

On the relations between dynamical systems and boolean circuits.

Pascal Koiran

To cite this version:

Pascal Koiran. On the relations between dynamical systems and boolean circuits.. [Research Report] LIP RR-1993-01, Laboratoire de l'informatique du parallélisme. 1992, $2+13p$. hal-02101931

HAL Id: hal-02101931 <https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02101931v1>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Laboratoire de l'Informatique du Parallélisme

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Institut IMAG Unité de recherche associée au CNRS n°1398

Pascal Koiran Janvier 93

IN 795-UI

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon 46, Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France, Téléphone : + 33 72 72 80 00; Télécopieur : + 33 72 72 80 80; Adresses électroniques : lip@frensl61.bitnet; lip@lip.ens−lyon.fr (uucp).

On the relations between dynamical systems and boolean circuits

Pascal Koiran

Abstract

We study the computational capabilities of dynamical systems de $n_{\rm H}$ is the rate of functions on $|0,1|$. The computations are performed $n_{\rm H}$ with infinite precision on arbitrary real numbers, like in the model of analog computation recently proposed by Hava Siegelmann and Ed uardo Sontag. We concentrate mainly on the low-dimensional case and on the relations with the Blum-Shub-Smale model of computation over the real numbers

Keywords: analog computation, non-uniform complexity, dynamical systems.

Résumé

nous conserve in puissance de calcul de systematic d_imitime puissance de se nis par des iterations de fonctions sur $[0,1]^\sim$. Les calculs sont effectues $$ en précision infinie sur des nombres réels quelquonques, comme dans le mod ele de calcul analogique r ecemment propos e par Hava Siegelmann et Eduardo Sontag Nous insistons surtout sur le cas des syst emes en petites dimensions et sur les relations avec le mod ele de calcul sur les nombres réels de Blum, Shub & Smale.

emes de calcul analogique complexit mon uniforme systems systems systems and

On the relations between dynamical systems and boolean circuits

Pascal Koiran $LIP - IMAG$ Unité de Recherche Associée 1398 du CNRS Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Allee die 69364 Lyon Cedex 07 France e-mailed mailed mail and complete the property of the same of the

January 2006, and 2007, and

Introduction

A new model of analog computation was recently proposed by Siegelmann & Sontag - In this model computations are performed by recurrent neural networks with real weights, instead of rational weights in a previous work [8]. It is assumed that the computations are carried out with unbounded pre cision. Siegelmann & Sontag showed that arbitrary (even non-computable) functions can be computed in exponential time by their networks and that the class of polynomial-time computable functions is the class $P/poly$ of functions computable by polynomialsize boolean circuits They also proved that using much more general networks (called *generalized processor net* $works)$ does not result in any gain in computational capabilities.

In this paper, we continue the study of the computational capabilities of the distribution of the started in the started in the set of the systems started in the some of the some of the results of - Our framework is very similar to Siegelmann Sontags the dynamical systems considered are iterated functions on $[0,1]^\circ;$ some of

 $\mathbf{1}$

This work was partially supported by the Programme de Recherches Coordonnees C^\pm of the CNRS and the Ministère de la Recherche et de la Technologie.

these functions can be described by a finite set of parameters which can take arbitrary (even non-computable) real values.

It is shown in section that iterated piecewiselinear functions on the interval can compute arbitrary functions in exponential time. This is an interesting difference with the "rational weights" case, for which it seems that two dimensions are necessary in order to compute arbitrary recursive functions with iterated piecewiselinear functions In dimension two the class of polynomial-time computable functions is exactly $P/poly$. We then show that using other types of functions or higher dimensions does not allow to compute anything else than $P/poly$ in polynomial time. This result precisely holds for the class of iterated *polynomial-time approximable* functions, which should include most functions of practical interest (it does include piecewise-linear functions, as shown in section 2, as well as multivariate polynomials - poly

In section 4, we consider on-line systems in which the input is fed to the system bit by bit, instead of being encoded entirely in the initial state. This is closer to the mode of operation of Siegelmann $\&$ Sontag's recurrent networks and it can be shown that these systems are actually equivalent to their generalized processor networks. However, we believe that our model is simpler and more natural. It is shown that on-line systems are in fact a rpecial case of the oline systems of section \mathcal{L} . The same limit same limitation \mathcal{L} applies to their computational capabilities

Finally, these models are compared to the BSS model of analog computation, recently proposed by Blum, Shub and Smale [2]. It is shown that their model is at least as powerful as our's. Whether it is actually more powerful is an interesting open problem, which could shed light on the $P = NP$ problem in the BSS model

2 Preliminaries

The definitions and notations used throughout the paper are listed below.

