

Worst Case Bounds for Shortest Path Interval Routing Cyril Gavoille, Eric Guevremont

To cite this version:

Cyril Gavoille, Eric Guevremont. Worst Case Bounds for Shortest Path Interval Routing. [Research Report] LIP RR-1995-02, Laboratoire de l'informatique du parallélisme. 1995, 2+23p. hal-02101800

HAL Id: hal-02101800 <https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02101800v1>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Laboratoire de l'Informatique du Parallélisme

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Unité de recherche associée au CNRS n°1398

Cyril Gavoille Eric Guévremont

April 5, 1995

Research Report No 95-02

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon Adresse électronique : lip@lip.ens−lyon.fr Téléphone : (+33) 72.72.80.00 Télécopieur : (+33) 72.72.80.80 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

Worst Case Bounds for Shortest Path Interval Routingrevised version

Cyril Gavoille Eric Guévremont

April 2008 and 2009 and 2009

Abstract

Consider *shortest path interval routing*, a popular memory-balanced method for solving the rowells problem on arbitrary networks-circulation and the correction and all about the the maximum number of intervals necessary to encode groups of destinations on an edge minimized over all shortest path interval routing schemes on G- In this paper we establish tight worst case bounds on IrsG-Company for IrsG-Company for Any 11, 11, 11, 2000, 2000, 2000, 2000, network G of n nodes with $\text{Ins}(G) \in \Theta(n)$, thereby improving on the best known lower bound of n log n- We also establish a worst case bound on bounded degree networks for any $\Delta \geq 3$ and any n, we construct a network G_{Δ} of n nodes and maximum degree Δ with $\text{Ins}(G_{\Delta}) \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)$.

Keywords: communication on parallel and distributed networks, compact routing tables, interval routing, shortest path routing

Résumé

Nous consid erons le probleme du routage par interval les de plus courts chemins une ee route en populaire et distribute en probleme de probleme du route probleme probleme de sur les ro traires- Etant donn e un r eseau G nous posons IrsG le nombre maximum dintervalles n ecessaires pour coder les groupes de destinations sur une ar
ete minimis e sur tous les routages par intervalles de plus courts chemins sur G- Dans cet article nous etablissons des bornes tres etroite sur le pire cas pour IrsG- Plus pr ecis ement pour tout n nous construisons un réseau G de n nœuds avec $\text{Ins}(n) \in \Theta(n)$, améliorant par conséquent la meilleur borne inf erieure connue n log n- Nous etablissons egalement un pire cas pour les réseaux de degré borné: pour tout $\Delta \geq 3$ et tout n , nous construisons un réseau G_{Δ} de *n* nœuds et de degré maximum Δ avec IRs(G_{Δ}) $\in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)$.

es tables communication sur reseaux paralleles et distributes als reseaux paralleles compactes et l routage par intervalles, routages de plus courts chemins

Worst Case Bounds for Shortest Path Interval Routing

Cyril Gavoille LIP-CNRS Ecole Normale Sup erieure de Lyon Lyon cedex France

Eric Guevremont School of Computing Science Simon Fraser University $B_{\rm eff}$ -states and the state in the state of the state in the s Canada

April -

Abstract

Consider shortest path interval routing a popular memorybalanced method for solving the routing problem on arbitrary networks. Onyen a network G, iet insit*r* i denote the maximum number of intervals necessary to encode groups of destinations on an edge, minimized over all shortest path interval routing schemes on ^G- In this paper we establish tight worst case boundson treater into the precisely for any n , we construct a network G of n nodes with Irs($G \in O(n)$, thereby improving on the best known lower bound of n log n- We also establish a worstcase bound on bounded degree networks. For any $\Delta \times$ 5 and any n , we construct a network \cup Δ of n nodes and maximum degree Δ with $\text{IRS}(\cup_{\Delta}) \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)$.

$\mathbf 1$ Introduction

The *shortest path routing problem* for an arbitrary network of processors is to design a uniform strategy that the router of each processor will follow upon reception of a message to decide to which of its neighboring nodes the message should be sent next such that the message arrives at its destination after passing through as few nodes as possible-few nodes and strategy showled as should be and distributed so as to limit the costs of routing (space, time and complexity) and uniform to reduce the costs of building hardware routers over a potentially great number of nodes- We want to minimize the local memory requirement for a distributed routing strategy-

Table routing is a standard solution to the shortest path routing problem for arbitrary networks. At each node in the network is stored a table listing for each possible destination the output port that should be used to send a message to that node along a shortest path- That solution guarantees shortest paths but requires $\Theta(n \log \Delta)$ bits of space per node, where n is the number of nodes and Δ is the maximum degree of a node.

To alleviate the space requirements of routing tables, *compact routing schemes* were introduced: in $[SK85]$ for arbitrary networks and in $[FJ88, FJ89, FJ90]$ for planar and c-decomposable networks. Trade-offs between the space requirements for every node and the length of the routes were proposed

This is a revised version of the report titled Worst Case Bounds for Shortest Path Interval Routing written in January - This revised report present an improvement on the section -

in ABNLP AP PU- A popular compact routing method interval routing is to group together the destination nodes corresponding to the same output port of a given node in intervals-Just as for table routing, this method requires that a header of only $O(\log n)$ bits be added to the forwarded message-form forward scheme was introduced in prefer in generalized in prefer in and shortest path interval routing was discussed in $[BvLT91, FJ88, vLT87]$.

Let us model a network of processors as a connected, simple and loop-less symmetric digraph $G = (V, E)$, where V denotes the set of vertices of G (corresponding to the routers) and E the set of arcs of G corresponding to the symmetric network-directed links of the symmetric networkthe cost of sending a message along any arc of G is uniform. An *interval* [a, b] of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of consecutive integers $\{a, \ldots, b\}$ cyclically. For example, [7, 2] is the subset $\{7, 8, 1, 2\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, 8\}.$

Given a symmetric digraph $G = (V, E)$ of n vertices, an *interval routing scheme* $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ for G consists of:

- consists or:
1. a one-to-one labeling function of the vertices, $\mathcal{L}: V \rightarrow \{1,\ldots,n\}$
- 2. a family $\mathcal{I} = \{I_e, e \in E\}$, where I_e is a set of intervals of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ associated with arc e

Moreover $\mathcal L$ and $\mathcal I$ must be such that the following properties hold:

- reover L and L must be such that the following properties ho $i.$ for every $x \in V,$ $\mathcal{L}(x) \cup \{I_{(x,u)}|(x,y) \in E\} = \{1,\ldots,n\}$ (we know how to route messages from x to every node in G)
- *ii.* for every two distinct arcs (x, y) and (x, z) of E, $I_{(x, y)} \cap I_{(x, z)} = \emptyset$ $(the routing scheme is well-defined)$

We say that a routing R is a *shortest path* routing scheme if the node-to-node routes induced by R always use a shortest path in G- From now on we will only consider shortest path interval routing schemes-

If such an interval scheme R is defined on a graph G, then message routing is performed as follows: upon reception of a message, vertex x first compares the message header, $\mathcal{L}(y)$ with its own label, $\mathcal{L}(x)$, to check if the message has arrived at its destination. If not, then the message and its header are forwarded through the unique arc (x, z) such that $\mathcal{L}(y) \in I_{(x, z)}$.

Consider Figure as an example of a shortest path interval routing scheme- In this example the labeling function L maps vertices a, b, e, q, d, f, c to integers $1, \ldots, 7$ respectively. In this graph, which is shown with the shown with under the set of intervals I-M-M-L α is as α is placed to arc α to vertex x- For example the intervals and that are close to vertex correspond to the set of integers $\{1, 2, 5\}$, and form the set $I_{(7,1)}$ that labels the arc $(7,1)$. Accordingly, if the vertex b wants to send a message to vertex f under R, the message will follow arcs (b, a) , (a, c) and (c, f) in that is the label of this model this model the label of the may of the not belong to an interval of one of its outgoing arcs e-g- for this graph the node labeled does while the node labeled does $not).$

Routing strategies that do not require shortest paths have been studied in [BvLT91, FG94a. SK85, where the authors give a complete characterization of the graphs requiring a small number

Figure 1: A graph G and an interval routing scheme for G .

of intervals for dierent restricted versions of interval routing- A hardware solution to the routing problem based on intervals was proposed by INMOS with its $C104$ chip (see [DFL93] and [MTW93]).

