these terms (in the case of the reciprocal function). After this addition, the result is rounded to the nearest multiple of $2^{-4 k}$. As shown ${ }^{3}$ in Figure 3, this gives a $3 k+1$ number $\hat{B}$. Then $B$ is equal to $\hat{B}+1$.

[^1]Table 1: Factors to multiply terms

| Function | $B_{1}$ | $A_{2}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reciprocal | 1 | 1 |
| Square root | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 16$ |
| Inverse Square root | $3 / 8$ | $5 / 16$ |



Figure 3: Weights of the various terms that are added during the evaluation step for reciprocal. After the summation, the result is rounded to nearest, so that there are no longer terms of weights less than $2^{-4 k}$.


Figure 4: Functional representation of the evaluation module (As told above, the computations of $A_{2}^{2}$ and $A_{2} A_{3}$ can be regrouped in one rectangular multiplication).

| function | value of $M$ | size of $M$ | operation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / Y$ | $\hat{Y}$ | $k+1$ bits | $M \times B$ |
| $\sqrt{Y}$ | $1 / \hat{Y}$ | $n$ but $3 k+g$ bits only for mult. | $M \times B$ |
| $1 / \sqrt{Y}$ | $\hat{Y}$ | $n$ but $3 k+g$ bits only for mult. | $M \times B$ |

Table 2: Operation being performed during the post-processing step

### 3.3 Post-Processing

The post-processing consists in multiplying $B$ by $M$, where $M=g(\hat{Y})$ depends on the function and is computed during the reduction step. Since $B=1+\hat{B}$ and $\hat{B}<2^{-k+1}$, to use a smaller multiplier it is better to compute

$$
g(Y)=M \times B=M+M \times \hat{B}
$$

Note also that in the multiplication it suffices to use the bits of $M$ of weight larger than or equal to $2^{-3 k-g}$, where $g$ is a small integer. Since the error due to this truncation is smaller than or equal to $2^{-4 k+1-g}$, choosing $g=$ 2 makes the error bounded by $0.5 \times 2^{-4 k}$ and allows the use of a $(3 k+1) \times(3 k+$ 2 )-bit multiplier. From the error bounds given in Section 2 and taking into account the additional $0.5 \times 2^{-4 k}$ error due to the use of a $(3 k+1) \times(3 k+2)$ multiplier for the post-processing step, we suggest to choose $n=56$ and $k=14$ for a double-precision implementation.

Table 2 shows the operation that must be performed during the postprocessing step, and the value of $M$ that must be used.

## 4 Comparison with other methods

### 4.1 High-radix digit-recurrence division

Assume we wish to compute $X / Y$. Radix-r digit-recurrence division [9] consists in performing the recurrence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{(j+1)}=r \times X^{(j)}-q_{j+1} Y, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{(0)}=X, X^{(j)}$ is the $j$-th residual and $q_{j+1}$ is the $j+1$-st radix- $r$ digit of the quotient. When performing a high-radix digit-recurrence division [3], $Y$ is first normalized (i.e., multiplied ${ }^{4}$ by a factor $\hat{Y}$ so that $\hat{Y}$ is

[^2]

Figure 5: Functional representation of the post processing module
very close to 1 ). This allows a simple selection of $q_{j+1}$. Assume here that we perform a radix- $2^{k}$ digit-recurrence division, where $k=n / 4$. To get $n$-bit accuracy, we will need to perform 4 iterations. If we assume that our goal is double-precision arithmetic, we will therefore need, after the normalization, four consecutive $14 \times 52$-bit multiplications. This is very similar to what is required by our method. Therefore, if the issue at stake is reciprocation, our method and the high-radix recurrence method have close performances. On the other hand, if we want to perform divisions, the high-radix recurrence method is preferable, since it does not require a final "large" multiplication.

### 4.2 Newton-Raphson and Goldschmidt iterations

The well known Newton-Raphson (NR) iteration for reciprocal

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n} \times\left(2-Y x_{n}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges quadratically ${ }^{5}$ to $1 / Y$ provided that $x_{0}$ is close enough to $1 / Y$. The usual way to implement this iteration is to first look $x_{0}$ up in a table. Assume that we use a $k$-bit address table, and that we perform the intermediate calculations using an $n$-bit arithmetic. To compare with our method, we assume $n \approx 4 k$. The first approximation $x_{0}$ of $1 / Y$ is the number $\hat{Y}$ of section 2. It is a $k$-bit approximation of $1 / Y$. To get $x_{1}$, one need to perform two $k \times n$-bit multiplications. Since $x_{1}$ is a $2 k$-bit approximation of $1 / Y$, it suffices to use its most $2 k$ significant bits to perform the next iteration ${ }^{6}$. After this, one needs to perform two $2 k \times n$-bit multiplications to get $x_{2}$, which is an $n$-bit approximation ${ }^{7}$ of $1 / Y$. Assuming $k=14$ and $n=56$, the NR method requires:

- one lookup in a 14 -bit address table;
- two $14 \times 56$-bit multiplications;
- two $28 \times 56$-bit multiplications.