I is the unit interval $[0,1]$. $e_i(x)$ is the i^{ω} component of $x \in \mathcal{R}^{\omega}$. For $x, y \in \mathcal{R}^{\sim}$, $|x - y| = ||x - y||_{\infty} = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i|.$

 L^+ is the set of finite non-empty words on the alphabet L .

We identify a natural number with its radix-2 expansion, so that N can be identified with $\{0,1\}^*$. $|x|$ is the length of $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ or $x \in \mathbb{N}$ (and not the absolute value

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ in radiation in radiati

 $\overline{2}$

with $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and $D = \cup_{n \geq 1} D_n$.

where the digits α -ratio α are the rest number of α are the rest of α are the rest number of α if $y = 0.x_1 \ldots x_n$ is such that $|x - y| \leq 2^{-n}$ (there is of course no uniqueness). We note $y = \text{Trunc}_n(x)$. Similarly, we define $\text{Trunc}_n(x)$ for $x \in I^*$ by taking the first n digits in each component.

Denition 1 FL_d is the set of piecewise-tinear continuous functions on T . More precisely, $f: I^* \to I^*$ belongs to f^*L_d if

- f is continuous:
- there is a finite set P of convex closed polyhedra (of non-empty interior) such that f is affine on each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $I^d \subset \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$ and $\overset{\circ}{P} \cap \overset{\circ}{Q} = \emptyset$ \mathbf{r} . Propose \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r}

Let us recall the classical notion of polynomial-time computable real function [6].

Denmition \boldsymbol{z} $j : I^{\circ} \to I^{\circ}$ is in \boldsymbol{r}_d if there is a Turing machine T such that

- ^T receives as input an integer p specifying the precision required for the output $f(x)$.
- The computer m of interest m of input digits needed.
- 3. T queries an oracle to obtain $Trunc_m(x)$ (this step takes constant time by definition).
- 4. T then computes the output $\text{Trunc}_p(f(x))$.
- β . There are constants a and k such that for any $x \in I^*$ and $p \in I^*$, the whole computation is performed in time at most apx.

Note that we can assume without loss of generality that m is polynomial in p , since a Turing machine cannot read more than a polynomial number of bits in polynomial time. For encoding functions, we shall use the following notion of polynomial time computability.

Definition 5 A function $\varphi : \{0,1\}^* \to I^*$ is in PE_d if there is a Turing machine ^T such that

1. 1 receives as input $x \in \{0,1\}^+$ and an integer p specifying the precision required for the output $\phi(x)$.

- The output Trunch T and T
- 5. There are constants a and k such that for any $x \in I^+$ and $p \in I^{\bullet}$, the whole computation is performed in time at most $a(p + |x|)^{n}$.

 P_d and PE_d are in fact subsets of the class of polynomial-time computable functions from \boldsymbol{R}^{\cdots} to \boldsymbol{R}^{\cdots} [6].

The transition functions of our systems cannot be allowed to be arbitrary polynomial-time computable functions (in order to show that circuit families can simulate iterated functions, a Lipschitz property is necessary). We shall consider only the following subclass

Denmition 4 $f: I^* \to I^*$ is in LF_d if $f \in F_d$ and f is Lipschitz (i.e., if there is a constant C such that $\forall x, y \in T$, $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C |x - y|$.

It can be easily seen that $f \in LP_d$ iff it is polynomial-time approximable in the sense of \mathcal{S} -sense of Siegelmann \mathcal{S} -sense of Siegelmann \mathcal{S} -sense of Siegelmann \mathcal{S}

 $\textbf{Lemma I}$ $f: I^* \rightarrow I^*$ is in LP d iff

- f is the complete the state of the state
- There is a Turing machine T that can compute Trunch that μ nomial time on an input $x \in D_n^d$.