Given a graph G of n vertices and an interval routing scheme R for $G,$ we define Irs(R) to be the maximum over all the arcs of G of the number of intervals that is required to encode the destinations Given a graph G of *n* vertices and an interval routing scheme *R* for G, we define IRs(*R*) to be the maximum over all the arcs of G of the number of intervals that is required to encode the destinations associated to th α denote α as mini-commutation for all shortest path interval routing schemes R on G-1 and G-1 and G-1 sense, IRS(G) is the maximum number of intervals required by the "most compact" shortest path interval routing scheme on G- Note that we consider in the following that graphs have at least one arc and thus the compactness of a graph is always greater than or equal to - μ was introduced in the hope of reducing the amount of space required, $\text{IRS}(G)$ is an important parameter to consider-in fact, at a graph G there is a node of G that requires Irlington to the log is \sim bits of local memory under a shortest path interval routing scheme.

Most of the work in the literature on shortest path interval routing has been concerned with finding IRS(G) for specific networks: chordal rings in [FGS94a], trees, hypercubes, d-meshes, dtori and r-complete-bipartite graphs in [BvLT91, FG94c, KKR93], unit-interval and unit-circular networks in FGC-strain in FGC- in FGC- and a contract in FGC- in FGC- in FGC- in FGC- in FGC- in the form by a constant in the general case- In this paper we are interested in nding a worst case graph G with a large compactness- For every integer ⁿ we dene Irsn maxG IrsG such that ^G has ⁿ vertices for α is the maximum compactness for graphs of α

It was shown in [KKR93] that Irs(n) $\in \Omega(\sqrt[3]{n})$. The result was then improved in [FvLS94], where it was shown that $\text{Ins}(n) \in \Omega(n/\log n)$. In this paper, we present a general technique for proving lower bounds on $\text{Ins}(n)$ and for every n, we exhibit a graph G of n nodes for which we can prove that $\text{Ins}(G) \in \Theta(n)$. We then extend the techniques introduced to construct for every fixed Δ and every n a graph G_{Δ} of maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$ and of n nodes for which $\operatorname{Ins}(G_{\Delta}) \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)$.

More precisely, if we let $n(k)$ denote the number of nodes of the smallest network G for which $\text{Ins}(G) \geq k$, we show that $2k + 1 \leq n(k) \leq 12k - 11$ for every integer $k \geq 2$, and thus that $\text{Ins}(n) \geq n/12$. The lower bound of $2k + 1$ on $n(k)$ was obtained in [FG94a] with the following simple argument: by the pigeon hole principle, any integer labeling on $n - 1$ nodes can give at most

 $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ wrap around intervals of consecutive integers. This lower bound on $n(k)$ proves that our bound on Irsn is as yet Irsn is assembly tighter to the largest compact compactness of the largest compact a graph of α vertices and of maximum degree \equiv . The construction to show the construction that α is greater than $\frac{n+4\log_2 n+5}{4\log_2 n(3\log_2 n+1)}$, for sufficiently large n and for every $\Delta \geq 3$.

In next section, we introduce the matrices of constraints, which provide a general tool for proving lower bounds on Irsn and on Irsn - In the same section we describe how to construct a graph of $p + 2q$ vertices from a $p \times q$ boolean matrix such that if this matrix requires k blocks of consecutive ones in one of its columns, then the constructed graph G satisfies $\text{IRS}(G) \geq k$. In section 3, we present results from coding theory that we apply to produce suitable matrices that we use together in section with our construction of section - to establish a lower bound on Irsn-In section 5, we adapt the construction of section 2.2 to obtain a graph G_{Δ} of at most $6pq-4p-4q$ vertices and of maximum degree Δ , from any $p \times q$ boolean matrix. Then we use suitable matrices to establish a lower bound on $\text{Ins}(n, \Delta)$, for any n and any $\Delta \geq 3$.

and constraints of constraints and graphs of constraints of constraints

2.1 Matrices and codes of constraints

Given an arbitrary connected graph G computing IrsG is generally di cult- In fact the problem has been shown to be NPhard in FGSb- There seems to be no other way than checking the minimum number of intervals required by each shortest path interval routing scheme on G- In this section we introduce a tool that is helpful in establishing lower bounds on IrsG-(W) is helpful in establishing this tool is a mean of reducing the problem of finding the compactness of a graph G to a problem on boolean matrices-book and tool is based on the notion of a constraints and well a concept that we constrain now introduce.

Consider vertex b in the graph G drawn on the left hand side of Figure - It fields that the the shortest path from vertex b to vertices a c d e and g is unique- The shortest path from b to a c and d must use arc b a and the shortest path from b to e and g must use arc b e- There is a shortest path from b to f that uses arc b a and another that uses arc b e- Either path may be used, and this choice depends on the routing scheme.

In general, for every triple of vertices (u, v, w) of a graph G where u and v are adjacent vertices and $u \neq w$, three cases may occur for shortest paths:

- 1. Every shortest path from u to w must use arc (u, v) .
- Every shortest path from uto w must not use arc u v-
- 3. There are shortest paths from u to w that use arc (u, v) and there are shortest paths from u to w that do not use arc (u, v) .

For the rst two cases arc u v forms a constraint for the vertex w on the graph G- Note that , a routing the constraint for vertex up since the form and shorter path from u to urdent a routing the state of point of view, any scheme can, in a first step, checks if $u = w$ and thus no adjacent arc of u must be used- It is the case for shortest path interval routing scheme where the label of u may or may not belong to intervals associated to each adjacent arcs of u .

A matrix of constraints of a symmetric digraph $G = (V, E)$ is a $p \times q$ boolean matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$ whose rows are labeled with vertices of a subset $\{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$ of V and whose columns are labeled with arcs of a subset $\{e_1, \ldots, e_q\}$ of E, such that:

1. $m_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if every shortest path from the tail of e_j to vertex v_i uses arc e_j .

- mij if and only if no shortest path from the tail of ej to vertex vi uses arc ej -

Consider a column (u, v) of a $p \times q$ matrix of constraints and suppose that the vertices of the graph have been labeled with integers by a shortest path interval routing scheme $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$. If there is a 1 at the intersection of the column with the row labeled by vertex w , then the label of w must be on arc (u, v) in R, i.e. $\mathcal{L}(w) \in I_{(u,v)}$. Similarly, if there is a 0 then the label of w cannot be on arc (u, v) in R, i.e. $\mathcal{L}(w) \notin I_{(u,v)}$. If we permute the rows of the matrix such that the integer labels of the rows are placed in ascending order in the matrix, then clearly the number of blocks of consecutive 1's in column (u, v) is a lower bound on the number of intervals for that arc in R. Table 1 shows a matrix of constraints for the graph of Figure 1.

	(c,a)				$(e,b) \quad (g,d) \quad (c,f) \quad (e,g) \quad (g,e) \quad (g,f) \quad$	
						$\begin{array}{ccc} & b \end{array}$
				$\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}$		
			$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \end{array}$		$\mathbf{1}$	

Table 1: A matrix of constraints for the graph of Figure 1.

Note that a matrix obtained by permuting the rows of a matrix of constraints is also a matrix of constraints for the same graph and corresponds to a relabeling of the vertices the integer labels have to be in ascending order- If we can show that under any permutation of the rows of the matrix there must be at least one column with a certain number k of blocks of consecutive 1's. then the graph must require at least k intervals- Finding a matrix of constraints for a graph G and establishing a bound on the maximum number of blocks of consecutive 1's in a column, minimized over an permutations of the rows of the matrix the matrix theorem a lower bound on IrsG-, which are a formalize these ideas.

A (p,q) -code is a non empty family C of $p \times q$ boolean matrices such that *(i)* if M is in C then any other matrix M' of C can be obtained by permuting the rows and columns of M and (ii) all the matrices obtained by permuting the rows and columns of M are in C- Ap qcode can be seen as an equivalence class of the set of $p \times q$ boolean matrices, using row and column permutation as a congruence operator- It therefore makes sense to specify a code C with a representative matrix from C .

Given a boolean matrix $M,$ let $\mathrm{I}(M)$ denote the $compactness$ of $M,$ that is the maximum, over all columns of M , of the number of blocks of consecutive 1's cyclically (the first and the last bit in the boolean word formed by a column of M are considered consecutive- For example in the boolean matrix of Figure 2, the first and second columns have one blocks of consecutive 1's, while the third has two. Therefore $I(M) = Z$ for this matrix, while $I(M) = I$ for the matrix of constraints of the table - that I also is not and the second \mathcal{N}

We extend our definition of compactness to codes by defining the *compactness of a (p,q)-code C*. denoted IC to be the minimum of IM over all minimum of the matrices α is a matrix of constraints of constraints

Figure 2: A graph for a $(4,3)$ -code of compactness 2.

of a graph G , then we call the family of matrices obtained by permuting the rows and columns of M a pqcode of constraints of G- We are now ready to introduce the main result of this section-

Lemma 1 ([FvLS94]) If C is a code of constraints of graph G then, $\text{IRS}(G) \geq \text{I}(C)$.