The multiplications that occur cannot be performed in parallel.
The NR iteration for reciprocal square-root ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2} x_{n}\left(3-Y x_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has convergence properties very similar to those of the NR iteration for division. Assuming (as previously) that we use a $k$-bit address table, and that we perform the intermediate calculations using an $n$-bit arithmetic, with $k=14$ and $n=56$, computing an inverse square-root using the NR iteration requires:

- one lookup in a 14 -bit address table;
- three $14 \times 56$-bit multiplications;

[^3]- three $28 \times 56$-bit multiplications.

Computing a square-root requires the same number of operations, and a final "large" ( $56 \times 56$-bit) multiplication. This shows that, although only slightly more interesting than the NR iteration for computing reciprocals, our method becomes much more interesting than the NR method when we need to compute square roots and inverse square roots.

Goldschmidt's iteration [8] for computing $X / Y$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{i+1}=N_{i} \times R_{i} \\
& D_{i+1}=D_{i} \times R_{i}  \tag{14}\\
& R_{i+1}=2-D_{i+1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $N_{0}=X, D_{0}=Y$ and $R_{0}=1-Y$, has a convergence rate similar to that of the NR iteration ${ }^{9}$. To make the iteration faster, one must replace $Y$ by a value $Y \hat{Y}$ very close to 1 , and therefore multiply the final value by $\hat{Y}$. This is exactly what we do during the normalization and post-processing steps of our method. Assuming that $\hat{Y}$ is read from a $k$-bit address table, Goldschmidt iteration requires the same number of steps as the NR iteration, but the two multiplications required at each step can be performed in parallel (or, merely, in pipeline). Thus, assuming $k=14$ and $n=56$, the iteration requires:

- one look-up in a 14 -bit address table to get $\hat{Y}$;
- one $14 \times 56$-bit multiplication to get $Y \hat{Y}$;
- four $56 \times 56$-bit multiplications to perform the iterations (but if two multipliers are available, the time required is that of two multiplications);
- one $14 \times 56$-bit multiplication to get $X / Y$.


### 4.3 Wong and Goto's method

The method presented by Wong and Goto in [17] requires tables with $\mathrm{m} / 2$ address bits, where $m$ is the number of bits of the mantissa of the floatingpoint arithmetic being used. This makes that method un-convenient for

[^4]double-precision calculations. In [16], they suggest another method, that requires table-lookups and rectangular ${ }^{10}$ multipliers.

Their method for computing reciprocals is as follows. Let us start from the input value $Y=1 . y_{2} y_{2} \ldots y_{10}$. The first 10 bits of $Y$ are used address bits to get from a table

$$
r_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{1 . y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{10}}\right\rfloor
$$

Then, they compute $r_{0} \times Y$. This gives a number $A$ of the form:

$$
A=1-0.000 \ldots 0 a_{9} a_{10} \ldots a_{18} \ldots a_{56}
$$

Then, using a rectangular multiplier, they compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =A \times\left(1+0.000 \ldots 0 a_{9} a_{10} \ldots a_{18}\right) \\
& =1-0.000000 \ldots 00 b_{17} b_{18} \ldots b_{26} \ldots b_{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, using a rectangular multiplier, they compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =B \times\left(1+0.000000 \ldots 00 b_{17} b_{18} \ldots b_{26}\right) \\
& =1-0.00000000000 \ldots 0000 c_{25} c_{26} \ldots c_{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

After this (or, merely, during this), the bits $b_{27} b_{18} \ldots b_{35}$ are used as address bits to get from a table the number $\beta$ constituted by the most 9 significant bits of $\left(0.0000 \ldots b_{27} b_{18} \ldots b_{35}\right)^{2}$. The final result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{Y} \approx r_{0} & \times 1.00000 \ldots a_{9} a_{10} \ldots a_{18} \\
& \times 1.000000 \ldots 00 b_{17} b_{18} \ldots b_{26}  \tag{15}\\
& \times\left(1.000000000 \ldots 000 c_{25} c_{26} \ldots c_{56}+\beta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Wong and Goto's method for reciprocation therefore requires one lookup in a 10 -bit address table, one look-up in a 9 -bit address table, and six rectangular $10 \times 56$ multiplications. One can reasonably assume that their rectangular multiplication have approximately the same cost as our $k \times n=$ $14 \times 56$ multiplications. Therefore their method requires more time than ours. To compute reciprocal square-roots, they need one look-up in a 11-bit address table, one look-up in a 9 -bit address table, and nine rectangular multiplications, which is much more than what is needed with our method.