Proof Assume that f is polynomial time approximable. Given $x \in I^-$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we need to compute y such that $|y - f(x)| \leq 2^{-n}$. This can be done by taking $y = \text{Trunc}_p(f(\text{Trunc}_p(x))$, for p large enough. The computation time is polynomial in p by hypothesis. Since f is Lipschitz,

$$
|y - f(x)| \leq |\text{Trunc}_p(f(\text{Trunc}_p(x)) - f(\text{Trunc}_p(x))| + |f(\text{Trunc}_p(x)) - f(x)|
$$

$$
\leq 2^{-p} + 2^{k-p}
$$

where z^{\sim} ($\kappa \in I$ **v**) bounds the Lipschitz constant of f. **Hence** $p = \kappa + n + 1$ is sufficient.

The converse is obvious. \Box

In order to deal with arbitrary real numbers, we need to consider the class C/poly for each class C defined above. The functions in these classes are computed by Turing machines using polynomial advice funtions $[1]$. Contrary to oracles, the value of the advice function does not depend fully on the input of the Turing machine, but only on its length. For instance, $f \in PE_d$ /poly

if there is a function $A: N \to \{0,1\}^*$ (the advice function) and a furing machine T such that on the input $(p, x, A(p + |x|))$, T computes the first p digits of $f(x)$ in time $O((p + |x|)^n)$. The length of the advice sequence $A(p + |x|)$ must also be polynomial in $p + |x|$ (this latter requirement is in fact redundant, since the portion of the tape that can be scanned by T in polynomial time is of polynomial size

 μ ϵ = ϵ is the class of sets $E \subset \{0,1\}^*$ recognizable in polynomial time by Turing machines, we obtain the class $P/poly$. It will be shown that this is exactly the class of sets that can be recognized in polynomial time by iterated functions. A useful characterization of $P/poly$ is the following. $f: \{0,1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is in P/poly if and only if it can be computed by boolean circuits of polynomial size [1], i.e., if there is a family of circuits $(C_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that the size of C_n is polynomial in n , and C_n computes $J|\{0,1\}^n$.

It can be easily seen that there are non-computable functions is $P/poly$, since the circuit family $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ is not supposed to be recursive. Conversely, some computable functions are not in P/poly, since $\rm EXPTIME\c{\not\!\!\!\!\!\!\nearrow} P/poly$ [1].

The next result is important because all the specific examples of systems considered in this paper as well as in - are piecewiselinear

Theorem 1 $PL_d \subset LP_d$ /poly.

Proof. $f \in PL_d$ is clearly Lipschitz. Inside a given polyhedron $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $e_j(f(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^a w_{ij}x_i + \theta_j$. The Lipschitz constant of $f_{|P}$ is L_P = max_j $\sum_{i=1}^{a} |w_{ij}|$. The Lipschitz constant of f is max_{PEP} L_P.

In order to show that $f \in P_d$, we first show that f is a Lipschitz function of its parameters. We will now write $f(W, x)$ in order to take them into account explicitly. Let us first define a convention allowing to associate a unique vector of parameters $W \in \boldsymbol{R}^*$ to f. We can assume that P is a triangulation, i.e., each polygon P is a polytope (the convex hull of $d+1$ points in general position). f is uniquely defined by the list W of the vertices of the polytopes, together with the value of f at these vertices, enumerated in a fixed order. We also assume without loss of generality that I° is in the interior of $\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} I$, so that f is defined, continuous and piecewise-finear in a neighborhood of I^* . Some vectors $W ~\subset ~\boldsymbol{R}^*$ are not associated to a triangulation of I^+ (this occurs when two polytopes intersect); others are associated to a triangulation that does not cover $I^-.$ These vectors are $\hspace{0.1mm}$ called invalidation that set of values is opened to open for any values is open W-Vthere is a parallelepiped BW-correlation of values \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} inside \mathbf{v}

such a parallepiped, and consider for a given $x \in I^-$ the function

$$
\begin{array}{cc} g & : & B(W_0,r) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^d \\ & W \mapsto f(W,x) \end{array}
$$

This function is continuous and piecewiselinear since the equations deter mining the polytope in which x is located are linear. Hence the analysis of $x \mapsto f(W, x)$ made at the beginning of the proof can be applied to q: q is Lipschitz, and its Lipschitz constant is smaller than $\sum_{i=1}^a x_i \leq d$.