Proof Let R be any shortest path interval routing for a graph G and let C be any code of constraints of G- Consider the set of integers used by R to label the vertices that label the rows of every matrix in the code- Let M be a matrix of C for which the integers that now label the rows are in ascending order from top to bottom- Note that any matrix of C obtained by permuting only the columns of M wateries this contributive this this is a column of M with at least $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O})$ blocks of consecutive 1's by definition, it follows that the arc corresponding to the column has at least $I(C)$ intervals under routing scheme R (there are at least $I(C)$ "holes" when we list the integers corresponding to the arc-je since at 10 millions, continuity scheme for G it follows that $\text{Ins}(G) \geq \text{I}(C)$. \Box

Unfortunately we do not know of a better relation between the compactness of a graph and the compactness of the less compact code of constraints of the graphs for the graph- on Figure of (page 11) is an example where there exists a code of constraints with the same compactness of the graph itself it was already proved in FGc that the compactness of this graph is - But Proposition 1 of appendix B establishes that in general, there is no code of constraints with the same compactness as the graphs'.

also it is not necessarily easy to compute IQ in general limited in FGS of the Paster that α \mathcal{A} . In a priori computing \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{A} is the mard-from the consecutive from the consecutive \mathcal{A} ones sobmittimit it complete problem in $\lfloor \omega_{\beta+1} \rfloor$, however, it can be decided with a polynomial time algorithm if \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{c}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 for all \mathbf{r}_1 for constructing for constructing for constructing for \mathbf{r}_2 , \mathbf{r}_3 , \mathbf{r}_4 , \mathbf{r}_5 , \mathbf{r}_6 , \mathbf{r}_7 , \mathbf{r}_8 , \mathbf{r}_7 , $\$ graphs for which we want to guarantee a given number of intervals-

This idea of matrix of constraints was independently introduced in [FvLS94] where the authors deal with the concept of unique matrix of shortest path representation- with this concept, they construct a graph of n log n intervals on one species that requires n intervals on one species arcsection we extend this concept to the idea of *graphs of constraints*, a more powerful tool to improve their lower bound.

2.2 Graphs of constraints

We present below how to construct a symmetric digraph $G = (V, E)$ from a $p \times q$ boolean matrix M is a matrix of constraints of \mathbb{F} of \mathbb{F} presentation we describe G-information we describe G-information we describe \mathbb{F} as being undirected. Refer to Figure 2 for an example of the construction using a 3×4 matrix. We get

Lemma 2 For every $p \times q$ boolean matrix M, there exists a graph G of $p + 2q$ vertices such that M is a matrix of constraints of G .

Proof. Let $M = (m_{i,j})$ be any $p \times q$ boolean matrix. We construct a graph G composed of two layers. The bottom layer is a set of p independent vertices, $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$, and the top layer consists of denote at the complete graph of two vertices μ and the two vertices of the two vertices of the two vertices jth copy of K_2 , for $1 \leq j \leq q$. Hence the set of vertices of G is $\{v_1, ..., v_p, a_1, b_1, ..., a_q, b_q\}$; G has $p + 2q$ vertices.

We connect the vertices belonging to different layers as follows. If $m_{i,j} = 1$ then add the edgeficial $\langle b_i, v_i \rangle$ and if $m_{i,j} = 0$ then add the edge $\langle a_i, v_i \rangle$. For each of the q edges $\langle a_i, b_j \rangle$, we add exactly p edges and so G has pq q edges- It is clear that G thus constructed is connected and has a diameter less than 3.

We prove that the graph G that we constructed from a boolean matrix M has M as matrix of constraints. We first construct a $p \times q$ matrix of constraints M' of G as follows: label column j of M' with arc (a_i, b_i) , for $1 \leq j \leq q$, and label row i of M' with vertex v_i , for $1 \leq i \leq p$. By construction M is a matrix of constraints of G and clearly $M = M$. Therefore M is a matrix of constraints of the graph G-1 \pm

Remark. Let $\Delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree of graph G. Let M be a $p \times q$ boolean matrix, and G its graph of constraints built as in Lemma 2. It is easy to see that $\Delta(G) \ge \max\{z/q, p-1\}$ z/q , where z is the total number of 0 entries in the matrix M (consider any edge $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle$ of the construction).

The graph built in the proof of Lemma 2 from a boolean matrix M is called a *graph of constraints* of the matrix M-since a permutation of the rows and columns of a matrix of a matrix of \mathbf{M} can be seen as a relabeling of the vertices and arcs respectively, then for a code C and for any two matrices M and M' in C, if G is a graph of constraints of matrix M then it is also a graph of constraints of matrix M . Thus we can speak of a graph of constraints of a code C .

Codes with large compactness

In this section we present a method for constructing (p, q) -codes C with a large value of I(C) as a function of p q-and-code as follows-denition of code as follows-denition of code as follows-

To avoid a confusion with intervals, we denote $\langle a, b \rangle$ the edge connecting vertices a and b .

 (p, q) -code such that for every matrix M in C, every two rows of M differ in at least d places (d \max be σ). For example the well-known Gray codes of length p are the $(z^{\mu}, p, 1)$ -codes. We use argest in dimite the largest value of p for which there is a program form of the dimited Δ the exact value of Aq d is under the literature can be found in the literature e-distributed in the literature following Lemma is useful in finding a lower bound on $I(C)$ for a given code C.

Lemma 3 ([FvLS94]) For every (p, q, d) -code C, $I(C) \geq pd/(2q)$.

Proof. Consider a boolean matrix M of the (p,q) -code C. For $i \in \{1,\ldots,p\}$, let d_i be the Hamming distance between the two consecutive rows i and i modulo p the last and the rst **Proof.** Consider a boolean matrix M of the Hamming distance between the two consecutive
row are consecutive) of M , and for $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ $..., q$ let k_j denote the number of blocks of consecutive 1's in column j of M. We call $D = \sum_{i=1}^{p} d_i$ the total Hamming distance over all rows of M and $K=\sum_{i=1}^q k_j$ the total number of blocks of consecutive 1's in $M.$ It is easy to see that each raising of a block of consecutive for provides an increment of two one that the the total distance D i-C i-C i-C i-C i Since $d_i\geq d$ for every $i,$ we have $2\sum_{i=1}^q k_j=\sum_{i=1}^p d_i\geq pd.$ By the pigeon hole principle, since M has q columns, there must be a column j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, such that $k_j \geq pd/(2q)$. \Box

The fact that the Hamming distance yields a lower bounds on the number of intervals was due to $[Flag4]$.

Corollary 1 For every integer $k \geq 2$, if there exist integers p, q and d such that $A(q,d) \geq p$ $2q(k-1)/d$, then there exists a (p,q) -code C such that $I(C) \geq k$.

Proof. Assume that for some integer k, $k \geq 2$, there exists a triple (p,q,d) such that $A(q,d)$ $p > 2q(k-1)/d$. Since $p \leq A(q,d)$, then there exists, by definition of $A(q,d)$, a (p,q,d) -code C. Applying Lemma 3, $I(C) > pd/(2q) > k$. \Box

Therefore, to find a code with large compactness using Lemma 3, we may use a (p, q, d) -code maximizing pd(-q) with the next lemma we will see the there exists a propriety with C with $q \in \Theta(p)$ and $I(C) \in \Theta(p)$. We use the well-known Hadamard code for that purpose.

Lemma 4 For every integer $\delta \geq 1$, there exists a $(2^{\delta+2}, 2^{\delta+1}, 2^{\delta})$ -code.

Proof. We show here how to construct a representative matrix M_δ of a $(2^{s+\epsilon},2^{s+\epsilon},2^s)$ -code, by induction-basis when \mathbf{f} is the following \mathbf{f} is the following \mathbf{f}

$$
M_1=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]
$$

Suppose, as our inductive hypothesis, that there exists a $(2^{s+1},2^{s+1},2^s)$ Hadamard code $\cup_\delta.$ I hen let M_{δ} be a representative matrix of C_{δ} and let $M_{\delta+1}$ as follows:

$$
M_{\delta+1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} M_{\delta} & M_{\delta} \\ M_{\delta} & \overline{M_{\delta}} \end{array} \right]
$$

where they are first moderning with every bit completing from the first model if every two rows of May differ in exactly \measuredangle -bits, except for the pair of rows $(000\dots0)$ and $(111\dots1)$, i.e. the first and third row of MFW; then it is easy to see that this fact will also hold form for M-V-1 tenter it is internate under t permutation of rows and columns, we can conclude that the family $C_{\delta+1}$ of matrices generated from $m_{\delta+1},$ is indeed a $(2^{n+1},2^{n+1},2^{n+1})$ -code. \Box

The $(2^{s+1}, 2^{s+1}, 2^s)$ -code constructed in the proof of Lemma 4 will be denoted C_δ from now on. Lemma 3, we know that $I(C_{\delta}) \geq 2^{\delta}$. In appendix A, we will prove that in fact, $I(C_{\delta}) = 2^{\delta}$.