[^5]
## 5 Elementary functions

Using the same basic scheme, our method also allows computation of some of the elementary functions. We briefly describe this below. Implementation is not discussed: it is very similar to what we have previously described for reciprocal, square root and inverse square root.

### 5.1 Computation of logarithms

In a similar fashion, we get:

$$
\ln (1+A) \approx A-A_{2}^{2} z^{4}-A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+\frac{1}{3} A_{2}^{3}
$$

Again, we only need to compute $A_{2}^{2}, A_{2} A_{3}$ and $A_{2}^{3}$. And yet, the $1 / 3$ coefficient in front of $A_{2}^{3}$ may make this last approximation less interesting. The post-processing step reduces to an addition.

### 5.2 Computation of exponentials

Now, let us assume that we want to evaluate the exponential of an $n$-bit number $Y=1+A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}$, where $z=2^{-k}(k=n / 4)$, and the $A_{i}$ 's are $k$-bit integers. We suggest to first computing the exponential of

$$
A=A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}
$$

using a Taylor expansion, and then to multiply it by the number

$$
M=\exp \left(1+A_{1} z\right)
$$

M will be obtained by looking up in a $k$-bit address table.
The exponential of $A$ can be approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+A+\frac{1}{2} A_{2} z^{4}+A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+A_{2}^{3} z^{6} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3 Sine and Cosine functions

Using the same number $A$ as for the exponential function, we use the approximations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos (A) \approx 1-A_{2}^{2} z^{4}-A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}  \tag{17}\\
\sin (A) \approx A-\frac{1}{6} A_{2}^{3} z^{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

| Function | table size (bits) | "small" mult. | "large" mult. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| reciprocal | $(k+1) \times 2^{k}$ | $5(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 0 |
| square-root | $(k+1+n) \times 2^{k}$ | $4(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 1 |
| inv. sqrt | $(k+1+n) \times 2^{k}$ | $4(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 1 |
| logarithm | $(k+1+n) \times 2^{k}$ | $4(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 0 |
| exponential | $n \times 2^{k}$ | $3(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 0 |
| $\sin / \cos$ | $2 n \times 2^{k}$ | $3(2$ are done in parallel $)$ | 4 |

Table 3: Table sizes and number of various operations required by our method, depending on the function being computed. Here, we call "small" multiplication a $k \times n$ or $k \times k$ multiplication, and "large" multiplication a $(3 k+1) \times(3 k+2)$ multiplication.

After this, if $M_{1}=\sin \left(1+A_{1} z\right)$ and $M_{2}=\cos \left(1+A_{1} z\right)$ are read from a $k$-bit address table, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin (Y)=M_{2} \sin (A)+M_{1} \cos (A) \\
& \cos (Y)=M_{2} \cos (A)-M_{1} \sin (A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for the sine and cosine functions, the post-processing step is more complex.

## 6 Conclusion

We have proposed a new method for computation of reciprocals, squareroots, inverse square-roots, logarithms, exponentials, sines and cosines. The strength of our method is that the same basic computations are performed for all these various functions. For reciprocation, our method will require a computational delay quite close to that of high-radix digit-recurrence or Newton-Raphson iteration. To get $52+1$ bits (double precision), the proposed method requires the working precision of $n=56$; for single precision result $(23+1), n=28$. Table 3 gives the table sizes and number of various operations required by our method, depending on the function being computed, and Table 4 give the required table sizes assuming either $n=56$ and $k=14$ (double-precision), or $n=28$ and $k=7$ (single precision).

| function | $n=56$ and $k=14$ <br> (double-precision) | $n=28$ and $k=7$ <br> (single-precision) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reciprocal | 30 Kbytes | 128 bytes |
| square-root | 145 Kbytes | 576 bytes |
| inv. sqrt | 145 Kbytes | 576 bytes |
| logarithm | 145 Kbytes | 576 bytes |
| exponential | 114 Kbytes | 448 bytes |
| sin/cos | 229 Kbytes | 896 bytes |

Table 4: Required table sizes assuming either $n=56$ and $k=14$ (doubleprecision), or $n=28$ and $k=7$ (single precision).