where the state α in the state α in the state function α , α in polynomial times the state α According to lemma 1, we can assume that $x \in D^+$. An approximation of \bm{x} is a canonical simply by computing fraction \bm{y} and \bm{y} and \bm{y} and \bm{y} and \bm{y} enough (this makes sense because the set of valid vectors is open). Since g is Lipschitz q and the contract of the α obtain α is such that α constant C . The computation clearly takes polynomial time, and the advice sequence is the interesting $y \mapsto y$, where $y \mapsto y$

3 Off-line inputs

In this section we study a mode of computation in which the input to the system is encoded entirely in its initial state

Definition 5 The decision function $F: \{0,1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is off-line computable in the computable in the computation of the computation of the computation of the computation of the c φ : $\{0,1\}$ \rightarrow 1 is an encoaing function, $\{a_0,b_0\}$ and $\{a_1,b_1\}$ $\{a_1 > b_0\}$ are two atsjoint aecision intervals, and f is a function on **I**⁻.

On an input $u \in \{0,1\}^*$, the computation performed by S is defined by the following sequence $(x(t))_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$:

- \cdots , \cdots , \cdots , \cdots
- \ldots . \ldots , \ldots , \ldots

Let tu minft xt a- b- - a bg it is assumed that tu exists the output is F unity of the study of th

The time complexity of this computation is the function T such that $|u|=n$

Theorem 2 An arourary total function $F : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ can be offline computed by ^f P L in exponential time Moreover- the encoding function is independent of \mathbf{r} independent of \mathbf{r} are decision intervals are decision intervals are decision in also independent of F and are such that a- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}$ and are such that a-dimensional a-dim

 $\overline{6}$

Proof. It is clearly possible to replace I by the interval $[0,2]$. We shall work with radius α and digital α and digits α and α and α and α and α introduced in \mathcal{A} and used subsequently in \mathcal{A} and used subsequently in \mathcal{A} convenient to consider the function G such that $G(N) = 1$ if $F(N) = 0$. GNU IS GNU IS TO THE INSTEAD OF THE CONTRACT CONTRACT CONTRACT CONTRACT USED IN THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O in the rest of the paper, all decimal expansions in this proof are radix 4.

Let $\phi(N) = 1.1(10)^N 3^{+\infty}$ (N is now viewed as an integer rather than a word on the alphabet for \mathbf{y} , we have \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{y}

$$
f(x) = 2^{-(p+1)}[2(x - 1.1) + a] + q_0,
$$

with a
-G

G --- Gn --- and q-
-q- - - -q-^p the initial state of a pushdown automaton A described below. p is such that A has less than 2^p states. Therefore

$$
f(\phi(N)) = 0.q_{01} \dots q_{0p} 1G(0) 1G(1) \dots 1G(N-1) 3G(N) 3G(N+1)3 \dots
$$

reduced the sequence of a is just to populate the sequence of digital sequence of GN GN --- viewed as a downward innite stack This can be done by a function of P L as explained in the P L as explained in the A reads and A reads a reads a reads a re odd position in this sequence, it knows that the next digit is $G(N)$. After reading $G(N)$, it erases the stack, and enters one of the two halting states a- a Finally the gap - can be leading to a the gap the gap to assume the gap of the sound of the sound of the obtain a continuous piecewise-linear function on $[0, 2]$.

In order to compute the n^{th} first digit of $\phi(N)$, the main task is to compare *n* and $2N + 2$, which can be done in time polynomial in log *n* and $\log N$. Hence ϕ is in PE₁. \Box

a comparison with rational weights systems $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ or an order If the pa rameters defining $f \in PL_d$ are rational, the iterations of f can be computed exactly on a conventional computer (assuming that the initial state is rational); hence it is only possible to compute recursive functions in this model. It turns out that all recursive functions can be computed $[8]$, but two dimensions seem to be necessary we have proved this using a somewhat restrictive definition of what it means to to compute a recursive function). Theorem 2 shows that one dimension suffices in the "real weights" model. at the theorem is the second the two dimensions are presumately a filter in the state of $\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{p}$ is concerned with efficiency issues.