Actually, MacWilliams and Sloane in [MS77] proved that a $(8\delta, 4\delta, 2\delta)$ -code exists if there exists an *Hadamard matrix* of dimensions $8\delta \times 4\delta$. According to them, Hadamard matrices were known in for every less than - Lemma is in fact a corollary of the existence of Sylvester matrices-

4 A lower bound for $Irs(n)$

Lemma 5 Let $n(k)$ be the minimum order of a graph G such that $\text{IRS}(G) \geq k$. Then for all $k \geq 2$, $n(k) \leq 2^{\lceil \log_2 k \rceil + 2} + 4k - 3.$

Proof. Let $k > 2$. By Corollary 1 there exists an (p, q, d) -code C with $I(C) > k$ if $A(q, d) > p >$ $2q(k-1)/d$. Let M be a $p \times q$ boolean matrix of such a (p,q,d) -code C. Lemma 2 guarantees the existence of a graph of constraints G of the matrix M and, applying Lemma 1, such that $\text{Irs}(G) \geq$ $I(C) \geq k$. Hence the number of vertices of G is an upper bound on $n(k)$, i.e. $n(k) \leq \min_{p,q} |V(G)|$, if $I(C) \geq k$. Therefore

$$
\forall k \ge 2, n(k) \le \min_{p,q,d} (p+2q) \text{ where } (p,q,d) \text{ satisfies } A(q,d) \ge p > 2q(k-1)/d
$$

Using the $(2^{\delta+2}, 2^{\delta+1}, 2^{\delta})$ -code C_{δ} of Lemma 4 with $\delta = \lceil \log_2 k \rceil$, $q = 2^{\delta+1}$ and $d = 2^{\delta}$, we obtain $A(q, d) \geq p \geq 2q(k-1)/d$ if and only if $2^{d+2} \geq p \geq 4(k-1)$. Indeed, Lemma 4 shows that $A(2^{\delta+1}, 2^{\delta}) \geq 2^{\delta+2}$ and, by applying Plotkin's bound [MS77], which states that $A(2i, i) \leq 4i$ for i even, we get that $A(2^{b+1}, 2^b) = 2^{b+2}$. As p should be the smallest integer such that $2^{b+2} \ge p$ $4(k - 1)$, we can choose $p = 4k - 3$ (we can remove three rows at least of C_{δ}) to yield the desired

Lemma 5 is enough to prove that $n(k) \in \Theta(k)$ for all $k \geq 2$, because we have shown $2k+1 \leq n(k)$. The following theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.

Theorem 1 For every integer $n \geq 2$, $\text{IRS}(n) \geq n/12$.

Proof. Since for any $k \geq 2$, $2^{\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor} \leq 2(k-1)$, from Lemma 5 we get that $n(k) \leq 12k - 11$. By definition of $n(k)$, we derive that for every integer $n \geq 2$ there exists a graph G with n vertices **Proof.** Since for any $k \geq 2$, $2^{\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor} \leq$
definition of $n(k)$, we derive that for ϵ
such that $\text{Ins}(G) \geq k \geq \lfloor (n+11)/12 \rfloor$. Therefore $\operatorname{Ins}(G) \geq n/12$. \Box

Remark We can see that the graph G built in Lemma has an unbounded maximum degree- G was obtained using the (z^{s+1}, z^{s+1}) -code $C_\delta,$ which contains the same number of 0 and 1 entries. Thus, applying the remark of section 2.2, we get $\Delta(G) \ge 2^{\delta} + 1/2$. Indeed we remove some rows of C_{δ} , but at least $2^{\delta+2}/2+1$ rows of C_{δ} are left, and thus at least $2^{\delta+1}(2^{\delta+2}/2+1)/2$ 0 or 1 entries are left. Since the number of vertices of G is $n = 2^{s+2} + 4k - 3$ and k is such that $2^{s-1} < k \le 2^s$, then $\Delta(G) \geq n/8$.

We now show that it is possible to tighten the upper bound on nk- For k a power of we obtained $n(k) \leq 8k - 3$ in Lemma 5. If we consider the case where $k = 2$ for example, the upper bound on $n(2)$ of Lemma 5 is obtained by using a $(5, 4, 2)$ -code: $d = 2^{\lceil \log_2 2 \rceil} = 2$, $q = 2d = 4$ and $p = 4k - 3 = 5$. Thus the bound of Lemma 5 states that $n(2) \leq p + 2q = 5 + 2 \cdot 4 = 13$. But if instead we would use the $(4,3,2)$ -code of Figure 2, then we would find, by applying Lemma 3, that $n(2) \leq p + 2q = 4 + 2 \cdot 3 = 10$, an improvement. As another example, consider the case $k = 5$. The bound of Lemma 5 gives $n(5) \le 49$ by using a $(17, 6, 3)$ -code. But we can use a $(25, 6, 2)$ -code instead (the readers are welcome to convince themselves that such a code exists) to find $n(5) \leq p + 2q = 25 + 2 \cdot 6 = 37$. Therefore, Lemma 5 does not provide an optimal result and it can be improved upon-

We can compute a smaller upper bound on $n(k)$ using the construction of a graph of constraints of Lemma 2, based on the fact that $n(k) \leq \min_{p,q,d}(p+2q)$ such that $A(q,d) \geq p > 2q(k-1)/d$. Using a table of the best lower bounds known on $A(q, d)$ taken from [MS77], we find the following upper bounds on $n(k)$, for $k \leq 21$ (see table 2), by applying the above minimization on a computer with a systematic search-code also gives Δ and the product product product for the C used for \sim the construction of a graph of construction of constraints of constraints of \mathcal{M} this table, $k = 2$ using an $(4, 3, 2)$ -code and given $n(2) \le 10$, is shown in Figure 2.

\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{d}	q	\boldsymbol{p}	Upper Bound $n(k)$
12	6	14	52	80
13	6	14	57	85
14	6	14	61	89
15	8	18	64	100
16	6	15	76	106
17	6	15	81	111
18	6	15	86	$116\,$
19	6	15	91	121
20	6	15	96	126
21	6	15	101	131

Table 2: Upper bound on $n(k)$ for small values of k.

Remark We showed that by using techniques from coding theory we were able to obtain asymptotically tight bounds on the size of the smallest graph which requires at least k intervals to route along shortest paths using interval routing- Nevertheless we believe that our bound can be improved upon- For example consider once more the case k - Applying the general result of

Lemma 5, we showed that $n(2) \leq 10$. However it was shown by a case analysis that there exist a graph of seven vertices (see section 2.1 and Figure 3) of compactness 2, and therefore that $n(2) \le 7$. in appendix c we prove that in fact $\alpha_i = 1, \ldots, \alpha$. The still exist a small exist a small exist a small gap between our upper bounds and the exact values of $n(k)$.

Figure 3: A code of constraints C for a graph G with $\text{Ins}(G) = I(C) = 2$.

Worst case graphs of bounded degree

We have seen that our lower bound on $\text{IRS}(n)$, in Lemma 5, is achieved with a graph of constraints of order n with a maximum degree in opper in this section, we establish a rower we establish a lower bound on for shortest path interval routing schemes on graphs of order n and of maximum degree - We will prove that $\text{Ins}(n, \Delta) \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)$, for every integer n and for every integer $\Delta \geq 3$. We assume, in the following, that $\Delta \geq 3$ because graphs with maximum degree less than 3 clearly have a compactness of see SK for Trees and vLT for Rings- In this section we will construct a graph of constraints G with maximum degree Δ from an arbitrary $p \times q$ boolean matrix M, such that is a matrix of constraints of $G-$. The distribution of G and \mathcal{L} is \mathcal{L}

Refer to Figure 4 for an illustration of the construction. Assume that we are given a $p \times q$ boolean matrix M and an integer $\Delta > 3$. The symmetric digraph G, that we describe as undirected, is composed of three main levels of vertices denoted Low, Medium and High, and of intermediary vertices drawn in black in Figure - The Low level is a set of p independent vertices that we denote $v_i, 1 \le i \le p$; the High level consists of q copies of K_2 , that we denote $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle$, $1 \le j \le q$; the Medium level is composed of pq vertices labeled $w_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$.