## 7 Appendix: proof of Theorem 1

Let us start from the series (6):

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(A)=C_{0}+C_{1}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)+C_{2}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+C_{3}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{3}+C_{4}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{4}+\ldots \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us keep in mind that $A=A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}$ is obviously less than $2^{-k}$. If we drop out from the previous series the terms with coefficients $C_{4}$, $C_{5}, C_{6}, C_{7}, \ldots$, the error will be:

$$
\left|\sum_{i=4}^{\infty} C_{i}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+A_{4} z^{4}\right)^{i}\right|
$$

which is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}=C_{\max } \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{i}=C_{\max } \frac{2^{-4 k}}{1-2^{-k}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\text {max }}=\max _{i \geq 4}\left|C_{i}\right|$.
Now, let us expand the expression obtained from (6) after having dis-
carded the terms of rank $\geq 4$. We get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(A) \approx C_{0}+C_{1} A+C_{2} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+2 C_{2} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5} \\
+\left(2 C_{2} A_{2} A_{4}+C_{2} A_{3}^{2}+C_{3} A_{2}^{3}\right) z^{6}+\left(2 C_{2} A_{3} A_{4}+3 C_{3} A_{2}^{2} A_{3}\right) z^{7}  \tag{20}\\
+\left(C_{2} A_{4}^{2}+3 C_{3} A_{2}^{2} A_{4}+3 C_{3} A_{2} A_{3}^{2}\right) z^{8}+\left(6 C_{3} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}+C_{3} A_{3}^{3}\right) z^{9} \\
+\left(3 C_{3} A_{2} A_{4}^{2}+3 C_{3} A_{3}^{2}\right) z^{10}+3 C_{3} A_{3} A_{4}^{2} z^{11}+C_{3} A_{4}^{3} z^{12} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In this rather complicated expression, let us discard all the terms of the form $W \times z^{j}$ such that the maximum possible value of $W$ multiplied by $z^{j}=2^{-k j}$ is less than or equal to $z^{4}$. We then get (7), that is:

$$
f(A) \approx C_{0}+C_{1} A+C_{2} A_{2}^{2} z^{4}+2 C_{2} A_{2} A_{3} z^{5}+C_{3} A_{2}^{3} z^{6} .
$$

To get a bound on the error $\epsilon$ obtained when approximating (20) by (7), we replace the $A_{i}$ 's by their maximum value $2^{k}$, and we replace the $C_{i}$ 's by their absolute value. This gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{2} \leq & \left(4\left|C_{2}\right|+3\left|C_{3}\right|\right) 2^{-4 k}+\left(2\left|C_{2}\right|+6\left|C_{3}\right|\right) 2^{-5 k}+7\left|C_{3}\right| 2^{-6 k} \\
& +6\left|C_{3}\right| 2^{-8 k}+\left|C_{3}\right| 2^{-9 k} \\
& \leq\left(4\left|C_{2}\right|+3\left|C_{3}\right|+9 \max \left\{C_{2}, C_{3}\right\} \times 2^{-k}\right) 2^{-4 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that $7 \times 2^{-k}+6 \times 2^{-2 k}+2^{-3 k}<1$, which is true as soon as $k \geq 4$. Therefore, $\epsilon_{2}$ is bounded by a value that is very close to $\left(4\left|C_{2}\right|+3\left|C_{3}\right|\right) 2^{-4 k}$.

As explained in section 2, when computing (7), we will make a nother approximation: after having computed $A_{2}^{2}$, the computation of $A_{2}^{3}$ would require a $2 k \times k$ multiplication. Instead of this, we will take the most $k$ significant bits of $A_{2}^{2}$ only, and multiply them by $A_{2}$. If we write:

$$
A_{2}^{2}=\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{l o w}+2^{k}\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{h i g h},
$$

where $\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{\text {low }}$ and $\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{\text {high }}$ are $k$-bit numbers, the error committed is

$$
C_{3}\left(A_{2}^{2}\right)_{\text {low }} A_{2} z^{6},
$$

whose absolute value is bounded by $\epsilon_{3}=\left|C_{3}\right| 2^{-4 k}$.
By adding the three errors due to our having discarded terms, we get the bound given in Theorem 1.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It would be more accurate to say digits, since it is likely that in a practical implementation, $A_{2}^{2}$ will be represented in a redundant (e.g., carry-save or borrow-save) representation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Obviously, these "multiplications" will be implemented as - possibly redundant additions, since $3=2+1$ and $5=4+1$.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the case of the reciprocal function, but this is similar for the other ones.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ This is exactly the same step as our reduction step.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ That is, the number of common digits between $x_{n+1}$ and $1 / Y$ is approximately twice that between $x_{n}$ and $1 / Y$.
    ${ }^{6}$ To our knowledge, this property is only used in multiple-precision implementations of the division. Using it in FPU's would require the design of several different size multipliers.
    ${ }^{7}$ Getting a correctly rounded result would require another iteration.
    ${ }^{8}$ The well known iteration for division

    $$
    x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{Y}{x_{n}}\right)
    $$

    cannot be used here, since it requires a division at each step. To compute $\sqrt{Y}$, it is much better to first compute $1 / \sqrt{Y}$ using (13), and to multiply the result by $Y$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ This is not surprising:the same iteration is hidden behind both methods [8].

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ Depending on the function being computed, their rectangular multipliers are between $10 \times 56$ and $16 \times 56$-bit multipliers.