Theorem 3 Let $C = (C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a circuit family such that the size $S(n)$ of C_n is a non-aecreasing function of n. C can be simulated in time $O(nS(n)^n)$

-

for some xed k by an iterated function f P L Moreover- the en coding function τ is in PE-a discussed in and intervals of f will be decision intervals on τ are also independent of C and are such that a $\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}\in\mathbf{W}$ and a $\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{0}$

Proof we follow Siegelmann α Sontag's method μ , and work with $\vert 0, 2 \vert$ like in the previous proof. It will be convenient to consider that F is defined on $\{1,3\}^{\circ}$ instead of $\{0,1\}^{\circ}$. Contrary to the convention used in the rest of the paper all decimal expansions in this proof are to the radix The encoding function is

$$
\phi(x) = \phi(x_1 \dots x_n) = (0.x_1 \dots x_n, 1.1).
$$

From this point, we make a transition to

$$
f(\phi(x)) = (0.q_{01} \ldots q_{0p}x_1 \ldots x_n,c),
$$

where γ_{UL} , , , γ_{UL} canceled the initial state of a Turing machine γ are defined as fined below, and the digits of $c\in[0,1]$ encode the sequence $C=(C_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}.$ From the there will be a function of Δ function of Δ function of Δ function of Δ in real simulation of Δ time. With the initial tape xc, The job of M is simply to run C_n on the \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} known to be in P $\vert 1 \vert$. The overall computation time is $O(nS(n)^{\epsilon})$ since the encoding of C_n is at a distance $O(nS(n)\log S(n))$ of the initial read-write head (a circuit of size S can be encoded in space $O(S \log S)$). \Box

Corollary 1 A function $F : \{0,1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ in P/poly can be off-line computed in polynomial time by $f \in PL_2$ with an encoding function in PE_2 .

Proof. A function in P/poly has polynomial size circuits [1]. \Box

Since an arbitrary function has exponential size circuits (e.g., its disjunctive normal form for each input size), we could add to this corollary that arbitrary functions can be computed in exponential time, but this is already known from theorem 2.

Theorem 4 If $F: \{0,1\}^+ \to \{0,1\}$ can be off-line computed in polynomial time by f L \ge \pm \pm \pm α and α in encoding function \pm \ge \pm \pm α and α . $P/poly.$

This result shows that theorems and are in a sense optimal polynomial time computation in higher dimensions is not more powerful than in dimen sion two, and the exponential computation time of theorem 2 cannot be

reduced to polynomial time, even in higher dimensions. It is also useless to work with classes of functions more powerful than PL_d . Finally, note that theorem 4 deals with encoding functions in PE_d /poly, although PE_d is all where α is the reason is the reason α is the reason is that encoding functions is that encoding functions in PE_d /poly will be useful in the next section.

The proof of theorem 4 is similar to Siegelmann and Sontag's analysis of generalized processor networks. We first need the following result.

Lemma 2 Let $(f_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of Lipschitz functions on I^- having their Lipschitz constants uniformly bounded above by C - and Let $(x(t))_{t\in\mathbf{N}}$ and $(\overline{x}(t))_{t\in\mathbf{N}}$ be two sequences defined by $x(t+1) = f_t(x(t))$ and

$$
\overline{x}(t+1) = f_t(\overline{x}(t)) + \epsilon(t)
$$

with $\forall t \geq 0, \ |\epsilon(t)| \leq \epsilon \ and \ |\overline{x}(0) - x(0)| \leq \epsilon.$ Then

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \ |\overline{x}(t) - x(t)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{C - 1} (C^{t+1} - 1).
$$

Proof. By induction. The result is true for $t = 0$. Assume that it holds at time t. Then

$$
|\overline{x}(t+1) - x(t+1)|
$$
 $\leq C |\overline{x}(t) - x(t)| + \epsilon$
 $\leq C \epsilon$
 $C - 1(C^{t+1} - 1) + \epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{C - 1}(C^{t+2} - 1).$

 \Box

In the next section, this lemma will be applied to a family of functions, but it will be now used with $f_t = f$ for all t.