These three levels are connected with trees of maximum degree - Let us rst describe how the ℓ These three levels are connected with trees of maximum degree Δ . Let us first describe how
the Low and the Medium levels are connected. At every vertex v_i of the Low level, we root a tree
 T_{v_i} whose leaves are the minimal undirected trees of maximum degree Δ with exactly q leaves. In each T_{n} 's, $i \in \{1, \ldots, p\},\$ he Low level, we root a tr
; are isomorphic. They a
In each T_{v_i} 's, $i \in \{1,\ldots,p\}$ T_{v_i} whose leaves are the w_i
minimal undirected trees of
the leaves $w_{i,j}, j \in \{1, ..., q\}$ $..., q$, are all at a distance $h' = 1 + \lceil \log_{\Delta - 1}(q/\Delta) \rceil$ from the root v_i . We minimal undirected trees of maximum degree Δ with exactly q leaves. In each T_{v_i} 's, $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, the leaves $w_{i,j}$, $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$, are all at a distance $h' = 1 + \lceil \log_{\Delta-1}(q/\Delta) \rceil$ from the root v_i . We denote of vertices).

 Ω is a second type of trees-definition the Medium and High levels we use a second term of the Medium and High levels we use a second term of the Medium and High levels were applied to the Medium and the Medium and High To connect the Medium and High levels, we use a second type of tree. At vertex a_j (resp. b_j),
 $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$, we root a ($\Delta - 1$)-ary tree T_{a_j} (resp. T_{b_j}) the root has maximum degree $\Delta - 1$ while To connect the Medium and High levels, we use a second type of tree. At vertex a_j (resp. b_j),
 $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$, we root a $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree T_{a_j} (resp. T_{b_j}) the root has maximum degree $\Delta - 1$ while

the other ve α , that might that minimum that might such that might might such that the trees of the trees of the trees of the trees T_{a_i} (resp. T_{b_j}) is at distance $h = \lceil \log_{\Delta - 1} \max_i (\max\{z_i, p - z_i\}) \rceil$ from a_j (resp. b_j), where z_i is the number of 0's in column i of the $p\times q$ matrix $M.$ T_{a_i} and T_{b_i} have the smallest possible number of vertices necessary to satisfy the necessary requirements-by rajor respectively the number of u_1 number of 0's in column *i* of the $p \times q$ matri
vertices necessary to satisfy these requireme
of vertices of trees T_{a_i} and T_{b_i} , $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$ $\ldots q$.

In fact, the vertices $w_{i,j}$ can be seen as a grid, where the $w_{i,j}$'s of row i are connected by tree $\sigma_{i,j}$ while some of the wij of column j are connected by tree Taj and the others by tree Tbj - The σ_{j} total number of vertices in graph G is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{q} (r_{a_i} + r_{b_i}) + pr - pq$ (the $w_{i,j}$'s are counted twice).

Lemma 6 For every $p \times q$ boolean matrix M and every integer $\Delta \geq 3$, there exists a graph of constraints of matrix M of maximum degree Δ and with $6pq - 4p - 4q$ vertices at most.

Proof Let us consider the preceding construction of the graph of constraints G of M- The trees T_{a_i} and T_{b_i} can be constructed as follows: starting from the leaves (at most p), construct a full $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree, adding intermediary nodes as required. From the root of that tree, construct a \mathbf{r} is and to built the root via the root via with the root via with \mathbf{r} Δ children (or q if $q \leq \Delta$) and then we root, in each child, q full $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary trees of height $h - 1$. Then we can remove $\Delta(\Delta-1)^{h-1}-q$ leaves from the last stage. $T_{v_i},\,T_{a_i}$ and T_{b_j} therefore always exist, and so the above construction guarantees that we obtain a graph G that is connected and has a maximum degree Δ .

We now prove that M is a matrix of constraints of G. We will first construct a $p \times q$ matrix of constraints of $G,~M$, and we will then show that M and M are equal-babel the p rows of $M_{\parallel} = (m_{i,j})$ with the p vertices of the Low level (the v_i s) and label the q columns of M with the arcs (a_i, b_i) 's of the High level. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, let A_i (resp. B_i) be the set of v_i 's such will then show that
of the Low level (the v
For each $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$ that wij is a leaf of tree Taja respectively. The trees Taja and Taja and Taja and Taja and Taja and Taja and T B_i partition the Low level vertices.

We now compute the entries of matrix M' , according to the definition of matrix of constraints. We first show that if $v_i \in B_j$, then every shortest path from a_j to v_i must use the arc (a_j, b_j) . Indeed, the path from a_j to v_i has a length of $h + h' + 1$ (go to b_j in one step, take h steps down I_{b_i} to reach $w_{i,j}$, and then h steps up I_{v_i} to v_i). If we assume, for the sake of contradiction, that the shortest path between a_j and v_i leaves through an arc of T_{a_j} , then the length of the path must be at least: h using tree T_{a_i} to reach a vertex $w_{i',j}$, then 2 at least using tree $T_{v'_i}$ to reach a vertex $w_{i',j'}$, then 2 at least using tree $T_{a_{i'}}$ or $T_{b_{i'}}$ to reach vertex $w_{i,j'}$, and nhally n , using tree T_{v_i} to reach the vertex v_i . The path would therefore at least be of length $n+n\ +4,$ greater than $n+n\ +1$. Hence $m_{i,j}$ is 1.

Now, suppose that vertex $v_i \in A_i$. We will show that the shortest path from a_i to v_i must use an arc of $\{a_j\}$ i-code architecture in the $\{a_j\}$ of j is a path j -code that there is a path from a path a_j to v_i of length $n+n$: take h steps down T_{a_j} to vertex $w_{i,j}$, and then h steps up T_{v_i} to v_i . Following an argument similar to the one given above (about the path of length $h + h' + 4$) one can see that a path from a_j to v_i that uses arc (a_j, o_j) must have a length of $1 + n + 2 + 2 + n$ at least. Hence $m_{i,j}$ is 0. Therefore M is a matrix of constraints of G since an its entries are well-defined. It is now easy to see that $M' = M$: if $v_i \in B_j$ (and $m'_{i,j} = 1$), then by definition of the set B_j , vertex $w_{i,j}$ is a leaf of T_{b_j} . But by construction of G, this is possible only if $m_{i,j} = 1$. Similarly, if $v_i \in A_j$ (and $m_{i,j} = 0$) then $m_{i,j} = 0$.

Let us now compute the number of vertices of G- We rst consider Tvi the smallest tree with

q leaves at equal distance from the root, with maximum degree Δ (T_{v_i} differs from a $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree in that its root can have degree Δ). This tree can have at most $\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{i-1}$ nodes a level i away from the root, and thus $r \leq 1 + \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (\Delta - 1)^i + q$. By choosing $h' = 1 + \lceil \log_{\Delta - 1}(q/\Delta) \rceil$ and $\Delta = 3$, then $r \leq 3 \cdot 2^{h'-1} + q - 2$. Note that to have a (p,q) -code with compactness $k \geq 2$ we must have $p \geq 4$ and $q \geq 3$. The reader can check the fact that no smaller boolean matrix has a compactness of $k \geq 2$. But since $3 \leq q \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\lfloor \log_2(q/3) \rfloor} \leq 2(q-1)$, it follows that $r \leq 3q-4$. pactness of $k \ge 2$. But since $3 \le q \le 3 \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2(q/3) \rceil} \le 2(q-1)$, it follows that $r \le 3q-4$.
We now need to bound the value of $r_{a_i} + r_{b_i}$, $j \in \{1, ..., p\}$. T_{a_i} is the smallest $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary

tree of height $h = \lceil \log_{\Delta-1}(p) \rceil$ with z leaves, each at distance h from the root, where z is the maximum number of 0's in a column of M, over all columns of M ($z \leq p$). We already described T_{a_i} and T_{b_i} at the beginning of the proof. In a $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree there are at most $(\Delta - 1)^i$ nodes a level i, and thus $p \leq (\Delta - 1)^h$ (we remove some vertices to the last level if necessary). Let z_i be the number of 0's in column j of M. The height of the tree T_{a_j} is $h_{a_j} = \lceil \log_{\Delta-1}(z_j) \rceil$ or 1 if $z_j = 0$, and the height of tree T_{b_j} is $h_{b_j} = \lceil \log_{\Delta-1}(p-z_j) \rceil$ or 1 if $z_j = p$. Note that $h_{a_j} + h_{b_j} \ge 2$. Hence $r_{a_j} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n a_j} (\Delta - 1)^i + z_j + h - h_{a_j}$, where $h - h_{a_j}$ is the length of the added path from Hence $r_{a_j} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (\Delta - 1)^i + z_j + n - n_{a_j}$, where $n - n_{a_j}$ is the length of the added path from
the root of the full tree to a_j . Similarly, $r_{b_j} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{h_{b_j}-1} (\Delta - 1)^i + p - z_j + h - h_{b_j}$. Set $\Delta = 3$. If
 $1 < z_j < p$, t $\log_2 p \leq p/2$, $r_{a_i} + r_{b_i} \leq 2(z_i - 1) + 2(p - z_j - 1) + p - 4 + 2[p/2]$. $p - z_j + h - h_{b_j}$. Set $\Delta = 3$. If
 $-2 + p + 2\lceil \log_2 p \rceil - 2$. And since

Since $2\lceil p/2 \rceil \leq p+1$, it follows that $r_{a_j} + r_{b_j} \le 4p - 7$. If $z_j \le 1$ or if $z_j = p$, then $2^{h_{a_j}} + 2^{h_{b_j}} \le 1 + 2(p-1)$, and thus $r_{a_j} + r_{b_j} \le 4p - 4$.

In any case, $r_{a_i} + r_{b_i} \le 4(p-1)$ and $r \le 3q-4$. Thus we obtain the desired result on the number of vertices of G-1 \pm

The following lemma gives a construction of (p, q) -codes for particular values of p and q.

Lemma 7 For every integer $\delta \geq 2$, there exists a $(2^{\delta-1}, \delta, 2)$ -code.

Proof. Let $P = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2^s})$ be a Hamiltonian path in the Hypercube of dimension $\delta \geq 2$. Let C **Proof.** Let $P = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2^{\delta}})$ be a Hamiltonian path in the Hypercube of dimension $\delta \geq 2$. Let C be the $(2^{\delta-1}, \delta)$ -code such that row r_i of $C, i \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{\delta-1}\}$, is the standard binary representation of the vertex v_{2i-1} of P. By construction, any two rows r_i and r_j of C, $i \neq j$, differ by at least , places since two adjacent vertices in the Hypercube are an odd distance in P - D - D - D - D - D - D - D - D $(2^{\circ}$, 0, 2)-code. \Box

Finally we derive the following theorem

Theorem 2 For any sufficiently large integer n $(n \geq 44)$ and for every $\Delta \geq 3$.

$$
ext{Ins}(n, \Delta) \ge \frac{n + 4\log_2 n + 5}{4\log_2 n (3\log_2 n + 1)} \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)
$$

Proof. Let n be a sufficiently large integer, let $p = 2^{q-1}$ and let q such that $6pq - 4p - 4q =$ $3q2^q - 2^{q+1} - 4q \leq n \leq 3(q+1)2^{q+1} - 2^{q+2} - 4(q+1)$. Applying Lemma 6, for every (p,q) -code C there exists a graph of constraints G of the code C of maximum degree Δ and with n' vertices. $n' \leq n$. We construct a graph G' with a maximum degree Δ from G with exactly n vertices by adding a path of $n - n'$ vertices connected to one of the $w_{i,j}$'s vertices of G, which are all of degree two. Clearly $\text{IRS}(G') \geq \text{IRS}(G)$, since G is a *subgraph of shortest paths* of G' (see [FG94c]). Hence,

Figure 4: Graph of constraints of the matrix M with $n = 80$ vertices and with a maximum degree $\Delta=3.$

for every $\Delta > 3$, $\text{Ins}(n, \Delta) > \text{Ins}(G)$. Thus by applying Lemma 1, for every $(2^{q-1}, q)$ -code C, $\text{Ins}(n, \Delta) \geq I(C)$. Applying Lemma 7, let C be a $(2^{q-1}, q, 2)$ -code for $q \geq 2$. Therefore, applying Lemma 3, $I(M) \geq I(C) \geq 2^{q-1}/q$.

$$
n < 3(q+1)2^{q+1} - 2^{q+2} - 4(q+1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad n+4q+5 \leq \frac{2^{q-1}}{q}4q(3q+1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{n+5}{4q(3q+1)} + \frac{1}{3q+1} \leq I(C)
$$

By assumption, $n \geq 3q 2^q - 2^{q+1} - 4q \geq 2^q,$ for $q \geq 3$ and $n \geq 44.$ Therefore $\log_2 n \geq q$ and finally,

$$
ext{Ins}(n, \Delta) \ge \frac{n+5}{4 \log_2 n (3 \log_2 n + 1)} + \frac{1}{3 \log_2 n + 1} \in \Omega(n/(\log n)^2)
$$

 \Box

The same techniques as in section 4 can be used to improve the general upper bound for $n_{\Delta}(k),$ the number of vertices of the smallest graph G_{Δ} with maximum degree Δ for which IRS(G_{Δ}) $\geq k$. We would have to compute $\min_{p,q} |V(G)|$ such that $I(C) \geq k$ and such that G is a graph of constraints of (p, q) -code C with maximum degree Δ .

Conclusion

From a local memory requirement point of view, we have seen that for a graph G of n vertices, the minimum number of intervals required to perform shortest path interval routing on G , IRS(G), is an important parameter to consider, since at least one router of G needs to store $\Omega(\text{IRS}(G) \log n)$ bits of information-bounds of province upper bounds on nk the smallest number of vertices of a graph of compactness greater than k , we showed that there exist a worst case graph that requires a router to have n local memory-better interval routing schemes are not better than \mathcal{M} routing there in the general case of unbounded degree graphs- from the second international degree graphs, our worst case still uses only $\Omega(n / \log n)$ bits locally, compared to $O(n)$ bits for routing tables-interesting tables-interesting to determine whether or not there is a graph Γ vertices and of maximum degree Δ such that $\text{Ins}(G_{\Delta}) \in \Omega(n/\log n)$. If no such graph exists, then the class of bounded degree graphs is a large class of graphs for which interval routing schemes are better than tables.

A Compactness of Hadamard codes

The lower bound on IRS(n) is based on the lower bound on $I(C_{\delta})$, where C_{δ} is defined in the proof of Lemma 4. We showed in Lemma 4 that $I(C_{\delta}) \geq 2^{\delta}$. We now show, by proving an upper bound on I(C_{δ}), that the lower bound on IRS(n) cannot be improved using this code.

Theorem 3 For any $\delta \geq 1$, $I(C_{\delta}) = 2^{\delta}$.

Proof. Since we already know that $I(C_{\delta}) \geq 2^{\delta}$, we prove by induction on δ that there is a matrix m_δ of the $(2^{s+\epsilon},2^{s+\epsilon},2^s)$ -code C_δ such that $1(M_\delta)\,=\,2^s$. As our base case, we use the matrix M_1 that is the same matrix as in the proof of Lemma 4. A sequence of matrices M_{δ} , $\delta \geq 1$, is obtained as follows. Given M_δ , $\delta \geq 1$, partition the rows of M_δ in blocks of four consecutive rows starting with the top of the code and number these groups in order stated at \sim and \sim . That a block of is even for each its label is the label is even or of the collective and commutative matrix matrix matrix matr with the following three steps:

1. (Shuffle step) In each block of four vectors, exchange the position of the two middle rows. This step groups together the rows that are complements- For example using the matrix Mabove, the shuffle step yields the following matrix:

- Doubling step Replace every row A of M with the row AA- For example replace the row (0011) above with (00110011) .
- 3. (Extend step) After the doubling step, groups of two consecutive rows consist of a binary vector (tell) which is complement (tell) its complete row (tell) with the complete row and its c AA after row AA- For example the block of two rows

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]
$$

becomes the following block of four rows

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\n\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to show by induction that for every $\delta \geq 1$, M_{δ} has the property that rows 1 and 3 and rows and of every group of four rows are the complement of each other- It is also easy to see that the matrix $M_{\delta+1}$ thus obtained is the same as the one of Lemma 4, with its rows permuted i.e., $M_{\delta+1} \in C_{\delta+1}$. We are therefore only left with proving that $I(M_{\delta}) = 2^{\delta}$.

We now consider how the procedure above transforms a given column of M_{δ} (note that the doubling step has no enect here). Without loss of generality, consider only one of the 21 feltmost columns of the matrix- We assume as our inductive hypothesis that the columns of M satisfy the following two properties

- In the every column that the groups of four bits four patterns (four (from), in every column, the odd groups have the pattern (0110) or (1001) .
- z . Every column has exactly $z²$ blocks of consecutive 1 s (cyclically).

These properties can easily be seen to hold for matrix M- We have already seen that after the shuffling step, the bits of every block of two bits (rows 2i and $2i + 1$) in a column (of M_{δ} , now being transformed dier- Therefore after the extend step of the construction the blocks of four bits in a column of $M_{\delta+1}$ (obtained from the blocks of two bits after the shuffling step) with an even label will have the patterns (0011) (from (01)) or (1100) (from (10)) since for these we only insert a copy of the blocks of the bit-the blocks of four with an odd label the step of our construction inserts of after each bit the complement of that bit- We therefore obtain the patterns from or $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1-\epsilon & -\epsilon \ 1-\epsilon & -\epsilon \end{array} \right)$, which is the model of ϵ for ϵ for ϵ for ϵ for ϵ for ϵ

We can now prove that prove that prove that W^\pm is shown that the shume step is a step in Madds $2^{\delta-1}$ to the total number of intervals of each column, and then we show that the extend step also adds 2° $^{\circ}$ to the total number of intervals of each column. Each column of the matrix $M_{\delta+1}$ therefore has $2^{\delta-1} + 2^{\delta-1} + 2^{\delta}$ (inductive hypothesis) = $2^{\delta+1}$ intervals.