Proof of theorem 4. In order to distinguish between output 0 (interval a- b-compute each compute each computer each vector $\mathcal{N}(I)$. The compute $\mathcal{N}(I)$ τ and accuracy a boundary and τ is the computation of τ that turns are computationally in τ time on input u, and that $n = |u|$). Starting from $\overline{x}(0) = \text{Trunc}_q(\phi(u))$, define $\overline{x}(t + 1) = \text{Trunc}_{g}(f(\overline{x}(t)))$. Let $C > 1$ be an upper bound of the Lipschitz constant of f . According to lemma 2, it is sufficient to have

$$
\epsilon = 2^{-q} \le \frac{(a_1 - b_0)(C - 1)}{3(C^{T(n)} - 1)}.
$$

Hence we can take $q = O(T(n)) = O(n^+)$, where k is a constant. Since $f \in P_d$, $\overline{x}(t + 1)$ can be computed from $\overline{x}(t)$ in polynomial time with a polynomial advice sequence. Since $\phi \in PE_d$, $\overline{x}(0)$ can be computed in time polynomial in $q + n$ with an advice sequence polynomial in $q + n$, hence polynomial in n . \square

4 On-line inputs

In this section, we study a mode of computation in which the input is fed to the system bit by bit starting from a fixed initial state, instead of being encoded entirely in the initial state. It can be shown that these on-line systems are equivalent to Siegelmann $\&$ Sontag's generalized processor networks. We will not use their model, because we believe that our's is simpler and more natural

Definition 6 The decision function $F : \{0,1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is online computable if it can be computed by an on-line system $S =$ $(f, f_0, f_1, [a_0, o_0], [a_1, o_1])$. $f_0, f_1 : I^* \to I^*$ are encoaing functions, $[a_0, o_0]$ and a b-conduction intervals-beam intervals-beam intervals-beam intervals-beam intervals-beam intervals-beam in \overline{on} $\overline{1}$.

On an input $u \in \{0,1\}^*$, the computation performed by S is defined by the following sequence $(x(t))_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$:

- $x = 1$ $x = 1$
- xt fut xt for ^t ⁿ
- xt f xt for t  n

لا من المسلم المستقدمة المسلمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة the output is F unity of the study of th

The time complexity of this computation is the function T such that $|u|=n$

Slightly different definitions of on-line systems are conceivable. One might for instance consider that a system is dened by only two functions f- and f_1 , and that the input is an infinite word $u \in \{0,1\}^\omega$ with $u_i = 0$ for i large enough (this is closer to Siegelmann $\&$ Sontag's neural networks).

On-line systems are clearly a special case of the off-line systems discussed in section is the encoding function function α

$$
\phi(u_1 \dots u_n) = f_{u_n} \circ \dots f_{u_2} \circ f_{u_1}(0). \tag{1}
$$

Theorem If f- f LPdpoly- the encoding function of equation is in PE_d /poly.

Proof. We show how to compute $\phi(u)$ with accuracy 2^{-p} in time $O((p+n)^n)$, for $u = u_1 \ldots u_n \in \{0,1\}^{\perp}$. Starting from $x(0) = 0$, compute $x(t+1) =$ Trunc_q($f_{u_t}(\overline{x}(t))$, for q large enough and $0 \leq t \leq n-1$. According to lemma 2, it is sufficient to have

$$
\epsilon = 2^{-q} \le \frac{2^{-p}(C-1)}{C^{n+1}-1},
$$

where C bounds the Lipschtz constants of f- and f we can assume that $C \geq 2$ without loss of generality). Hence we can take $q = \lceil p + (n+1) \log C \rceil$. Since for an and for an equation for α in α in the computed from α in α in α polynomial time using an advice sequence polynomial in q .

The computation time is clearly polynomial in the input length $s = n+p$, with a polynomial advice sequence. However, this sequence must depend on s only. In order to insure this, just replace the value of q used up to now by the larger value $q = \lceil \log C \rceil (s + 1)$. \Box

It follows immediately that on-line systems are not more powerful than offline systems

Corollary 2 If $T : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is on-time computable in polynomial time- F Ppoly

Proof. Straightforward consequence of theorems 4 and 5. \Box

The converse can also easily be proven: on-line systems are thus equivalent to off-line systems with respect to polynomial-time computations.