Suppose again, without loss of generality, that we consider one of the 21 feltmost columns of M - Partition the column in blocks of eight bits starting from the top- The rst four bits of each block corresponds to an even block of four- By property there are only four possible patterns for the blocks of eight bits

- a-manazarta da kasar da kasa
- b-
- \cdots , \cdots \cdots \cdots
- d-

After the shuffle step, each of the four patterns gets transformed to:

- a-
- \mathbf{b} -between \mathbf{b} -between \mathbf{b} -between \mathbf{b}
- contracts and contracts are all the contracts of the
- d-

In each case, exactly one interval gets added to the column since the boundaries of every block remain unchanged. The shume step therefore adds exactly $2^{s+r}/8 \equiv 2^{s+r}$ intervals to each column in total.

Now, re-partition the column obtained from the shuffle step into blocks of eight bits such that the field four bits of each bits of the following the and odd block of four-lowing four-lowing fourpatterns

- A-
- B-
- C-
- -----------

After the extend step these patterns get transformed to the following e-g- pattern A gets transformed to pattern A [']):

- and the contract of the contra
- B-
- C-
- D-

Each of A' , B' , C' and D' has the same boundaries as A , B , C and D respectively, and since each has one interval more than its counterpart, we can conclude that the extend step together add $2^{s+2}/10 = 2^{s-2}$ hew intervals to each column. The results extend to the last 2^{s} columns of M_δ by changing the partitions- holds for M which conclude the property which conclude the proof-

B Compactness of codes and graphs

Proposition 1 There exists a graph G such that $\text{IRS}(G) > \text{I}(C)$ for every code of constraints C of G .

Proof Consider the graph G of vertices drawn in Figure - We have to construct all the codes of constraints of G and check that each code has a compactness of at most - it is enough to check for (p, q) -codes with $p \geq 4$ and $q \geq 3$ since it is easy to see that any smaller code has a compactness of at most 1. Furthermore, we only need to check for $p \leq 6$ because, we assumed that any arc used the vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex u-vertex p of any code o constraint of G is at most !- We leave it to the reader to check that every p qcode of constraints C of G with $4 \leq p \leq 6$ and $3 \leq q$ has a compactness of at most one, i.e. $I(C) \leq 1$.

we now prove that irsg ω , we cannot that there exists a shorter path interval routing scheme on G, $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$, with $\text{Ins}(R) = 1$. To simplify the presentation of the proof, we set We now prove that $\text{IRS}(G) = 2$.
scheme on G, $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$, with $\text{IRS}(I$
 $x = \mathcal{L}(x)$, for $x \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f, q\}$. $x = \mathcal{L}(x)$, for $x \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$. Also, if X and Y are two subsets of vertices of G, we say that $X < Y$ if for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $x < y$. Since vertices b and c are isomorphic, assume

with loss of generality that bc-circular order modulo is generality that bc-circular order modulo is general o impossible because the interval assigned to arc (g) , σ and g and a but neither b normal contains to and c. Thus we have $\{a,d\} < b < c$. Arcs (d,a) and (d,q) establish the condition $\{e, f, q\} < \{a, b, c\}$. Therefore $\{a, e, f, g, d\} < b < c$. $I_{a,t}$ must contain f and c but neither d nor b, thus $f < d < b < c$. Moreover Ige must contain ^e and ^b but neither ^d nor c thus debc- And nally we have $f < d < e < b < c$. Now we must have $f < \{d, g\} < e < b < c$, since $I_{a,d}$ must contain d and g but neither f nor e nor b nor c. Similarly we must have $f < \{a,d,g\} < \epsilon < b < c$, because $I_{g,d}$ must contain d and a but this last condition is incompatible with the normal condition is incompatible with the secondition is incompatible with the secondition is incompatible with the secondition is incompatible with the seco condition $\{e, f, g\} < \{a, b, c\}$. This contradiction shows that $\text{IRS}(G) > 1$. Figure 1 gives a shortest path intervalues for a set of α with α and α α - α

$\mathbf C$ Smallest graphs of compactness 2

In this appendix we prove that the minimum graph of compactness has vertices i-e- n -For this we use the list of all graphs of order less than which can be found in Har!- The following lemmas will reduce the number of cases to consider- In the following all graphs are described as symmetric digraphs.

Lemma 8 Let G be a 1-vertex-connected graph. The compactness of G is the maximum of the compactness over a subgraph of G component of G component of G and of G and of its component of G and of its c neighbor cutvertices in G

Proof Let G VE be a vertexconnected graph- A subgraph of G composed of one vertex connected component of G and of G and of its neighbor cutvertices in G is denoted a - component of G-C is denot a be a component of G-1 is a subset paths FGC of Shortest paths FGC of State and A input of the subgraph of the that contains all the shortest paths between any pair of vertices of A- Let ^k maxS IrsS for any 2-component S of G. Therefore, applying Theorem 2 of [FG94c], we get that $\text{IRS}(G) \geq k \geq \text{IRS}(A)$.

We now prove that $\text{Ins}(G) \leq k$. The proof is constructive: 1) decompose G in 2-components, 2) successively merge these 2-components and their shortest path interval routing scheme to obtain an shortest path interval routing scheme on G- Phase merge two components at the rst step-It results a subgraph of G-component of G-component of G-component of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} we merge subgraphs that are non component of G but that have a contract in commonshow how to do a merging in general-

Since G is a 1-vertex-connected graph, there exists a cutvertex x of G and we can decomposed G in two subgraphs, $A = (V_A, E_A)$ and $B = (V_B, E_B)$, such that $V_A \cup V_B = V$ and $V_A \cap V_B = \{x\}.$ We assume by induction that A and B are of compactness at most k , and we will prove that $\operatorname{Ins}(G) \leq k.$

Let $n_A = |V_A|$ and $n_B = |V_B|$. Let $R_A = (\mathcal{I}_A, \mathcal{L}_A)$ and $R_B = (\mathcal{I}_B, \mathcal{L}_B)$ be two shortest path interval routing schemes on graphs A and B respectively, such that $\mathcal{L}_A(x) = n_A$ and $\mathcal{L}_B(x) = 1$. Conditions $\mathcal{L}_A(x) = n_A$ and $\mathcal{L}_B(x) = 1$ are not restrictive, since clearly every circular permutation composed with the labeling function defines an interval routing scheme with same compactness and isomorphic set of routing paths.

We define a shortest interval routing scheme $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ on G as follows: $\mathcal{L}(v) = \mathcal{L}_A(v)$ for all vertices v of graph A, and $\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathcal{L}_B(w) + n_A - 1$, for all vertices $w \neq x$ of graph B. We shift also We define a shortest interval routing scheme $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ on G as follows: $\mathcal{L}(v) = \mathcal{L}_A(v)$ for all vertices v of graph A , and $\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathcal{L}_B(w) + n_A - 1$, for all vertices $w \neq x$ of graph B . We shift also We extend sets ${\cal I}_A$ and ${\cal I}'_B$ to obtain a set of intervals ${\cal I}$ for G as follows : for any single interval $I=[a,b]$ of ${\cal I}_A$ or of ${\cal I}'_B$ containing the integer n_A , let $I'=I\cup [n_A,n_A+n_B-1]$. I' is composed of only one interval since all its elements are consecutive. We finally set $\mathcal I$ as the union of extended intervals sets of ${\cal I}_A$ and ${\cal I}_B'.$ It is easy to see that the shortest path defined by R between two vertices of the same subgraphs A or B are the same as in R_A or R_B , and any shortest path between a vertex u of A and a vertex w of B, must travel the cutvertex x, which belongs to set of vertices of A and of B .

The compactness of R is less than $\max{\{\text{IRS}(A), \text{IRS}(B)\}}$, therefore $\text{IRS}(G) = k$.

The following lemma will be useful to check quickly if a graph with many edges has compactness 1.

Lemma 9 Let G be a connected graph of n vertices having d vertices of degree $n-1$. Let m be the number of edge-connected components having at least two vertices in the complement graph of G . If $d \ge (n - m)/2$ then the compactness of G is 1.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph of n vertices. Assume that G has d vertices of degree $n-1$. Let G denote the complement graph of G- G is composed of m connected components A - - -Am of order at least two and of d single vertices. Assume that $d \geq (n-m)/2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $m \geq 1$, since otherwise G is simply the complete graph. For each connected order at least two and of *d* single vertices. Assume that $d \ge (n-m)/2$. Without loss of generality,
we assume that $m \ge 1$, since otherwise *G* is simply the complete graph. For each connected
component A_i , $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ number of vertices of A_i . where of vertices of A_i .
We now construct a shortest path interval routing scheme $R = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ on G. For $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$

and for every vertex x of A_i , we set $\mathcal{L}(x) = 2j - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k$ in a depth first search scheme We now construct a shortest path
and for every vertex x of A_i , we set
according to T_i , for all $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ \ldots, n_i . Since $n - d \geq 2m$, $m \geq 1$ and $d \geq (n - m)/2$, then $d \geq n - d - m \geq 1$, and thus we can label $n - d - m$ of the d single vertices y of G with $\mathcal{L}(y) = 2j$. according to T_i , for $d \ge n - d - m \ge 1$,
for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ \dots , $n-d-m$. For the other single vertices y' of G, if they exist, we set $\mathcal{L}(y')=k$, $d \geq n - d - m \geq 1$, and thus we call
for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n - d - m\}$. For
for all $k \in \{2(n - d - m) + 2, \ldots, n\}$ \ldots , n}. The set $\mathcal I$ is defined as follows:

- *i*. For the *d* vertices x of G of degree $n-1$, we assign $I_{(x,y)} = [\mathcal{L}(y)]$ for the $n-1$ vertices y's connected to x-
- ii. For vertices of G with a degree strictly lower than $n-1$, we assign intervals as follows: let For vertices
 $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ $..., m$ and x be a vertex of A_i . For every arc (x, y) of G, we assign the interval $I_{(x,y)} = [\mathcal{L}(y) - 1, \mathcal{L}(y)]$ if x and y are not adjacent in G, and $I_{(x,y)} = [\mathcal{L}(y)]$ otherwise.

Hence all vertices of G are labeled and the compactness of R is 1.

To prove that R is connected and define a shortest path routing scheme, consider any two vertices x and y of G. Since $n > d > 1$, i.e. G has at least one vertex of degree $n - 1$ and $G \neq K_n$, then G has diameter 2. Hence either x and y are adjacent or there is a third vertex z of G such that z is connected to both x and y. If x and y are adjacent, then we have $\mathcal{L}(y) \in I_{(x,y)}$ in both cases *(i)* and *(ii)*, and by symmetry $\mathcal{L}(x) \in I_{(y,x)}$. Otherwise x and y are not adjacent in

 G and thus, there exists an $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that x and y together belong to A_i . Therefore $\mathcal{L}(y) \in I_{(x,y)} = [\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}(y) - 1, \mathcal{L}(y)],$ by *(ii)* since neither x nor y are of degree $n-1$. And by symmetry we also get that $\mathcal{L}(x) \in I_{(y,x)}$. We have thus proved thus that all paths built by R are s is the paths-similar path s

Theorem 4 $n(2) = 7$.

Proof. We have already showed that $n(2) \le 7$ (Figure 1 and refer to Proposition 1). Moreover $n(2) \geq 5$ since $n(k) \geq 2k+1$ for any $k \geq 2$. We will check for every graphs of 5 and 6 vertices that they admit a shortest path interval routing scheme of compactness - Since outerplanar graphs have a compactness of FJ we need not check them- Since any connected graph of vertices or less has a compactness of 1, then, applying Lemma θ and Lemma θ , only 2 graphs of 5 vertices must be checked: $K_{2,3}$ and the graph composed of a cycle of 4 vertices with $K_{1,3}$ connected by its three vertices- Referring to the representation of these graphs pages of Har! a circular labeling and a straightforward assignment of intervals give a shortest path interval routing scheme of compactness 1. Hence $n(2) \geq 6$. Similarly, since every connected graph of 5 vertices has a compactness of 1, then, applying Lemma 8 and Lemma 9, only 43 graphs of 6 vertices, on pages \$ of Hart circular labeling and a straightforward and and a straightforward assignment of intervals give a shortest path interval routing scheme of compactness 1 for all these graphs, except for the one, composed of a cycle of 4 vertices and a path of length 3 connecting two non adjacent \mathbf{f} is graph we can label the vertices in the vertices \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f} representation the circular representation of \mathbf{f} of this graph on page 220 [Har69]. Therefore $n(2) \ge 7$. \Box

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Milan Mosny for a helpful discussion regarding the result of appendix A- We are very thankful to Pierre Fraigniaud for thoroughly reading this manuscript and for his incisive comments and helpful suggestions-

References

- ABNLP Baruch Awerbuch Amotz BarNoy Nathan Linial and David Peleg- Improved routing strategies with success tables- of Algorithms of Algorithms and Society research \sim
- AP Baruch Awerbuch and David Peleg- Routing with polynomial communicationspace tradeo- SIAM Journal on Discrete Math \$! May -
- Blue Steed Steed Section and Section ones property the consecutive ones property the consecutive ones property interval graphs and graphs planarity using pqtree algorithms- Journal of Computer and System Science, $13:335-379$, 1976 .
- is and the community and routing-and routing-and and routing-and routing-and routing- $Algorithms$ $Review, 2:45-61, 1991.$
- $[DFL93]$ ed eric Desprez Eric Fleury and Michel Loi- T et C La nouvelle g en eration de transputers. Technical Report J9-01, EH -ENS EVOR, EH , Ecole Normale Superieure de Lyon, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France, February 1993.
- FGa Pierre Fraigniaud and Cyril Gavoille- A characterisation of networks supporting linear interval routing- In ACM PRESS editor th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC), pages $216 - 224$, August 1994.
- re er er routing and Care and Cyril Care and Cyril Care and Cyril Gavonical Cyril Care and Cyril Care and Cyri 04, Laboratoire de l'informatique du 1 arallemente, Lift, École Normale Superieure de Lyon, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France, January 1994.
- is on all correct and computed and cyril and correct application interval and several same report and Jens Volkert editors \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{I} volume \mathbf{I} volume \mathbf{I} of Lecture Notes in Computer Science pages N - N 1994.
- FGS Michele Flammini Giorgio Gambosi and Sandro Salomone- Boolean routing- In Springer Verlag, editor, 7th Int. Workshop on Distributed Algorithms (WDAG), volume 725 Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 219–233, 1993.
- FGSa Michele Flammini Giorgio Gambosi and Sandro Salomone- Interval labeling schemes for chordal rings- In Carleton University School of Computer Science editor Col loquium on Structural Information and Communication Complexity $(SICC)$, Ottawa. Canada K1S 5B6, May 1994.
- FGSb Michele Flammini Giorgio Gambosi and Sandro Salomone- Interval routing schemes-(to appear in STACS'95), November 1994.
- FJ Greg N- Frederickson and Ravi Janardan- Designing networks with compact routing tables-there is a structure of the part of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of
- FJ Greg N- Frederickson and Ravi Janardan- E cient message routing in planar networks- $SIAM$ Journal on Computing, $18(4)$:843-857, August 1989.
- FJ Greg N- Frederickson and Ravi Janardan- Spacee cient message routing in c assember as a structure person of the computing and the state and hearty as the computation of the state of th
- Fla Michele Flammini- Private communications in New York June -
- , and the flamming space of the component and A-component and A-component and A-component and A-component and on interval routing- Technical Report ! Universita di LAquila Dipartemento di matematica Pura ed Applicata, October 1994.
- GJ Michael R- Garey and David S- Johnson- Computers and Intractability A Guide to the Theory of NPC o
- Har! Frank Harary- Graph Theory- AddisonWesley !-
- is and all and intervals are seen intervals and seen intervalsed and see the second contract intervalsed and s routing schemes- in the theoretic concepts in the International Concepts in Theoretic Concepts in the International Computer Science - Distributed Algorithms (WG), volume 790 of Lecture Notes in Utrecht Der Scheider pages Utrecht University to Anti-Service pressent in example
- MS Florence Jessie MacWilliams and Neil James Alexander Sloane- The Theory of Error Correcting Codes- North Holland -
- $[MTW93]$ D- May P-W- Thompson and P-H- Welch- Networks routers and transputers Function perfomance and applications- Technical report inmos SGSTHOMSON 1993.
- PU David Peleg and Eli Upfal- A tradeo between space and e ciency for routing tables-In an annual Active Stock of Computing Stock of Computing Stock of Computing Stock of Computing Stock of Compu Chicago, IL, May 1988.
- is and the city of interval routing algorithmic results and interval algorithms are extracted and all consequent and V- Koubek editors Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science MFSC volume \mathbb{R}^n of Lectures Notes in Computer Science pages \mathbb{R}^n . Since \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n 1988.
- is a structure in the Ramez Chatiber Ramez Ramez Ramez Khatibert and in networks-Computer Journal, $28(1)$:5-8, 1985.
- , and a great routing-computer and and the Computer Computer Computer Computer Journal Properties and the Compu $30(4):298-307,1987.$