Theorem 6 An arbitrary function $F \in P$ /poly can be computed in polynomial time by an online system with full find f and f and f

Proof Recall that the encoding function of theorem is

$$
\phi(x) = \phi(x_1 \ldots x_n) = (0.y_1 \ldots y_n, 1.1),
$$

with $\mathcal{Y} = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $y = y$ is equivalent since the content of a stack of a two pushdown automaton can be reversed in linear time It is then possible to perform the computation using the same function function for the same function \mathbf{r}_1 , which two encoding functions below are clearly suitable

•
$$
f_0(z_1, z_2) = (\frac{1+z_1}{4}, 1.1);
$$

•
$$
f_1(z_1, z_2) = (\frac{3+z_1}{4}, 1.1).
$$

 \Box

Both types of systems are also equivalent with respect to exponential time computations

Theorem 7 An arbitrary function $F: \{0,1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be computed α , and the system function from the such that f α is that f α and α polynomials with rational coefficients.

Proof. Recall that the encoding function of theorem 2 is

$$
\phi(N) = \phi(u_1 \dots u_n) = 1.1(10)^{N} 3^{+\infty}.
$$

Here we use the encoding $\psi(N) = \phi(0) - \phi(N)$, because the initial state of an on-line system is always 0. Once $\psi(N)$ is computed, it is clearly possible to compute $\phi(N) = \phi(0) - \psi(N)$ and to apply the same method as in the proof of theorem 2, by iterating a function $f \in PL_1$.

where the such that the following the such that J is a such that the such that J is a such that J is

$$
f_{u_k} \circ \ldots \circ f_{u_1}(0) = \psi(N_k)
$$

with \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n is the \mathbb{R}^n multiplier of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n are \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n are \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n are \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n are \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbb{R}^$ $m_{\tilde{K}}$. There are no zero rational constants a such that such that such that such that \tilde{K} $\psi(N) = a(1 - 0^{\circ})$. Since $N_{k+1} = 2N_k + u_{k+1}, \psi(N_{k+1}) = a(1 - 0^{\circ})$ ^h $1-b^{u_{k+1}}(b^{N_k})^2$. $b^{N_k} = 1 - \psi(N_k)/a$, hence $f_i(x) = a \left[1 - b^i(1 - x/a)^2\right]$. \Box

5 The Blum, Shub & Smale model

This is a model of analog computation based on real RAM machines similar to the standard RAM machines studied in discrete complexity theory The main difference between discrete and real RAMs is that in the latter model a register can store an entire real number (with infinite precision), instead of an integer in the discrete case (see $[2]$ and $[4]$ for more details). The basic arithmetic and logical operations $(+,-,\times,/,\leq)$ can be performed in constant time.

The purpose of the Blum, Shub & Smale (BSS) model is to provide a formal model of computing on real-valued data. Nevertheless, we are free to consider the restriction of this model to discrete inputs and outputs in

order to make a comparison with the models studied in the rest of the paper Theorem 8 shows that the BSS model is at least as powerful.

A few notations: P_R is the set of functions computable in polynomial time in the BSS model; P_{RD} is the restriction of P_R to discrete inputs and outputs (i.e., $P_{RD} = P_R \cap \{f : \{0,1\}^+ \to \{0,1\}\}\)$. For non-deterministic machines, the classes NP_R and NP_{RD} are defined similarly.

Theorem σ in the DSS model, an arbitrary function \mathbf{r} : $\{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\}\rightarrow\{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\}$ can be computed in exponential time. For polynomial-time computation $P/poly \subset P_{RD}$ and $NP/poly \subset NP_{RD}$.

Proof We adapt the ideas of the proofs of theorems and

In order to compute $F: \{0,1\}^+ \to \{0,1\}$, we consider again the real number $c = 0$ $F(0)F(1) \ldots F(N) \ldots$ since $F(N) = |Z^{N+1}C|$, $F(N)$ can be computed by shifting $c (N + 1)$ times to the left (multiplication by 2) and subtracting the leftmost digit (subtraction and comparison). This clearly takes exponential time in $\log N$.

In order to compute $F \in P/poly$, we simulate a two-stack pushdown automaton, using one register for each stack. This can clearly be done like in theorem

In order to compute $F \in NP/poly$, we use directly the definition of $NP/poly$ in terms of advice sequences (this could also have been done in the proof of corollary 1; conversely, non-deterministic circuit families can be used here instead of advice sequences). We thus consider a real number c whose decimals encode an advice sequence for F . Given an input $u \in \{0,1\}^{\times}$, the corresponding advice can be read (deterministically) in polynomial time , a BSS machine like in the proof of the computed in the proof of the computed in polynomial time by a *deterministic* Turing machine \mathcal{T} , with the help of the advice and of a (non-deterministic) guess $g \in \{0,1\}^{p(n)}$ where p is a polynomial. We have just seen that T can be simulated in linear time by a BSS machine. Hence it just remains to be shown how the guess can be computed by a non-deterministic BSS machine M . This is done by the following program $(h \in \mathbf{R})$ is the guess of \mathcal{M}).

```
pow-
for i-
 to pn dobeginif hpow then gi-
 else gi	. . . . . . .
end
```
In other words, q is the radix 2 expansion of h. If we take a guess $h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{r\times r}$, the task can be performed by an even simpler program

for i- to pn doII HIIIVV UHEII EII.-I EISE EIII.-V \Box

In order to prove this result, it is also possible to simulate an off-line system. by showing that a function of PL_d can be computed in constant time, and the encoding functions of theorem in polynomial time online systems and Siegelmann $\&$ Sontag's neural networks are other possible starting points.

6 Final remarks

In this paper and in - several related models of analog computing were investigated. It turned out that in spite of several significant differences between these models, the class of polynomial-time computable functions is always $P/poly$. In light of this robustness property, it seems reasonable to claim that "efficient analog computing $= P/poly$ " (compare with the *invariance thesis* of discrete complexity theory, which states that the class of polynomial-time computable functions should be the same in all "reasonable" models of computation $[9]$). Before a definitive conclusion can be reached, it will probably be necessary to study other models of analog computing. One such model is the real RAM machine, which was shown to be as least as powerful in section 5. We left open the important reciprocal problem of finding whether these machines can compute more than P/poly in polynomial time

There is yet another motivation for studying this problem: an answer to this question could shed light on the " $P_R = NP_R$ " problem proposed by Blum, Shub & Smale.

As a matter of fact, if $P_R = NP_R$, this equality also holds for the BSS model restricted to discrete inputs and outputs: $P_{RD} = NP_{RD}$. If it turns out that PRD is a poly we can concern met the pupils cannot be a poly poly t $\mathrm{P}_R = \mathrm{NP}_R \Rightarrow \mathrm{NP} \subset P/\mathrm{poly}.$ However, the latter inclusion violates standard hypotheses of discrete complexity theory $[1]$. One could thus conclude that $P_R = NP_R$ is very unlikely. This approach to the $P_R = NP_R$ problem will be developed in a forthcoming paper

Finally we note that several new problems were recently shown to be ${\rm NP}_R$ -complete or ${\rm NP}_R$ -hard [5]. These new developments add to the interest of the $P_R = NP_R$ problem.

References

- \blacksquare JL Balcoazar Julie JL Balcoazar Julie JL Balcoazar Julie JL Balcoazar J graphs on Theoretical Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- \blacksquare . Let \blacksquare and Shub and Shub and Shub and Shub and computation and c over the real numbers : NP-completeness, recursive functions and universal machines. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, $21(1)$:1-46, July 1989.
- , we consider a considered matrix of the computation of lower of lower α dimensional dynamical systems. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, 1993. To appear in the Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag.
- M Cosnard and P Koiran Relations between models of parallel abstract ma chines. In Proceedings of the Heinz Nixdorf seminar, Paderborn, November - to appear in the Lecture Notes in Computer Science SpringerVerlag
- \blacksquare . The complexity of some problems for the complexity of some problems for the Blumma for the B Shub & Smale model. In Proceedings of Latin'92, number 583 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 117-129. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- KerI Ko Complexity Theory of Real Functions Birkhauser
- H T Siegelmann and E D Sontag Neural networks with real weights analog computational complexity. SYCON Report 92-05, Rutgers University, September 1992.
- , we say that it is a some one of the computation of the computation of the computation of the computation of \mathbb{R}^n nets In Proc Fifth ACM Workshop on Computational Learning Theory July 1992.
- J van Leeuwen editor Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science volume A chapter 1. Elsevier, 1990.